

.....
(Original Signature of Member)

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. _____

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. GRAVES of Missouri (for himself, Mr. LARSEN of Washington, Mr. ROUZER, and Mrs. NAPOLITANO) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on _____

A BILL

To provide for improvements to the rivers and harbors of the United States, to provide for the conservation and development of water and related resources, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

3 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

4 (a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the
5 “Water Resources Development Act of 2024”.

1 (b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for
2 this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title; table of contents.
Sec. 2. Secretary defined.

TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS

- Sec. 101. Continuing authority programs.
Sec. 102. Community project advisor.
Sec. 103. Minimum real estate interest.
Sec. 104. Study of water resources development projects by non-Federal interests.
Sec. 105. Construction of water resources development projects by non-Federal interests.
Sec. 106. Review process.
Sec. 107. Electronic submission and tracking of permit applications.
Sec. 108. Vertical integration and acceleration of studies.
Sec. 109. Systemwide improvement framework and encroachments.
Sec. 110. Fish and wildlife mitigation.
Sec. 111. Harbor deepening.
Sec. 112. Emerging harbors.
Sec. 113. Remote and subsistence harbors.
Sec. 114. Additional projects for underserved community harbors.
Sec. 115. Inland waterways regional dredge pilot program.
Sec. 116. Dredged material disposal facility partnerships.
Sec. 117. Maximization of beneficial use.
Sec. 118. Economic, hydraulic, and hydrologic modeling.
Sec. 119. Forecast-informed reservoir operations.
Sec. 120. Updates to certain water control manuals.
Sec. 121. Water supply mission.
Sec. 122. Real estate administrative fees.
Sec. 123. Challenge cost-sharing program for management of recreation facilities.
Sec. 124. Retention of recreation fees.
Sec. 125. Databases of Corps recreational sites.
Sec. 126. Services of volunteers.
Sec. 127. Non-recreation outgrant policy.
Sec. 128. National inventory of dams and low-head dams.
Sec. 129. Rehabilitation of Corps of Engineers constructed dams.
Sec. 130. Treatment of projects in covered communities.
Sec. 131. Ability to pay.
Sec. 132. Tribal partnership program.
Sec. 133. Funding to process permits.
Sec. 134. Project studies subject to independent external peer review.
Sec. 135. Control of aquatic plant growths and invasive species.
Sec. 136. Remote operations at Corps dams.
Sec. 137. Harmful algal bloom demonstration program.
Sec. 138. Support of Army civil works missions.
Sec. 139. National coastal mapping program.
Sec. 140. Watershed and river basin assessments.
Sec. 141. Removal of abandoned vessels.
Sec. 142. Corrosion prevention.
Sec. 143. Missouri River existing features protection.

- Sec. 144. Federal breakwaters and jetties.
- Sec. 145. Temporary relocation assistance pilot program.
- Sec. 146. Easements for hurricane and storm damage reduction projects.
- Sec. 147. Shoreline and riverine protection and restoration.
- Sec. 148. Sense of Congress related to water data.
- Sec. 149. Sense of Congress relating to comprehensive benefits.
- Sec. 150. Reporting and oversight.

TITLE II—STUDIES AND REPORTS

- Sec. 201. Authorization of proposed feasibility studies.
- Sec. 202. Expedited completion.
- Sec. 203. Expedited modification of existing feasibility studies.
- Sec. 204. Corps of Engineers reports.
- Sec. 205. GAO studies.
- Sec. 206. Annual report on harbor maintenance needs and trust fund expenditures.
- Sec. 207. Examination of reduction of microplastics.
- Sec. 208. Post-disaster watershed assessment for impacted areas.
- Sec. 209. Upper Barataria Basin and Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico Connection, Louisiana.
- Sec. 210. Upper Mississippi River System Flood Risk and Resiliency Study.
- Sec. 211. New Jersey hot spot erosion mitigation.
- Sec. 212. Oceanside, California.
- Sec. 213. Coastal Washington.
- Sec. 214. Cherryfield Dam, Narraguagus River, Maine.
- Sec. 215. Poor Farm Pond Dam, Worcester, Massachusetts.
- Sec. 216. National Academy of Sciences study on Upper Rio Grande Basin.
- Sec. 217. Chambers, Galveston, and Harris Counties, Texas.
- Sec. 218. Sea sparrow accounting.

TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS AND MODIFICATIONS

- Sec. 301. Deauthorization of inactive projects.
- Sec. 302. General reauthorizations.
- Sec. 303. Conveyances.
- Sec. 304. Lakes program.
- Sec. 305. Maintenance of navigation channels.
- Sec. 306. Asset divestiture.
- Sec. 307. Upper Mississippi River restoration program.
- Sec. 308. Coastal community flood control and other purposes.
- Sec. 309. Shore protection and restoration.
- Sec. 310. Hopper dredge McFarland replacement.
- Sec. 311. Acequias irrigation systems.
- Sec. 312. Pacific region.
- Sec. 313. Selma, Alabama.
- Sec. 314. Barrow, Alaska.
- Sec. 315. San Francisco Bay, California.
- Sec. 316. Santa Ana River Mainstem, California.
- Sec. 317. Faulkner Island, Connecticut.
- Sec. 318. Broadkill Beach, Delaware.
- Sec. 319. Federal Triangle Area, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Sec. 320. Washington Aqueduct.
- Sec. 321. Washington Metropolitan Area, Washington, District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia.
- Sec. 322. Northern estuaries ecosystem restoration, Florida.

- Sec. 323. Chicago shoreline protection, Illinois.
- Sec. 324. Dillard Road, Patoka Lake, Indiana.
- Sec. 325. Port Fourchon Belle Pass Channel, Louisiana.
- Sec. 326. Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam, Minnesota.
- Sec. 327. Missouri River levee system, Missouri.
- Sec. 328. Table Rock Lake, Missouri and Arkansas.
- Sec. 329. Missouri River mitigation, Missouri, Kansas, Iowa, and Nebraska.
- Sec. 330. New York and New Jersey Harbor and Tributaries, New York and New Jersey.
- Sec. 331. Western Lake Erie basin, Ohio, Indiana, and Michigan.
- Sec. 332. Willamette Valley, Oregon.
- Sec. 333. Columbia River Channel, Oregon and Washington.
- Sec. 334. Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency study, Texas.
- Sec. 335. Matagorda Ship Channel Jetty Deficiency, Port Lavaca, Texas.
- Sec. 336. San Antonio Channel, San Antonio, Texas.
- Sec. 337. Western Washington State, Washington.
- Sec. 338. Environmental infrastructure.
- Sec. 339. Specific deauthorizations.

TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES INFRASTRUCTURE

- Sec. 401. Project authorizations.
- Sec. 402. Facility investment.

1 **SEC. 2. SECRETARY DEFINED.**

2 In this Act, the term “Secretary” means the Sec-
3 retary of the Army.

4 **TITLE I—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

5 **SEC. 101. CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAMS.**

6 (a) PILOT PROGRAM FOR ALTERNATIVE PROJECT
7 DELIVERY FOR CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM
8 PROJECTS.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
10 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
11 retary shall implement a pilot program, in accord-
12 ance with this subsection, allowing a non-Federal in-
13 terest or the Secretary to carry out a project under
14 a continuing authority program through the use of
15 an alternative delivery method.

1 (2) CONSISTENCY.—The Secretary shall imple-
2 ment the pilot program under this subsection
3 through a single office, which shall be headed by a
4 Director.

5 (3) PARTICIPATION IN PILOT PROGRAM.—In
6 carrying out paragraph (1), the Director shall—

7 (A) solicit project proposals from non-Fed-
8 eral interests by posting program information
9 on a public-facing website and reaching out to
10 non-Federal interests that have previously sub-
11 mitted project requests to the Secretary;

12 (B) review such proposals and select
13 projects, taking into consideration geographic
14 diversity among the selected projects and the
15 alternative delivery methods used for the se-
16 lected projects; and

17 (C) notify the Committee on Transpor-
18 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
19 resentatives and the Committee on Environ-
20 ment and Public Works of the Senate of each
21 project selected under subparagraph (B), in-
22 cluding—

23 (i) identification of the project name,
24 type, and location, and the associated non-
25 Federal interest;

1 (ii) a description of the type of alter-
2 native delivery method being used to carry
3 out the project; and

4 (iii) a description of how the project
5 meets the authorized purposes and require-
6 ments of the applicable continuing author-
7 ity program.

8 (4) COST SHARE.—The Federal and non-Fed-
9 eral shares of the cost of a project carried out pur-
10 suant to this subsection shall be consistent with the
11 cost share requirements of the applicable continuing
12 authority program.

13 (5) MODIFICATIONS TO PROCESSES.—With re-
14 spect to a project selected under paragraph (3), the
15 Secretary shall—

16 (A) allow the non-Federal interest to con-
17 tribute more than the non-Federal share of the
18 project required under the applicable continuing
19 authority program;

20 (B) allow the use of return on Federal in-
21 vestment as an alternative to benefit-cost anal-
22 ysis;

23 (C) allow the use of a real estate acquisi-
24 tion audit process to replace existing crediting,

1 oversight, and review processes and procedures;
2 and

3 (D) notwithstanding any otherwise applica-
4 ble requirement of a continuing authority pro-
5 gram, allow the use of a single contract with
6 the non-Federal interest that incorporates the
7 feasibility and construction phases, and may
8 also include the operations and maintenance of
9 the project.

10 (6) CREDIT OR REIMBURSEMENT.—

11 (A) IN GENERAL.—A project selected
12 under paragraph (3) that is carried out by a
13 non-Federal interest pursuant to this subsection
14 shall be eligible for credit or reimbursement for
15 the Federal share of the cost of the project if,
16 before initiation of construction of the project—

17 (i) the non-Federal interest enters
18 into a written agreement with the Sec-
19 retary under section 221 of the Flood Con-
20 trol Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), in-
21 cluding an agreement to pay the non-Fed-
22 eral share of the cost of operation and
23 maintenance of the project, consistent with
24 the applicable continuing authority pro-
25 gram; and

1 (ii) the Director—

2 (I) reviews the plans for con-
3 struction of the project developed by
4 the non-Federal interest;

5 (II) determines that the project
6 meets the requirements of the applica-
7 ble continuing authority program;

8 (III) determines that the project
9 outputs are consistent with the project
10 scope;

11 (IV) determines that the plans
12 comply with applicable Federal laws
13 and regulations; and

14 (V) verifies that the construction
15 documents, including supporting in-
16 formation, have been signed by an
17 Engineer of Record.

18 (B) APPLICATION OF CREDIT.—With re-
19 spect to a project selected under paragraph (3),
20 the Secretary may only apply credit under sub-
21 paragraph (A) toward the non-Federal share of
22 that project.

23 (C) APPLICATION OF REIMBURSEMENT.—
24 The Secretary may only provide reimbursement

1 under subparagraph (A) if the Director certifies
2 that—

3 (i) the non-Federal interest has obli-
4 gated funds for the cost of the project se-
5 lected under paragraph (3) and has re-
6 quested reimbursement of the Federal
7 share of the cost of the project; and

8 (ii) the project has been constructed
9 in accordance with—

10 (I) all applicable permits or ap-
11 provals; and

12 (II) the requirements of this sub-
13 section.

14 (D) MONITORING.—The Director shall reg-
15 ularly monitor and audit any project con-
16 structed by a non-Federal interest pursuant to
17 this subsection to ensure that—

18 (i) the construction is carried out in
19 compliance with the requirements of this
20 subsection; and

21 (ii) the costs of construction are rea-
22 sonable.

23 (7) EVALUATIONS AND REPORTING.—The Di-
24 rector shall annually submit to the Committee on
25 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of

1 Representatives and the Committee on Environment
2 and Public Works of the Senate a report on the
3 progress and outcomes of projects carried out pursu-
4 ant to this subsection, including—

5 (A) an assessment of whether the use of
6 alternative delivery methods has resulted in cost
7 savings or time efficiencies; and

8 (B) identification of changes to laws or
9 policies needed in order to implement more
10 projects using alternative delivery methods.

11 (8) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

12 (A) ALTERNATIVE DELIVERY METHOD.—
13 The term “alternative delivery method” means
14 a project delivery method that is not the tradi-
15 tional design-bid-build method, including pro-
16 gressive design-build, public-private partner-
17 ships, and construction manager at risk.

18 (B) CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM.—
19 The term “continuing authority program” has
20 the meaning given that term in the section
21 7001(c)(1)(D) of Water Resources Reform and
22 Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d).

23 (C) DIRECTOR.—The term “Director”
24 means the Director of the office through which

1 the Secretary is implementing the pilot program
2 under this subsection.

3 (D) RETURN ON FEDERAL INVESTMENT.—

4 The term “return on Federal investment”
5 means, with respect to Federal investment in a
6 water resources development project, the eco-
7 nomic return on the investment for the Federal
8 government, taking into consideration quali-
9 tative returns for any anticipated life safety,
10 risk reduction, economic growth, environmental,
11 and social benefits accruing as a result of the
12 investment.

13 (9) SUNSET.—The authority to commence pur-
14 suant to this subsection a project selected under
15 paragraph (3) shall terminate on the date that is 10
16 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

17 (10) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

18 There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out
19 this subsection \$50,000,000 for each fiscal year.

20 (b) MODIFICATIONS TO CONTINUING AUTHORITY
21 PROGRAMS.—

22 (1) DELEGATION OF DECISION-MAKING AU-
23 THORITY.—

24 (A) IN GENERAL.—Except with respect to
25 a project carried out pursuant to subsection (a),

1 the Secretary shall delegate decision-making au-
2 thority and review of projects under a con-
3 tinuing authority program to the District Com-
4 mander of the district of the Corps of Engi-
5 neers in which the project is located.

6 (B) SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—Authority
7 delegated under subparagraph (A) shall include
8 authority related to the approval of project ini-
9 tiation, allocation of funds within statutory lim-
10 its, and oversight of project implementation.

11 (2) PROCEDURE FOR EXTENDING COST LIM-
12 ITS.—

13 (A) INITIAL DETERMINATION.—If, during
14 the pre-construction phase of a project under a
15 continuing authority program, the total Federal
16 costs of the project are projected to exceed the
17 established Federal per-project limit, the Dis-
18 trict Commander to whom authority has been
19 delegated under paragraph (1) with respect to
20 the project shall conduct an assessment to de-
21 termine whether the project can continue to be
22 carried out with a revised scope.

23 (B) TRANSITION TO NEW FEASIBILITY
24 STUDY CASE 1.—If the District Commander de-
25 termines under subparagraph (A) that a project

1 cannot continue to be carried out with a revised
2 scope within the existing authority for the
3 project, and the cost of completing the project
4 is not projected to exceed twice the applicable
5 established per-project limit—

6 (i) the project may be considered a
7 new feasibility study and shall be
8 prioritized for investigation funds from the
9 Secretary to minimize starts and stops on
10 project implementation; and

11 (ii) such transition to a new feasibility
12 study shall require approval from the Sec-
13 retary and shall include a notification to
14 Congress.

15 (C) TRANSITION TO NEW FEASIBILITY
16 STUDY CASE 2.—If the District Commander de-
17 termines under subparagraph (A) that a project
18 cannot continue to be carried out with a revised
19 scope within the existing authority for the
20 project, and the cost of completing the project
21 is projected to exceed twice the applicable es-
22 tablished per-project limit, the project may only
23 continue as a feasibility study subject to the re-
24 quirements of section 105 of the Water Re-

1 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
2 2215).

3 (D) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—A project carried
4 out pursuant to subparagraph (B) shall not
5 count towards the annual program funding au-
6 thorization limits for the applicable continuing
7 authority program.

8 (3) CONTINUING AUTHORITY PROGRAM DE-
9 FINED.—In this subsection, the term “continuing
10 authority program” has the meaning given that term
11 in the section 7001(c)(1)(D) of Water Resources Re-
12 form and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
13 2282d).

14 (c) EMERGENCY STREAMBANK AND SHORELINE
15 PROTECTION.—Section 14 of the Flood Control Act of
16 1946 (33 U.S.C. 701r) is amended by striking
17 “\$25,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

18 (d) STORM AND HURRICANE RESTORATION AND IM-
19 PACT MINIMIZATION PROGRAM.—Section 3(c) of the Act
20 of August 13, 1946 (33 U.S.C. 426g(c)) is amended—

21 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking
22 “\$37,500,000” and inserting “\$62,500,000”; and

23 (2) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking
24 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$12,500,000”.

1 (e) SMALL RIVER AND HARBOR IMPROVEMENT
2 PROJECTS.—Section 107(b) of the River and Harbor Act
3 of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577(b)) is amended by striking
4 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$12,500,000”.

5 (f) AQUATIC ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION.—Section
6 206 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (33
7 U.S.C. 2330) is amended—

8 (1) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the
9 following:

10 “(3) ANADROMOUS FISH.—Notwithstanding
11 paragraph (1), for projects carried out under sub-
12 section (a)(3), the non-Federal interest shall provide
13 15 percent of the cost of construction, including pro-
14 vision of all lands, easements, rights-of-way, and
15 necessary relocations.”; and

16 (2) in subsection (d), by striking
17 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$15,000,000”.

18 (g) REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS; CLEARING CHAN-
19 NELS.—Section 2 of the Act of August 28, 1937 (33
20 U.S.C. 701g) is amended by striking “\$500,000” and in-
21 serting “\$1,000,000”.

22 (h) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT OF
23 ENVIRONMENT OR DROUGHT RESILIENCY.—Section 1135
24 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
25 U.S.C. 2309a) is amended—

1 (1) in the section heading, by inserting “**OR**
2 **DROUGHT RESILIENCY**” after “**ENVIRONMENT**”;

3 (2) in subsection (a)—

4 (A) by striking “for the purpose of improv-
5 ing” and inserting “for the purpose of—

6 “(1) improving”;

7 (B) by striking the period at the end and
8 inserting “; or”; and

9 (C) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(2) providing drought resiliency.”;

11 (3) in subsection (b), by striking “(2) will im-
12 prove” and inserting “(2) will provide for drought
13 resilience or will improve”;

14 (4) in subsection (d), by striking
15 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$12,500,000”;

16 (5) in subsection (h), by striking
17 “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$62,000,000”; and

18 (6) by adding at the end the following:

19 “(j) **DROUGHT RESILIENCE**.—Drought resilience
20 measures carried out under this section may include—

21 “(1) water conservation measures to mitigate
22 and address drought conditions;

23 “(2) removal of sediment captured behind a
24 dam for the purpose of restoring or increasing the
25 authorized storage capacity of the project concerned;

1 “(3) the planting of native plant species that
2 will reduce the risk of drought and the incidence of
3 non-native species; and

4 “(4) other actions that increase drought resil-
5 ience, water conservation, or water availability.”.

6 (i) **SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.**—

7 (1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 205 of the Flood
8 Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s) is amended
9 to read as follows:

10 **“SEC. 205. SMALL FLOOD CONTROL PROJECTS.**

11 “(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall carry out a
12 program for the implementation, in partnership with non-
13 Federal interests, of small structural or nonstructural
14 projects for flood risk management, stormwater manage-
15 ment, and related purposes not specifically authorized by
16 Congress when in the opinion of the Chief of Engineers
17 such work is advisable.

18 “(b) **COST SHARE.**—

19 “(1) **FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND**
20 **STORMWATER PURPOSES.**—

21 “(A) **NON-FEDERAL SHARE.**—The non-
22 Federal share for a project implemented under
23 this section of the costs assigned to purposes
24 described in subsection (a) shall be 35 percent.

1 “(B) REQUIREMENT.—The non-Federal
2 interest for a project implemented under this
3 section shall pay 5 percent of the costs assigned
4 to purposes described in subsection (a) during
5 construction of the project.

6 “(2) OTHER PURPOSES.—The non-Federal
7 share for a project implemented under this section
8 of the costs assigned to purposes not described in
9 subsection (a) shall be consistent with the cost share
10 requirements of section 103 of the Water Resources
11 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213).

12 “(3) LANDS.—The non-Federal interest for a
13 project implemented under this section shall provide
14 all lands, easements, rights-of-way, dredged material
15 disposal areas, and perform all related necessary re-
16 locations.

17 “(c) AGREEMENTS.—Construction of a project under
18 this section shall be initiated only after a non-Federal in-
19 terest has entered into an agreement with the Secretary
20 to pay—

21 “(1) the non-Federal share of the costs of con-
22 struction required by this section; and

23 “(2) 100 percent of any operation, mainte-
24 nance, replacement, and rehabilitation costs associ-

1 ated with the project in accordance with regulations
2 prescribed by the Secretary.

3 “(d) COMPLETENESS.—A project implemented under
4 this section shall be complete in itself and shall not commit
5 the United States to any additional improvement for the
6 successful operation of the project.

7 “(e) FLEXIBILITY IN PROJECT DESIGN AND IMPLE-
8 MENTATION.—The Secretary is authorized to, in coordina-
9 tion with the non-Federal interest for a project imple-
10 mented under this section, incorporate natural features
11 and nature-based features, water reuse and recycling prac-
12 tices, and other innovative stormwater management prac-
13 tices and techniques, including green infrastructure, per-
14 meable pavements, rain gardens, and retention basins into
15 the project.

16 “(f) CONSIDERATION.—In implementing a project
17 under this section, the Secretary shall, where appropriate,
18 examine opportunities to include features for the reclama-
19 tion, treatment, and reuse of flood water and stormwater
20 associated with the project that will not result in—

21 “(1) a determination that the project is not eco-
22 nomicallly justified; or

23 “(2) the limitation described in subsection
24 (h)(1) conflicting with the required Federal share of
25 the cost of the project.

1 “(g) STORMWATER-RELATED PROJECTS.—For any
2 project for stormwater management implemented under
3 this section, the Secretary shall include management of
4 stormwater that flows at a rate of less than 800 cubic
5 feet per second for the 10-percent flood.

6 “(h) FUNDING.—

7 “(1) LIMITATION.—Not more than \$15,000,000
8 in Federal funds may be allocated under this section
9 for a single project within a single specific geo-
10 graphic area, such as a city, town, or county.

11 “(2) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—
12 There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out
13 this section \$90,000,000 for each fiscal year.”.

14 “(2) EFFECT ON EXISTING AGREEMENTS.—
15 Nothing in the amendment made by this subsection
16 shall affect any agreement in effect on the date of
17 enactment of this Act under section 205 of the
18 Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s), except
19 that, upon request by the non-Federal interest for
20 the project that is the subject of such an agreement,
21 the Secretary and the non-Federal interest may
22 modify the agreement to reflect the requirements of
23 such section 205, as so amended.

1 (j) COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION PROGRAM.—Sec-
2 tion 165(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of
3 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended—

4 (1) by striking the subsection heading and in-
5 serting “COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION PROGRAM”;

6 (2) in paragraph (1), by striking “pilot pro-
7 gram” and inserting “program”;

8 (3) in paragraph (2)—

9 (A) by amending subparagraph (A) to read
10 as follows:

11 “(A) solicit project proposals from non-
12 Federal interests by posting program informa-
13 tion on a public-facing website and reaching out
14 to non-Federal interests that have previously
15 submitted project requests to the Secretary;
16 and”; and

17 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “a
18 total of 20 projects” and inserting “projects”;

19 (4) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the
20 following:

21 “(4) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—In carrying out this
22 subsection, the Secretary shall prioritize the fol-
23 lowing projects:

1 “(A) Projects located in coastal commu-
2 nities in western Alaska impacted by Typhoon
3 Merbok.

4 “(B) The Hatch Dam project, Arizona,
5 carried out pursuant to section 205 of the
6 Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s).

7 “(C) Projects located in Guam.”; and
8 (5) by adding at the end the following:

9 “(6) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—
10 There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out
11 this subsection \$50,000,000 for each fiscal year.”.

12 **SEC. 102. COMMUNITY PROJECT ADVISOR.**

13 (a) COMMUNITY PROJECT ADVISOR.—Not later than
14 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
15 retary shall establish a single office to assist non-Federal
16 interests in accessing Federal resources related to water
17 resources development projects, which shall be headed by
18 a community project advisor appointed by the Secretary.

19 (b) RESPONSIBILITIES.—The community project ad-
20 visor appointed under this section shall—

21 (1) provide guidance to potential non-Federal
22 interests on accessing programs, services, and other
23 assistance made available by the Corps of Engineers
24 relating to water resources development projects, in-
25 cluding under—

1 (A) continuing authority programs (as
2 such term is defined in section 7001(e)(1)(D) of
3 the Water Resources Reform and Development
4 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d));

5 (B) section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899
6 (33 U.S.C. 408);

7 (C) section 206 of the Flood Control Act
8 of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 709a);

9 (D) section 22 of the Water Resources De-
10 velopment Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–16);

11 (E) section 203 of the Water Resources
12 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231);

13 (F) section 204 of the Water Resources
14 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232);

15 (G) section 203 of the Water Resources
16 Development Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269);

17 (H) section 5014 of the Water Resources
18 Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33
19 U.S.C. 2201 note); and

20 (I) the Water Infrastructure Finance and
21 Innovation Act (33 U.S.C. 3901 et seq.);

22 (2) conduct outreach and workshops for poten-
23 tial non-Federal interests to provide information on
24 such assistance, including processes for accessing
25 such assistance; and

1 (3) identify programs, services, and other as-
2 sistance made available by other Federal and State
3 agencies relating to water resources development
4 projects for purposes of advising potential non-Fed-
5 eral interests on the best available applicable assist-
6 ance.

7 (c) PRIORITIZATION.—In carrying out activities
8 under this section, to the maximum extent practicable, the
9 community project advisor shall prioritize providing assist-
10 ance with respect to water resources development projects
11 that will benefit a rural community, a small community,
12 or a community described in the guidance issued by the
13 Secretary under section 160 of the Water Resources De-
14 velopment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note).

15 (d) ELECTRONIC PORTAL.—

16 (1) DEVELOPMENT.—In carrying out this sec-
17 tion, the Secretary shall develop an online, inter-
18 active portal that—

19 (A) contains information relating to the as-
20 sistance described in subsection (b); and

21 (B) can be used by a potential non-Federal
22 interest as a succinct guide to accessing such
23 assistance based on the applicable potential
24 water resources development project.

1 (2) AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall ensure
2 that the portal developed under paragraph (1) is
3 made available in a prominent location on the pub-
4 lic-facing website of the headquarters of the Corps
5 of Engineers and of each district and division of the
6 Corps of Engineers.

7 (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is
8 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section
9 \$10,000,000 for each fiscal year.

10 **SEC. 103. MINIMUM REAL ESTATE INTEREST.**

11 (a) REAL ESTATE PLAN.—The Secretary shall pro-
12 vide to the non-Federal interest for an authorized water
13 resources development project a real estate plan for the
14 project that includes a description of the real estate inter-
15 ests required for construction, operation and maintenance,
16 repair, rehabilitation, or replacement of the project, in-
17 cluding any specific details and legal requirements nec-
18 essary for implementation of the project.

19 (b) IDENTIFICATION OF MINIMUM INTEREST.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—For each authorized water
21 resources development project for which an interest
22 in real property is required for any applicable con-
23 struction, operation and maintenance, repair, reha-
24 bilitation, or replacement, the Secretary shall iden-

1 tify the minimum interest in the property necessary
2 to carry out the applicable activity.

3 (2) DETERMINATION.—In carrying out para-
4 graph (1), the Secretary shall identify an interest
5 that is less than fee simple title in cases where the
6 Secretary determines that—

7 (A) such an interest is sufficient for con-
8 struction, operation and maintenance, repair,
9 rehabilitation, and replacement of the applicable
10 project; and

11 (B) the non-Federal interest cannot legally
12 make available to the Secretary an interest in
13 fee simple title for purposes of the project.

14 (c) REQUIREMENT.—The non-Federal interest for an
15 authorized water resources development project shall pro-
16 vide for the project an interest in the applicable real prop-
17 erty that is the minimum interest identified under sub-
18 section (b).

19 (d) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Secretary shall annually
20 submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
21 structure of the House of Representatives and the Com-
22 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate
23 a report containing—

24 (1) a summary of all instances in which the
25 Secretary identified under subsection (b) fee simple

1 title as the minimum interest necessary with respect
2 to an activity for which the non-Federal interest re-
3 quested the use of an interest less than fee simple
4 title; and

5 (2) with respect to each such instance, a de-
6 scription of the legal requirements that resulted in
7 identifying fee simple title as the minimum interest.

8 (e) **EXISTING AGREEMENTS.**—At the request of a
9 non-Federal interest, an agreement entered into under
10 section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C.
11 1962d-5b) between the Secretary and the non-Federal in-
12 terest before the date of enactment of this Act may be
13 amended to reflect the requirements of this section.

14 **SEC. 104. STUDY OF WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT**
15 **PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTERESTS.**

16 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 203 of the Water Re-
17 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231) is
18 amended—

19 (1) in subsection (a)—

20 (A) in paragraph (1)—

21 (i) by striking “may undertake a fed-
22 erally authorized feasibility study of a pro-
23 posed water resources development project,
24 or,” and inserting the following: “may un-
25 dertake and submit to the Secretary—

1 “(A) a federally authorized feasibility
2 study of a proposed water resources develop-
3 ment project; or”;

4 (ii) by striking “upon the written ap-
5 proval” and inserting the following:

6 “(B) upon the determination”;

7 (iii) in subparagraph (B) (as so des-
8 ignated)—

9 (I) by striking “undertake”; and

10 (II) by striking “, and submit the
11 study to the Secretary” and inserting
12 “or constructed by a non-Federal in-
13 terest pursuant to section 204”;

14 (B) in paragraph (2)—

15 (i) in the matter preceding subpara-
16 graph (A)—

17 (I) by striking “, as soon as prac-
18 ticable,”; and

19 (II) by striking “non-Federal in-
20 terests to” and inserting “non-Federal
21 interests that”;

22 (ii) by striking subparagraph (A) and
23 inserting the following:

24 “(A) provide clear, concise, and trans-
25 parent guidance for the non-Federal interest to

1 use in developing a feasibility study that com-
2 plies with requirements that would apply to a
3 feasibility study undertaken by the Secretary;”;

4 (iii) in subparagraph (B), by striking
5 the period at the end and inserting a semi-
6 colon; and

7 (iv) by adding at the end the fol-
8 lowing:

9 “(C) provide guidance to a non-Federal in-
10 terest on obtaining support from the Secretary
11 to complete elements of a feasibility study that
12 may be considered inherently governmental and
13 required to be done by a Federal agency; and

14 “(D) provide contacts for employees of the
15 Corps of Engineers that a non-Federal interest
16 may use to initiate coordination with the Sec-
17 retary and identify at what stages coordination
18 may be beneficial.”; and

19 (C) by adding at the end the following:

20 “(3) DETERMINATION.—If a non-Federal inter-
21 est requests to undertake a feasibility study on a
22 modification to a constructed water resources devel-
23 opment project under paragraph (1)(B), the Sec-
24 retary shall expeditiously provide to the non-Federal
25 interest the determination required under such para-

1 graph with respect to whether conceptual modifica-
2 tions, as presented by the non-Federal interest, are
3 consistent with the authorized purposes of the
4 project.”;

5 (2) in subsection (b)—

6 (A) in paragraph (3)—

7 (i) in subparagraph (B), by striking
8 “receives a request under this paragraph”
9 and inserting “receives a study submission
10 under subsection (a) or receives a request
11 under subparagraph (A)”;

12 (ii) by adding at the end the fol-
13 lowing:

14 “(C) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE-
15 QUIRED.—The Secretary shall notify a non-
16 Federal interest if, upon initial review of a sub-
17 mission received under subsection (a) or a re-
18 ceipt of a request under subparagraph (A), the
19 Secretary requires additional information to
20 perform the required analyses, reviews, and
21 compliance processes and include in such notifi-
22 cation a detailed description of the required in-
23 formation.”;

24 (B) by striking paragraph (4) and insert-
25 ing the following:

1 “(4) NOTIFICATION.—Upon receipt of a study
2 submission under subsection (a) or receipt of a re-
3 quest under paragraph (3)(A), the Secretary shall
4 notify the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
5 structure of the House of Representatives and the
6 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
7 Senate of the submission or request and a timeline
8 for completion of the required analyses, reviews, and
9 compliance processes and shall notify the non-Fed-
10 eral interest of such timeline.”; and

11 (C) in paragraph (5), by striking “receiv-
12 ing a request under paragraph (3)” and insert-
13 ing “receiving a study submission under sub-
14 section (a) or a request under paragraph
15 (3)(A)”;

16 (3) in subsection (d)—

17 (A) by striking “If a project” and inserting
18 the following:

19 “(1) IN GENERAL.—If a project”;

20 (B) by inserting “or modification to the
21 project” before “an amount equal to”; and

22 (C) by adding at the end the following:

23 “(2) MAXIMUM AMOUNT.—Any credit provided
24 to a non-Federal interest under this subsection may
25 not exceed the maximum Federal cost for a feasi-

1 bility study initiated by the Secretary under section
2 1001(a)(2) of the Water Resources Reform and De-
3 velopment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282e(a)).”; and

4 (4) by adding at the end the following:

5 “(f) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There
6 is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary
7 \$1,000,000 for each fiscal year to carry out this section.”.

8 (b) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 18 months after the
9 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall update
10 any guidance as necessary to reflect the amendments
11 made by this section.

12 (c) IMPLEMENTATION.—Any non-Federal interest
13 that has entered in a written agreement with the Secretary
14 related to carrying out a feasibility study pursuant to sec-
15 tion 203 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
16 (33 U.S.C. 2231) before the date of enactment of this Act
17 may submit to the Secretary a request to amend such
18 agreement to reflect the amendments made by this section.

19 **SEC. 105. CONSTRUCTION OF WATER RESOURCES DEVEL-**
20 **OPMENT PROJECTS BY NON-FEDERAL INTER-**
21 **ESTS.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 204 of the Water Re-
23 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232) is
24 amended—

25 (1) in subsection (c)(1)—

1 (A) by striking “an appropriate non-Fed-
2 eral interest” and inserting “a non-Federal in-
3 terest carrying out a project, or separable ele-
4 ment of a project, under this section”;

5 (B) by striking “on construction for any
6 project” and inserting “for the construction of
7 any project or separable element”; and

8 (C) by inserting “, consistent with the au-
9 thorized cost share for the project,” after
10 “United States funds”;

11 (2) in subsection (d)—

12 (A) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking
13 clauses (i) through (iii) and inserting the fol-
14 lowing:

15 “(i) the non-Federal interest—

16 “(I) enters into a written agree-
17 ment with the Secretary under section
18 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970
19 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b), including an
20 agreement to pay the non-Federal
21 share, if any, of the cost of operation
22 and maintenance of the project;

23 “(II) makes any information rel-
24 evant to carrying out the project

1 available to the Secretary to review;
2 and

3 “(III) identifies features of the
4 project or separable element that are
5 outside the scope of the authorized
6 project; and

7 “(ii) the Secretary—

8 “(I) reviews the plans for con-
9 struction by the non-Federal interest;

10 “(II) determines the project out-
11 puts are consistent with the author-
12 ized project and construction would
13 not result in life safety concerns;

14 “(III) determines that the plans
15 comply with applicable Federal laws
16 and regulations; and

17 “(IV) verifies that the construc-
18 tion documents, including supporting
19 information, have been signed by an
20 Engineer of Record; and”;

21 (B) in paragraph (3)—

22 (i) by redesignating subparagraphs
23 (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (C) and
24 (D), respectively; and

1 (ii) by inserting after subparagraph

2 (A) the following:

3 “(B) the non-Federal interest has obli-
4 gated or expended funds for the cost of a dis-
5 crete segment or separable element thereof and
6 has requested reimbursement of the Federal
7 share of the cost of the discrete segment or sep-
8 arable element;”; and

9 (iii) in subparagraph (C) (as so reded-
10 icated), by inserting “, discrete segment
11 of the project, or separable element of the
12 project,” after “the project”;

13 (C) in paragraph (5)—

14 (i) by striking subparagraph (A)(ii)
15 and inserting the following:

16 “(ii) before the review and approval of
17 plans under paragraph (1)(A)(ii), the Sec-
18 retary makes the determinations required
19 under subclauses (II) and (III) of para-
20 graph (1)(A)(ii) with respect to the dis-
21 crete segment.”;

22 (ii) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by strik-
23 ing “plans approved under paragraph
24 (1)(A)(i)” and inserting “the plans re-
25 viewed under paragraph (1)(A)(ii)”;

1 (iii) in subparagraph (C)(i), by strik-
2 ing “paragraph (1)(A)(iii)” and inserting
3 “paragraph (1)(A)(i)”; and

4 (iv) in subparagraph (D)(i) by strik-
5 ing “paragraph (1)(A)(iii)” and inserting
6 “paragraph (1)(A)(i)”; and

7 (D) by adding at the end the following:

8 “(6) EXCLUSIONS.—The Secretary may not
9 provide credit or reimbursement for—

10 “(A) activities required by the non-Federal
11 interest to initiate design and construction that
12 would otherwise not be required by the Sec-
13 retary; or

14 “(B) delays incurred by the non-Federal
15 interest resulting in project cost increases.”;
16 and

17 (3) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(g) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There
19 is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary to carry
20 out this section \$1,000,000 for each fiscal year.”.

21 (b) GUIDANCE.—Not later than 18 months after the
22 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall update
23 any guidance as necessary to reflect the amendments
24 made by this section.

1 (c) IMPLEMENTATION.—Any non-Federal interest
2 that has entered in a written agreement with the Secretary
3 to carry out a water resources development project pursu-
4 ant to section 204 of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2232) before the date of enact-
6 ment of this Act may submit to the Secretary a request
7 to amend such agreement to reflect the amendments made
8 by this section.

9 **SEC. 106. REVIEW PROCESS.**

10 Section 14 of the Act of March 3, 1899 (33 U.S.C.
11 408) is amended—

12 (1) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as
13 subsections (d) and (e), respectively, and inserting
14 after subsection (b) the following:

15 “(c) REVIEW PROCESS.—

16 “(1) CONSISTENCY.—The Secretary shall estab-
17 lish a single office within the Corps of Engineers
18 with the expertise to provide consistent and timely
19 recommendations under subsection (a) for applica-
20 tions for permission submitted pursuant to such sub-
21 section.

22 “(2) PRE-APPLICATION MEETING.—At the re-
23 quest of a non-Federal entity that is planning on
24 submitting an application for permission pursuant to
25 subsection (a), the Secretary, acting through the of-

1 fice established under paragraph (1), shall meet with
2 the non-Federal entity to—

3 “(A) provide clear, concise, and specific
4 technical requirements for non-Federal entity to
5 use in the development of the application;

6 “(B) recommend the number of design
7 packages to submit for the proposed action, and
8 the stage of development at which to submit
9 such packages; and

10 “(C) identify potential concerns or conflicts
11 with such proposed actions.

12 “(3) CONTRIBUTED FUNDS.—The Secretary
13 may use funds accepted from a non-Federal entity
14 under subsection (b)(3) for purposes of conducting
15 a meeting described in paragraph (2).”; and

16 (2) in subsection (d), as so redesignated—

17 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “the Sec-
18 retary shall inform” and inserting “the Sec-
19 retary, acting through the head of the office es-
20 tablished under subsection (c), shall inform”;
21 and

22 (B) in paragraph (2), in the matter pre-
23 ceding subparagraph (A), by striking “the Sec-
24 retary shall” and inserting “the Secretary, act-

1 ing through the head of the office established
2 under subsection (c), shall”.

3 **SEC. 107. ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION AND TRACKING OF**
4 **PERMIT APPLICATIONS.**

5 (a) **ELECTRONIC SYSTEM.**—Section 2040(a) of the
6 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
7 2345(a)) is amended—

8 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-
9 VELOPMENT OF ELECTRONIC” and inserting “ELEC-
10 TRONIC”;

11 (2) by amending paragraph (1) to read as fol-
12 lows:

13 “(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall imple-
14 ment an electronic system to allow the electronic—

15 “(A) preparation and submission of appli-
16 cations for permits and requests for jurisdic-
17 tional determinations under the jurisdiction of
18 the Secretary; and

19 “(B) tracking of documents related to Fed-
20 eral environmental reviews for projects under
21 the jurisdiction of the Secretary or for which
22 the Corps of Engineers is designated as the
23 lead Federal agency.”;

24 (3) in paragraph (2)—

1 (A) in subparagraph (E), by striking “;
2 and” and inserting a semicolon;

3 (B) in subparagraph (F), by striking the
4 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

5 (C) by adding at the end the following:

6 “(G) documents related to Federal envi-
7 ronmental reviews for projects under the juris-
8 diction of the Secretary or for which the Corps
9 of Engineers is designated as the lead Federal
10 agency.”; and

11 (4) by adding at the end the following:

12 “(5) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES.—
13 To the maximum extent practicable, the Secretary
14 shall use the electronic system required under para-
15 graph (1) to enhance interagency coordination in the
16 preparation of documents related to Federal environ-
17 mental reviews.”.

18 (b) SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2040(b) of
19 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
20 2345(b)) is amended—

21 (1) in paragraph (4), by striking “; and” and
22 inserting a semicolon;

23 (2) in paragraph (5)(C), by striking the period
24 at the end and inserting “; and”; and

25 (3) by adding at the end the following:

1 “(6) enable a non-Federal interest for a project
2 to—

3 “(A) submit information related to the
4 preparation of any Federal environmental re-
5 view document associated with the project; and

6 “(B) track the status of a Federal environ-
7 mental review associated with the project.”.

8 (c) RECORD RETENTION.—Section 2040(d) of the
9 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
10 2345(d)) is amended—

11 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking
12 “RECORD OF DETERMINATIONS” and inserting
13 “RECORD RETENTION”;

14 (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all
15 Federal environmental review documents included in
16 the electronic system” before the period at the end;
17 and

18 (3) in paragraph (2), by inserting “and all Fed-
19 eral environmental review documents included in the
20 electronic system,” before “after the 5-year”.

21 (d) AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS.—Section 2040(e) of
22 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
23 2345(e)) is amended—

24 (1) in the subsection heading, by striking “DE-
25 TERMINATIONS” and inserting “RECORDS”; and

1 (2) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and all
2 final Federal environmental review documents in-
3 cluded in the electronic system,” before “available to
4 the public”.

5 (e) DEADLINE FOR ELECTRONIC SYSTEM IMPLI-
6 MENTATION.—Section 2040(f)(1) of the Water Resources
7 Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(f)(1)) is
8 amended by striking “2 years after the date of enactment
9 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022” and
10 inserting “1 year after the date of enactment of the Water
11 Resources Development Act of 2024”.

12 (f) APPLICABILITY.—Section 2040(g) of the Water
13 Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2345(g))
14 is amended by inserting “, and the requirements described
15 in subsections (d) and (e) relating to Federal environ-
16 mental documents shall apply with respect to Federal envi-
17 ronmental review documents that are prepared after the
18 date of enactment of the Water Resources Development
19 Act of 2024” before the period at the end.

20 (g) E-NEPA.—

21 (1) CONSISTENCY.—Section 2040 of the Water
22 Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
23 2345) is amended by adding at the end the fol-
24 lowing:

1 “(i) CONSISTENCY WITH E-NEPA.—In carrying out
2 this section, the Secretary shall take into consideration the
3 results of the permitting portal study conducted pursuant
4 to the amendment made by section 321(b) of the Fiscal
5 Responsibility Act of 2023 (137 Stat. 44).”.

6 (2) COOPERATION.—The Secretary shall co-
7 operate with the Council on Environmental Quality
8 in conducting the permitting portal study required
9 pursuant to the amendment made by section 321(b)
10 of the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023 (137 Stat.
11 44).

12 (h) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 2040 of the
13 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C.
14 2345) is amended in the section heading by striking
15 “**PERMIT APPLICATIONS**” and inserting “**PERMIT AP-
16 PPLICATIONS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS**”.

17 **SEC. 108. VERTICAL INTEGRATION AND ACCELERATION OF
18 STUDIES.**

19 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1001(a) of the Water Re-
20 sources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C.
21 2282c(a)) is amended—

22 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “of initiation”
23 and inserting “on which the Secretary determines
24 the Federal interest for purposes of the report pur-

1 suant to section 905(b) of the Water Resources De-
2 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2282(b)”; and

3 (2) in paragraph (2)—

4 (A) by striking “cost of \$3,000,000; and”
5 and inserting the following: “cost of—

6 “(A) \$3,000,000 for a project with an esti-
7 mated construction cost of less than
8 \$500,000,000; and”; and

9 (B) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(B) \$5,000,000 for a project with an esti-
11 mated construction cost of greater than or
12 equal to \$500,000,000; and”.

13 (b) ADJUSTMENT.—Section 905(b)(2)(B) of the
14 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
15 2282(b)(2)(B)) is amended by striking “\$200,000” and
16 inserting “\$300,000”.

17 (c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 905(b)(4)
18 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
19 U.S.C. 2282(b)(4)) is amended by striking “(A) TIM-
20 ING.—” and all that follows through “The cost of” and
21 inserting “The cost of”.

22 **SEC. 109. SYSTEMWIDE IMPROVEMENT FRAMEWORK AND**
23 **ENCROACHMENTS.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 5(c) of the Act of August
25 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n(c)(2)) is amended—

1 (1) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the
2 following:

3 “(2) SYSTEMWIDE IMPROVEMENT PLAN.—

4 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding the
5 status of compliance of a non-Federal interest
6 with the requirements of a levee owner’s man-
7 ual, or any other eligibility requirement estab-
8 lished by the Secretary related to the mainte-
9 nance and upkeep responsibilities of the non-
10 Federal interest, the Secretary shall consider
11 the non-Federal interest to be eligible for repair
12 and rehabilitation assistance under this section
13 if—

14 “(i) in coordination with the Sec-
15 retary, the non-Federal interest develops a
16 systemwide improvement plan that—

17 “(I) identifies any items of de-
18 ferred or inadequate maintenance and
19 upkeep, including any such items
20 identified by the Secretary or through
21 periodic inspection of the flood control
22 work;

23 “(II) identifies any additional
24 measures, including repair and reha-
25 bilitation work, that the Secretary de-

1 termines necessary to ensure that the
2 flood control work performs as de-
3 signed and intended; and

4 “(III) includes specific timelines
5 for addressing such items and meas-
6 ures; and

7 “(ii) the Secretary—

8 “(I) determines that the system-
9 wide improvement plan meets the re-
10 quirements of clause (i); and

11 “(II) determines that the non-
12 Federal interest makes satisfactory
13 progress in meeting the timelines de-
14 scribed in clause (i)(III).

15 “(B) GRANDFATHERED ENCROACH-
16 MENTS.—At the request of the non-Federal in-
17 terest, the Secretary—

18 “(i) shall review documentation devel-
19 oped by the non-Federal interest showing a
20 covered encroachment does not negatively
21 impact the integrity of the flood control
22 work;

23 “(ii) shall make a written determina-
24 tion with respect to whether removal or
25 modification of such covered encroachment

1 is necessary to ensure the encroachment
2 does not negatively impact the integrity of
3 the flood control work; and

4 “(iii) may not determine that a cov-
5 ered encroachment is a deficiency requiring
6 corrective action unless such action is nec-
7 essary to ensure the encroachment does
8 not negatively impact the integrity of the
9 flood control work.”; and

10 (2) in paragraph (4), by adding at the end the
11 following:

12 “(C) COVERED ENCROACHMENT.—The
13 term ‘covered encroachment’ means a perma-
14 nent non-project structure that—

15 “(i) is located inside the boundaries of
16 a flood control work;

17 “(ii) is depicted on construction draw-
18 ings or operation and maintenance plans
19 for the flood control work that are signed
20 by an engineer of record; and

21 “(iii) is determined, by the Secretary,
22 to be an encroachment of such flood con-
23 trol work.”.

1 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 3011 of the
2 Water Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014
3 (33 U.S.C. 701n note) is repealed.

4 (c) TRANSITION.—The amendments made by this
5 section shall have no effect on any written agreement
6 signed by the Secretary and a non-Federal interest pursu-
7 ant to section 5(c)(2) of the Act of August 18, 1941 (as
8 in effect on the day before the date of enactment of this
9 Act) if the non-Federal interest otherwise continues to
10 meet the requirements of section 5(c)(2) as in effect on
11 the day before the date of enactment of this Act.

12 (d) PARTICIPATION IN PREPAREDNESS EXER-
13 CISES.—The Secretary may not condition the eligibility of
14 a non-Federal interest for rehabilitation assistance under
15 section 5 of the Act of August 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n)
16 on the participation of the non-Federal interest in disaster
17 preparedness exercises that are unrelated to necessary re-
18 pairs, rehabilitation, maintenance, and upkeep of a flood
19 control work.

20 **SEC. 110. FISH AND WILDLIFE MITIGATION.**

21 Section 906 of the Water Resources Development Act
22 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2283) is amended—

23 (1) in subsection (d)—

24 (A) in paragraph (1)—

1 (i) by striking “After November 17,
2 1986, the Secretary” and inserting “The
3 Secretary”; and

4 (ii) by striking “shall not submit” and
5 all that follows through “unless such re-
6 port contains” and inserting “may not ap-
7 prove any proposal related to a water re-
8 sources project unless the Secretary has
9 prepared a report relating to the project
10 that contains”;

11 (B) in paragraph (2)—

12 (i) by striking “The Secretary” and
13 inserting the following:

14 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and

15 (ii) by adding at the end the fol-
16 lowing:

17 “(B) IDENTIFICATION.—The Secretary
18 shall consult with the non-Federal interest for
19 a water resources project, and other stake-
20 holders, to the maximum extent practicable—

21 “(i) to identify mitigation implementa-
22 tion practices or accepted assessment
23 methodologies used in the region of the
24 water resources project and incorporate

1 such practices and methodologies into the
2 mitigation plan for such project; and

3 “(ii) to identify projects that have not
4 been constructed, or concepts described in
5 mitigation plans for other water resources
6 projects, that may be used to meet the res-
7 toration or mitigation needs of the water
8 resources project.”; and

9 (C) in paragraph (3)(B)(iv)(I), by insert-
10 ing “or a description of the requirements for a
11 third-party mitigation instrument that would be
12 developed in the case that a contract for future
13 delivery of credits will be used” after “to be
14 used”;

15 (2) in subsection (i)(1)(A)—

16 (A) in clause (i), by inserting “, for imme-
17 diate delivery or future delivery to be identified
18 in the mitigation instrument” after “banks”;
19 and

20 (B) in clause (ii), by inserting “, for imme-
21 diate delivery or future delivery to be identified
22 in the mitigation instrument” after “pro-
23 grams”; and

24 (3) by adding at the end the following:

1 “(l) SEPARABLE ELEMENTS.—Mitigation of fish and
2 wildlife losses required under this section that is provided
3 in the form of credit shall be considered a separable ele-
4 ment of a project without requiring further evaluation.

5 “(m) TRANSPARENCY.—The Secretary shall ensure
6 that—

7 “(1) the mitigation requirements for each water
8 resources project—

9 “(A) are made publicly available (including
10 on a website of the headquarters of the Corps
11 of Engineers); and

12 “(B) include the location of the project,
13 the anticipated schedule for mitigation, the type
14 of mitigation required, the amount of mitigation
15 required, and the remaining mitigation needs;

16 “(2) the mitigation plan for such project is
17 made publicly available, as applicable;

18 “(3) the information described in paragraph (1)
19 is updated regularly; and

20 “(4) carrying out the requirements of this sub-
21 section with respect to each water resources project
22 is considered a project expense.

23 “(n) COORDINATION.—To the maximum extent prac-
24 ticable, the Secretary shall ensure that the project delivery
25 team and regulatory team of the Corps of Engineers work

1 in coordination to successfully carry out mitigation ef-
2 forts.”.

3 **SEC. 111. HARBOR DEEPENING.**

4 (a) CONSTRUCTION.—Section 101(a)(1) of the Water
5 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
6 2211(a)(1)) is amended by striking “50 feet” each place
7 it appears and inserting “55 feet”.

8 (b) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—Section
9 101(b)(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
10 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2211(b)(1)) is amended by striking “50
11 feet” and inserting “55 feet”.

12 **SEC. 112. EMERGING HARBORS.**

13 Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment
14 of this Act, the Secretary shall—

15 (1) issue guidance for the purpose of carrying
16 out section 210(e)(3)(B) of the Water Resources De-
17 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(e)(3)(B));
18 and

19 (2) develop a mechanism to accept the non-Fed-
20 eral share of funds from a non-Federal interest for
21 maintenance dredging carried out under such sec-
22 tion.

23 **SEC. 113. REMOTE AND SUBSISTENCE HARBORS.**

24 Section 2006 of the Water Resources Development
25 Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2242) is amended—

1 (1) in subsection (a), by striking paragraphs
2 (1) through (3) and inserting the following:

3 “(1) the project would be located in the State
4 of Hawaii or Alaska, the Commonwealth of Puerto
5 Rico, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern
6 Mariana Islands, the United States Virgin Islands,
7 or American Samoa; and

8 “(2)(A) over 80 percent of the goods trans-
9 ported through the harbor would be consumed with-
10 in the United States, as determined by the Sec-
11 retary, including consideration of information pro-
12 vided by the non-Federal interest; or

13 “(B) the long-term viability of the community
14 in which the project is located, or the long-term via-
15 bility of a community that is located in the region
16 that is served by the project and that will rely on
17 the project, would be threatened without the harbor
18 and navigation improvement.”; and

19 (2) in subsection (b)—

20 (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),
21 by striking “benefits of the project to” and in-
22 serting “benefits of the project to any of”; and

23 (B) in paragraph (4), by striking “; and”
24 and inserting “; or”.

1 **SEC. 114. ADDITIONAL PROJECTS FOR UNDERSERVED COM-**
2 **MUNITY HARBORS.**

3 Section 8132 of the Water Resources Development
4 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2238e) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (c)—

6 (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),
7 by striking “section based on an assessment of”
8 and all that follows through “the local or re-
9 gional economic benefits of the project;” and in-
10 serting the following: “section—

11 “(1) based on an assessment of—

12 “(A) the local or regional economic bene-
13 fits of the project;”;

14 (B) by redesignating paragraphs (2) and
15 (3) as subparagraphs (B) and (C), respectively;

16 (C) in subparagraph (C) (as so redesign-
17 ated) by striking the period at the end and in-
18 serting “; and”; and

19 (D) by adding at the end the following:

20 “(2) that are located—

21 “(A) in a harbor where passenger and
22 freight service is provided to island communities
23 dependent on that service; or

24 “(B) in a lake, or any related connecting
25 channels, within the United States that is in-

1 “(3) have the potential to enhance the avail-
2 ability of containerized cargo on inland waterways.”.

3 **SEC. 116. DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL FACILITY PART-**
4 **NERSHIPS.**

5 Section 217(b) of the Water Resources Development
6 Act of 1996 (33 U.S.C. 2326a(b)) is amended—

7 (1) by amending paragraph (1) to read as fol-
8 lows:

9 “(1) IN GENERAL.—

10 “(A) NON-FEDERAL USE.—The Sec-
11 retary—

12 “(i) at the request of a non-Federal
13 entity, may permit the use of any dredged
14 material disposal facility under the juris-
15 diction of, or managed by, the Secretary by
16 the non-Federal entity if the Secretary de-
17 termines that such use will not reduce the
18 availability of the facility for the author-
19 ized water resources development project
20 on a channel in the vicinity of the disposal
21 facility;

22 “(ii) at the request of a non-Federal
23 entity, shall permit the non-Federal entity
24 to use a non-Federal disposal facility for
25 the disposal of material dredged by the

1 non-Federal entity, regardless of any con-
2 nection to a Federal navigation project,
3 if—

4 “(I) permission for such use has
5 been granted by the owner of the non-
6 Federal disposal facility; and

7 “(II) the Secretary determines
8 that the dredged material disposal
9 needs required to maintain, perform
10 authorized deepening, or restore the
11 navigability and functionality of au-
12 thorized navigation channels in the vi-
13 cinity of the non-Federal disposal fa-
14 cility for the 20-year period following
15 the date of the request, including all
16 planned and routine dredging oper-
17 ations necessary to maintain such
18 channels for the authorized purposes
19 during such period, can be met by the
20 available gross capacity of other
21 dredged material disposal facilities in
22 the vicinity of the non-Federal dis-
23 posal facility; and

1 “(iii) shall impose fees to recover cap-
2 ital, operation, and maintenance costs as-
3 sociated with such uses.

4 “(B) DETERMINATIONS.—The Secretary
5 shall—

6 “(i) delegate determinations under
7 clauses (i) and (ii)(II) of subparagraph (A)
8 to the District Commander of the district
9 in which the relevant disposal facility is lo-
10 cated; and

11 “(ii) make such determinations not
12 later than 90 days after receiving the ap-
13 plicable request.”;

14 (2) in paragraph (2)—

15 (A) in the paragraph heading, by striking
16 “USE OF FEES” and inserting “FEES”;

17 (B) by striking “Notwithstanding” and in-
18 serting the following:

19 “(A) USE.—Notwithstanding”; and

20 (C) by adding at the end the following:

21 “(B) REDUCTION IN AMOUNT.—In col-
22 lecting any fee under this subsection, the Sec-
23 retary shall reduce the amount imposed under
24 paragraph (1)(A)(iii) to account for improve-
25 ments made to the non-Federal disposal facility

1 by the non-Federal entity to recover the capac-
2 ity of the non-Federal disposal facility.”; and
3 (3) by adding at the end the following:

4 “(3) DISPOSITION STUDIES.—

5 “(A) REQUIREMENT.—Upon request by
6 the owner of a non-Federal disposal facility, the
7 Secretary shall carry out a disposition study of
8 the non-Federal disposal facility, in accordance
9 with section 1168 of the Water Resources De-
10 velopment Act of 2018 (33 U.S.C. 578b), if—

11 “(i) the Secretary has not used the
12 non-Federal disposal facility for the dis-
13 posal of dredged material during the 20-
14 year period preceding the date of the re-
15 quest; and

16 “(ii) the Secretary determines that
17 the non-Federal disposal facility is not
18 needed for such use by the Secretary dur-
19 ing the 20-year period following the date of
20 the request.

21 “(B) CONCLUSIVE PRESUMPTIONS.—For
22 purposes of carrying out a disposition study re-
23 quired under subparagraph (A), the Secretary
24 shall—

1 “(i) consider the non-Federal disposal
2 facility to be a separable element of a
3 project; and

4 “(ii) consider a Federal interest in the
5 non-Federal disposal facility to no longer
6 exist.

7 “(4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

8 “(A) GROSS CAPACITY.—The term ‘gross
9 capacity’ means the total quantity of dredged
10 material that may be placed in a dredged mate-
11 rial disposal facility, taking into consideration
12 any additional capacity that can be constructed
13 at the facility.

14 “(B) NON-FEDERAL DISPOSAL FACILITY.—
15 The term ‘non-Federal disposal facility’ means
16 a dredged material disposal facility under the
17 jurisdiction of, or managed by, the Secretary
18 that is owned by a non-Federal entity.”.

19 **SEC. 117. MAXIMIZATION OF BENEFICIAL USE.**

20 (a) BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL.—
21 Section 1122 of the Water Resources Development Act of
22 2016 (33 U.S.C. 2326 note) is amended—

23 (1) in subsection (a)—

24 (A) by striking “Not later than 90 days
25 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-

1 retary shall establish a pilot program” and in-
2 serting “The Secretary is authorized”; and

3 (B) by striking paragraph (1) and insert-
4 ing the following:

5 “(1) promoting resiliency and reducing the risk
6 to property and infrastructure of flooding and storm
7 damage;”;

8 (2) in subsection (b)—

9 (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),
10 by striking “the pilot program” and inserting
11 “this section”;

12 (B) by striking paragraph (1) and insert-
13 ing the following:

14 “(1) identify and carry out projects for the ben-
15 eficial use of dredged material;”;

16 (3) in subsection (c)(1)—

17 (A) by striking “In carrying out the pilot
18 program, the” and inserting “The”; and

19 (B) by striking “under the pilot program”
20 and inserting “under this section”;

21 (4) in subsection (d), in the matter preceding
22 paragraph (1), by striking “the pilot program” and
23 inserting “this section”;

24 (5) in subsection (f)—

1 (A) in paragraph (1), by striking “the pilot
2 program” and inserting “this section”; and

3 (B) in paragraph (4), by striking “the pilot
4 program” and inserting “the implementation of
5 this section”; and

6 (6) by striking subsection (g) and redesignating
7 subsection (h) as subsection (g).

8 (b) REGIONAL SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT.—Section
9 204 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33
10 U.S.C. 2326) is amended—

11 (1) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “rehabilita-
12 tion of projects” and inserting “rehabilitation of
13 projects, including projects for the beneficial use of
14 dredged materials described in section 1122 of the
15 Water Resources Development Act of 2016 (33
16 U.S.C. 2326 note),”;

17 (2) in subsection (f), by adding at the end the
18 following:

19 “(12) Osceola County, Florida.”.

20 (c) BENEFICIAL USE OF DREDGED MATERIAL.—Sec-
21 tion 125(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of
22 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2326g) is amended—

23 (1) by striking “It is the policy” and inserting
24 the following:

25 “(A) POLICY.—It is the policy”; and

1 (2) by adding at the end the following:

2 “(B) NATIONAL GOAL.—To the greatest
3 extent practicable, the Secretary shall ensure
4 that not less than 70 percent by tonnage of
5 suitable dredged material obtained from the
6 construction or operation and maintenance of
7 water resources development projects is used
8 beneficially.”.

9 (d) MAXIMIZATION OF BENEFICIAL USE IN
10 DREDGED MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PLANS.—Each
11 dredged material management plan for a federally author-
12 ized water resources development project, and each re-
13 gional sediment plan developed under section 204 of the
14 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (33 U.S.C.
15 2326), including any such plan under development on the
16 date of enactment of this Act, shall—

17 (1) maximize the beneficial use of suitable
18 dredged material; and

19 (2) to the maximum extent practicable,
20 prioritize the use of such dredged material in water
21 resources development projects in areas vulnerable
22 to coastal land loss or shoreline erosion.

23 (e) TRANSFER OF SUITABLE DREDGED MATE-
24 RIAL.—The Secretary is authorized to transfer to a non-
25 Federal interest at no cost, for the purpose of beneficial

1 use, suitable dredged material that the Secretary has de-
2 termined is in excess of the amounts of such material iden-
3 tified as needed for use by the Secretary.

4 **SEC. 118. ECONOMIC, HYDRAULIC, AND HYDROLOGIC MOD-**
5 **ELING.**

6 (a) MODEL DEVELOPMENT.—The Secretary, in col-
7 laboration with other Federal and State agencies, National
8 Laboratories, and non-profit research institutions (includ-
9 ing institutions of higher education and centers and lab-
10 oratories focused on economics or water resources), shall
11 develop, update, and maintain economic, hydraulic, and
12 hydrologic models, including models for compound flood-
13 ing, for use in the planning, design formulation, modifica-
14 tion, and operation of water resources development
15 projects and water resources planning.

16 (b) COORDINATION AND USE OF MODELS AND
17 DATA.—In carrying out subsection (a), to the extent prac-
18 ticable, the Secretary shall—

19 (1) work with the non-Federal interest for a
20 water resources development project to identify ex-
21 isting relevant economic, hydraulic, and hydrologic
22 models and data;

23 (2) utilize, where appropriate, economic, hy-
24 draulic, and hydrologic models and data provided to

1 the Secretary by the agencies, laboratories, and in-
2 stitutions described in subsection (a); and

3 (3) upon written request by a non-Federal in-
4 terest for a project, provide to the non-Federal inter-
5 est draft or working economic, hydraulic, and hydro-
6 logic models, and any data generated by such models
7 with respect to the project, not later than 30 days
8 after receiving such request; and

9 (4) in accordance with section 2017 of the
10 Water Resources Development Act of 2007 (33
11 U.S.C. 2342), make final economic, hydraulic, and
12 hydrologic models, and any data generated by such
13 models, available to the public, as quickly as prac-
14 ticable, but not later than 30 days after receiving a
15 written request for such models or data.

16 (c) MODEL OUTPUTS.—To the extent practicable and
17 appropriate, the Secretary shall incorporate data gen-
18 erated by models developed under this section into the for-
19 mulation of feasibility studies for, and the operation of,
20 water resources development projects.

21 (d) FUNDING.—The Secretary is authorized to trans-
22 fer to other Federal and State agencies, National Labora-
23 tories, and non-profit research institutions, including insti-
24 tutions of higher education, such funds as may be nec-

1 essary to carry out subsection (a) from amounts available
2 to the Secretary.

3 (e) IN-KIND CONTRIBUTION CREDIT.—A partnership
4 agreement entered into under section 221 of the Flood
5 Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b) may provide,
6 at the request of the non-Federal interest for the applica-
7 ble project, that the Secretary credit toward the non-Fed-
8 eral share of the cost of the project the value of economic,
9 hydraulic, and hydrologic models required for the project
10 that are developed by the non-Federal interest in accord-
11 ance with any policies and guidelines applicable to the rel-
12 evant partnership agreement pursuant to such section.

13 (f) REVIEW.—The Secretary shall review economic,
14 hydraulic, and hydrologic models developed under this sec-
15 tion in the same manner as any such models developed
16 under any other authority of the Secretary.

17 (g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

18 (1) COMPOUND FLOODING.—The term “com-
19 pound flooding” means a flooding event in which two
20 or more flood drivers, such as coastal storm surge-
21 driven flooding and inland rainfall-driven flooding,
22 occur simultaneously or in close succession and the
23 potential adverse effects of the combined flood driv-
24 ers may be greater than that of the individual flood
25 driver components.

1 (2) **ECONOMIC.**—The term “economic”, as used
2 in reference to models, means relating to the evalua-
3 tion of benefits and cost attributable to a project for
4 an economic justification under section 209 of the
5 Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962–2).

6 **SEC. 119. FORECAST-INFORMED RESERVOIR OPERATIONS.**

7 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—In updating a water control man-
8 ual for any reservoir constructed, owned, or operated by
9 the Secretary, including a reservoir for which the Sec-
10 retary is authorized to prescribe regulations for the use
11 of storage allocated for flood control or navigation pursu-
12 ant to section 7 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (33
13 U.S.C. 709), the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent
14 practicable, incorporate the use of forecast-informed res-
15 ervoir operations.

16 (b) **GUIDELINES.**—The Secretary, in coordination
17 with relevant Federal and State agencies and non-Federal
18 interests, shall issue clear and concise guidelines for incor-
19 porating the use of forecast-informed reservoir operations
20 into water control manuals for reservoirs described in sub-
21 section (a).

22 (c) **ASSESSMENT.**—

23 (1) **REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary shall carry
24 out an assessment of geographically diverse res-
25 ervoirs described in subsection (a) to determine the

1 viability of using forecast-informed reservoir oper-
2 ations at such reservoirs.

3 (2) PRIORITY AREAS.—In carrying out the as-
4 sessment described in paragraph (1), the Secretary
5 shall include an assessment of—

6 (A) each reservoir located in the South Pa-
7 cific Division of the Corps of Engineers; and

8 (B) reservoirs located in each of the
9 Northwestern Division and the South Atlantic
10 Division of the Corps of Engineers.

11 (3) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out this sub-
12 section, the Secretary shall consult with relevant
13 Federal and State agencies and non-Federal inter-
14 ests.

15 **SEC. 120. UPDATES TO CERTAIN WATER CONTROL MANU-**

16 **ALS.**

17 Section 8109 of the Water Resources Development
18 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3702) is amended by inserting “or
19 that incorporate the use of forecast-informed reservoir op-
20 erations into such manuals” before the period at the end.

21 **SEC. 121. WATER SUPPLY MISSION.**

22 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall—

23 (1) include water supply as a primary mission
24 of the Corps of Engineers in planning, prioritization,
25 designing, constructing, modifying, operating, and

1 maintaining water resources development projects;
2 and

3 (2) give equal consideration to the water supply
4 mission in the planning, prioritization, designing,
5 constructing, modifying, operating, and maintaining
6 of water resources development projects.

7 (b) LIMITATIONS.—

8 (1) NO NEW AUTHORITY.—Nothing in sub-
9 section (a) authorizes the Secretary to initiate a
10 water resources development project or modify an
11 authorized water resources development project.

12 (2) LIMITATIONS.—Nothing in subsection (a)
13 affects—

14 (A) any existing authority of the Secretary,
15 including—

16 (i) authorities of the Secretary with
17 respect to navigation, flood control, and
18 environmental protection and restoration;

19 (ii) the authority of the Secretary
20 under section 6 of the Flood Control Act
21 of 1944 (33 U.S.C. 708); and

22 (iii) the authority of the Secretary
23 under section 301 of the Water Supply Act
24 of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b);

1 (B) any applications for permits under the
2 jurisdiction of the Secretary, or lawsuits relat-
3 ing to such permits or water resources develop-
4 ment projects, pending as of the date of enact-
5 ment of this Act;

6 (C) the application of any procedures to
7 assure public notice and an opportunity for
8 public hearing for such permits; or

9 (D) the authority of a State to manage,
10 use, or allocate the water resources of that
11 State.

12 (c) WATER STORAGE AT CORPS RESERVOIRS.—Sec-
13 tion 301(b) of the Water Supply Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C.
14 390b(b)) is amended by striking “for Corps of Engineers
15 projects, not to exceed 30 percent” and replacing it with
16 “for Corps of Engineers projects, not to exceed 100 per-
17 cent”.

18 (d) REPORTS.—

19 (1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than one year
20 after the date of enactment of this section, the Sec-
21 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
22 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
23 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
24 lic Works of the Senate a report detailing—

1 (A) the steps taken to comply with sub-
2 section (a); and

3 (B) actions identified by non-Federal inter-
4 ests that may be taken, consistent with existing
5 authorized purposes of the applicable water re-
6 sources development projects, to—

7 (i) reallocate storage space in existing
8 water resources development projects for
9 municipal and industrial water supply pur-
10 poses pursuant to section 301 of the Water
11 Supply Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 390b);

12 (ii) enter into surplus water supply
13 contracts pursuant to section 6 of the
14 Flood Control Act of 1944 (33 U.S.C.
15 708);

16 (iii) modify the operations of an exist-
17 ing water resources development project to
18 produce water supply benefits incidental
19 to, and consistent with, the authorized pur-
20 poses of the project, including by—

21 (I) adjusting the timing of re-
22 leases for other authorized purposes
23 to create opportunities for water sup-
24 ply conservation, use, and storage;

25 (II) capturing stormwater;

1 (III) releasing water from stor-
2 age to replenish aquifer storage and
3 recovery; and

4 (IV) carrying out other conserva-
5 tion measures that enhance the use of
6 a project for water supply; and

7 (iv) cooperate with State, regional,
8 and local governments and planning au-
9 thorities to identify strategies to augment
10 water supply, enhance drought resiliency,
11 promote contingency planning, and assist
12 in the planning and development of alter-
13 native water sources.

14 (2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than 3 years
15 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
16 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
17 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
18 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
19 lic Works of the Senate a report that includes—

20 (A) identification of—

21 (i) the steps taken to comply with
22 subsection (a); and

23 (ii) the specific actions identified
24 under paragraph (1)(B) that were taken;
25 and

1 (B) an assessment of the results of such
2 steps and actions.

3 **SEC. 122. REAL ESTATE ADMINISTRATIVE FEES.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the
5 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall initiate
6 the development of guidance to standardize processes for
7 developing, updating, and tracking real estate administra-
8 tive fees administered by the Corps of Engineers.

9 (b) GUIDANCE.—In developing guidance under sub-
10 section (a), the Secretary shall—

11 (1) outline standard methodologies to estimate
12 costs for purposes of setting real estate administra-
13 tive fees;

14 (2) define the types of activities involved in
15 managing real estate instruments that are included
16 for purposes of setting such fees;

17 (3) establish cost-tracking procedures to cap-
18 ture data relating to the activities described in para-
19 graph (2) for purposes of setting such fees;

20 (4) outline a schedule for divisions or districts
21 of the Corps of Engineers to review, and update as
22 appropriate, real estate administrative fees, includ-
23 ing specifying what such reviews should entail and
24 the frequency of such reviews; and

1 (5) provide opportunities for stakeholder input
2 on real estate administrative fees.

3 (c) PUBLICLY AVAILABLE.—The Secretary shall
4 make publicly available on the website of each Corps of
5 Engineers district—

6 (1) the guidance developed under this section;
7 and

8 (2) any other relevant information on real es-
9 tate administrative fees, including lists of real estate
10 instruments requiring such fees, and methodologies
11 used to set such fees.

12 **SEC. 123. CHALLENGE COST-SHARING PROGRAM FOR MAN-**
13 **AGEMENT OF RECREATION FACILITIES.**

14 Section 225 of the Water Resources Development Act
15 of 1992 (33 U.S.C. 2328) is amended—

16 (1) in subsection (b)—

17 (A) by striking “To implement” and in-
18 serting the following:

19 “(1) IN GENERAL.—To implement”.

20 (B) in paragraph (1) (as so designated), by
21 striking “non-Federal public and private enti-
22 ties” and inserting “non-Federal public entities
23 and private nonprofit entities”; and

24 (C) by adding at the end the following:

1 “(2) REQUIREMENTS.—Before entering into an
2 agreement under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall
3 ensure that the non-Federal public entity or private
4 nonprofit entity has the authority and capability—

5 “(A) to carry out the terms of the agree-
6 ment; and

7 “(B) to pay damages, if necessary, in the
8 event of a failure to perform.”;

9 (2) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the
10 following:

11 “(c) USER FEES.—

12 “(1) COLLECTION OF FEES.—

13 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may
14 allow a non-Federal public entity or private
15 nonprofit entity that has entered into an agree-
16 ment pursuant to subsection (b) to collect user
17 fees for the use of developed recreation sites
18 and facilities, whether developed or constructed
19 by the non-Federal public entity or private non-
20 profit entity or the Department of the Army.

21 “(B) USE OF VISITOR RESERVATION SERV-
22 ICES.—

23 “(i) IN GENERAL.—A non-Federal
24 public entity or a private nonprofit entity
25 described in subparagraph (A) may use, to

1 manage fee collections and reservations
2 under this section, any visitor reservation
3 service that the Secretary has provided for
4 by contract or interagency agreement, sub-
5 ject to such terms and conditions as the
6 Secretary determines to be appropriate.

7 “(ii) TRANSFER.—The Secretary may
8 transfer, or cause to be transferred by an-
9 other Federal agency, to a non-Federal
10 public entity or a private nonprofit entity
11 described in subparagraph (A) user fees
12 received by the Secretary or other Federal
13 agency under a visitor reservation service
14 described in clause (i) for recreation facili-
15 ties and natural resources managed by the
16 non-Federal public entity or private non-
17 profit entity pursuant to a cooperative
18 agreement entered into under subsection
19 (b).

20 “(2) USE OF FEES.—

21 “(A) IN GENERAL.—A non-Federal public
22 entity or private nonprofit entity that collects a
23 user fee under paragraph (1)—

1 “(i) may retain up to 100 percent of
2 the fees collected, as determined by the
3 Secretary; and

4 “(ii) notwithstanding section
5 210(b)(4) of the Flood Control Act of
6 1968 (16 U.S.C. 460d–3(b)(4)), shall use
7 any retained amounts for operation, main-
8 tenance, and management activities relat-
9 ing to recreation and natural resources at
10 recreation site at which the fee is collected.

11 “(B) REQUIREMENTS.—The use by a non-
12 Federal public entity or private nonprofit entity
13 of user fees collected under paragraph (1)—

14 “(i) shall remain subject to the direc-
15 tion and oversight of the Secretary; and

16 “(ii) shall not affect any existing
17 third-party property interest, lease, or
18 agreement with the Secretary.

19 “(3) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The authority
20 of a non-Federal public entity or private nonprofit
21 entity under this subsection shall be subject to such
22 terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to
23 be necessary to protect the interests of the United
24 States.”; and

25 (3) in subsection (d)—

1 (A) by striking “For purposes” and insert-
2 ing the following:

3 “(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes”; and

4 (B) by striking “non-Federal public and
5 private entities. Any funds received by the Sec-
6 retary under this section” and inserting the fol-
7 lowing: “non-Federal public entities, private
8 nonprofit entities, and other private entities.

9 “(2) DEPOSIT OF FUNDS.—Any funds received
10 by the Secretary under this subsection”; and

11 (4) by adding at the end the following:

12 “(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

13 “(1) NON-FEDERAL PUBLIC ENTITY.—The term
14 ‘non-Federal public entity’ means a non-Federal
15 public entity as defined in the memorandum issued
16 by the Corp of Engineers on April 4, 2018, and ti-
17 tled ‘Implementation Guidance for Section 1155,
18 Management of Recreation Facilities, of the Water
19 Resources Development Act (WRDA) of 2016, Pub-
20 lic Law 114- 322’.

21 “(2) PRIVATE NONPROFIT ENTITY.—The term
22 ‘private nonprofit entity’ means an organization that
23 is described in section 501(c) of the Internal Rev-
24 enue Code of 1986 and exempt from taxation under
25 section 501(a) of that Code.”.

1 **SEC. 124. RETENTION OF RECREATION FEES.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 210(b) of the Flood Con-
3 trol Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. 460d–3(b)) is amended—

4 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “Notwith-
5 standing” and all that follows through “to establish”
6 and inserting “Subject to paragraphs (2) and (3),
7 the Secretary of the Army may establish”;

8 (2) in paragraph (3), by striking “vehicle. Such
9 maximum amount” and inserting “vehicle, which
10 amount”; and

11 (3) by striking paragraph (4) and inserting the
12 following:

13 “(4) DEPOSIT IN TREASURY.—Subject to para-
14 graph (5), the fees collected under this subsection
15 shall be deposited in the Treasury of the United
16 States as miscellaneous receipts.

17 “(5) RETENTION AND USE BY SECRETARY.—

18 “(A) RETENTION.—Of the fees collected
19 under this subsection, the Secretary may retain,
20 for use in accordance with subparagraph
21 (B)(ii)—

22 “(i) for each fiscal year during the
23 10-year period beginning on the date of en-
24 actment of this paragraph an amount
25 equal to the difference between—

1 “(I) the total amount of fees col-
2 lected under this subsection for the
3 applicable fiscal year;
4 “(II) for fiscal year 2024,
5 \$61,000,000;
6 “(III) for fiscal year 2025,
7 \$63,000,000;
8 “(IV) for fiscal year 2026,
9 \$64,000,000;
10 “(V) for fiscal year 2027,
11 \$66,000,000;
12 “(VI) for fiscal year 2028,
13 \$67,000,000;
14 “(VII) for fiscal year 2029,
15 \$69,000,000;
16 “(VIII) for fiscal year 2030,
17 \$71,000,000; and
18 “(IX) for fiscal year 2031,
19 \$72,000,000; and
20 “(ii) for the first fiscal year after the
21 10-year period described in clause (i), and
22 each fiscal year thereafter, the total
23 amount of fees collected under this sub-
24 section for the fiscal year.

1 “(B) USE.—The amounts retained by the
2 Secretary under subparagraph (A) shall—

3 “(i) be deposited in a special account,
4 to be established in the Treasury; and

5 “(ii) be available for use, without fur-
6 ther appropriation, for the operation and
7 maintenance of recreation sites and facili-
8 ties under the jurisdiction of the Secretary,
9 subject to the condition that not less than
10 80 percent of fees collected at a specific
11 recreation site shall be used at such site.

12 “(6) TREATMENT.—Fees collected under this
13 subsection—

14 “(A) shall be in addition to annual appro-
15 priated funding provided for the operation and
16 maintenance of recreation sites and facilities
17 under the jurisdiction of the Secretary; and

18 “(B) shall not be used as a basis for re-
19 ducing annual appropriated funding for such
20 operation and maintenance.”.

21 (b) SPECIAL ACCOUNTS.—Amounts in the special ac-
22 count for the Corps of Engineers described in section
23 210(b)(4) of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C.
24 460d–3(b)(4)) (as in effect on the day before the date of

1 enactment of this Act) that are unobligated on that date
2 shall—

3 (1) be transferred to the special account estab-
4 lished under paragraph (5)(B)(i) of section 210(b)
5 of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (as added by sub-
6 section (a)(3)); and

7 (2) be available to the Secretary of the Army
8 for operation and maintenance of any recreation
9 sites and facilities under the jurisdiction of the Sec-
10 retary of the Army, without further appropriation,
11 subject to paragraph (5)(B)(ii) of such section (as
12 added by subsection (a)(3)).

13 **SEC. 125. DATABASES OF CORPS RECREATIONAL SITES.**

14 The Secretary shall regularly update publicly avail-
15 able databases maintained, or cooperatively maintained,
16 by the Corps of Engineers with information on sites oper-
17 ated or maintained by the Secretary that are used for rec-
18 reational purposes, including the operational status of,
19 and the recreational opportunities available at, such sites.

20 **SEC. 126. SERVICES OF VOLUNTEERS.**

21 The Secretary may recognize a volunteer providing
22 services under the heading “Department of Defense—
23 Civil—Department of the Army—Corps of Engineers—
24 Civil—General Provisions” in chapter IV of title I of the
25 Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1983 (33 U.S.C. 569c)

1 through an award or other appropriate means, except that
2 such award may not be in the form of a cash award.

3 **SEC. 127. NON-RECREATION OUTGRANT POLICY.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the
5 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall update
6 the policy guidance of the Corps of Engineers for the eval-
7 uation and approval of non-recreational real estate
8 outgrant requests for the installation, on lands and waters
9 operated and maintained by the Secretary, of infrastruc-
10 ture for the provision of broadband services.

11 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In updating the policy guid-
12 ance under subsection (a), the Secretary shall ensure that
13 the policy guidance—

14 (1) requires the consideration of benefits to the
15 public in evaluating a request described in sub-
16 section (a);

17 (2) requires the Secretary to consider financial
18 factors when determining whether there is a viable
19 alternative to the installation for which approval is
20 requested as described in subsection (a);

21 (3) requires that a request described in sub-
22 section (a) be expeditiously approved or denied after
23 submission of a completed application for such re-
24 quest; and

1 (4) requires the Secretary to include in any de-
2 nial of such a request detailed information on the
3 justification for the denial.

4 (c) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section af-
5 fects or alters the responsibility of the Secretary—

6 (1) to sustain and protect the natural resources
7 of lands and waters operated and maintained by the
8 Secretary; or

9 (2) to carry out a water resources development
10 project consistent with the purposes for which such
11 project is authorized.

12 **SEC. 128. NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS AND LOW-HEAD**
13 **DAMS.**

14 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 6 of the National Dam
15 Safety Program Act (33 U.S.C. 467d) is amended to read
16 as follows:

17 **“SEC. 6. NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS AND LOW-HEAD**
18 **DAMS.**

19 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Army shall
20 maintain and update information on the inventory of dams
21 and low-head dams in the United States.

22 “(b) DAMS.—The inventory maintained under sub-
23 section (a) shall include any available information assess-
24 ing each dam based on inspections completed by a Federal

1 agency, a State dam safety agency, or a Tribal govern-
2 ment.

3 “(c) LOW-HEAD DAMS.—The inventory maintained
4 under subsection (a) shall include—

5 “(1) the location, ownership, description, cur-
6 rent use, condition, height, and length of each low-
7 head dam;

8 “(2) any information on public safety conditions
9 at each low-head dam; and

10 “(3) any other relevant information concerning
11 low-head dams.

12 “(d) DATA.—In carrying out this section, the Sec-
13 retary shall—

14 “(1) coordinate with Federal and State agen-
15 cies, Tribal governments, and other relevant entities;
16 and

17 “(2) use data provided to the Secretary by
18 those agencies and entities.

19 “(e) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall
20 make the inventory maintained under subsection (a) pub-
21 licly available (including on a publicly available website),
22 including—

23 “(1) public safety information on the dangers of
24 low-head dams; and

1 (B) by adding at the end the following:

2 “(2) EXCEPTION.—For a project under this
3 section for which the Federal share of the costs is
4 expected to exceed \$60,000,000, the Secretary may
5 expend more than such amount only if—

6 “(A) the Secretary submits to Congress
7 the determination made under subsection (a)
8 with respect to the project; and

9 “(B) construction of the project substan-
10 tially in accordance with the plans, and subject
11 to the conditions, described in such determina-
12 tion is specifically authorized by Congress.”;
13 and

14 (2) in subsection (f), by striking “2017 through
15 2026” and inserting “2025 through 2030”.

16 **SEC. 130. TREATMENT OF PROJECTS IN COVERED COMMU-**
17 **NITIES.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—In carrying out a feasibility study
19 for a project that serves a covered community, the Sec-
20 retary shall adjust the calculation of the benefit-cost ratio
21 for the project in order to equitably compare such project
22 to projects carried out in the contiguous States of the
23 United States and the District of Columbia.

24 (b) EVALUATION.—In carrying out this section, the
25 Secretary shall—

1 (1) compute the benefit-cost ratio without ad-
2 justing the calculation as described in subsection (a);

3 (2) compute an adjusted benefit-cost ratio by
4 adjusting the construction costs for the project to re-
5 flect what construction costs would be if the project
6 were carried out in a comparable community in the
7 contiguous States that is nearest to the community
8 in which the project will be carried out;

9 (3) include in the documentation associated
10 with the feasibility study for the project the ratios
11 calculated under paragraph (1) and paragraph (2);
12 and

13 (4) consider the adjusted benefit-cost ratio cal-
14 culated under paragraph (2) in selecting the ten-
15 tatively selected plan for the project.

16 (c) COVERED COMMUNITY DEFINED.—In this sec-
17 tion, the term “covered community” means a community
18 located in the State of Hawaii, Alaska, the Commonwealth
19 of Puerto Rico, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern
20 Mariana Islands, the United States Virgin Islands, or
21 American Samoa.

22 **SEC. 131. ABILITY TO PAY.**

23 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 103(m) of the Water Re-
24 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(m))
25 is amended—

1 (1) in paragraph (1) by striking “an agricul-
2 tural” and inserting “a”;

3 (2) by striking paragraphs (2) and (3) and in-
4 serting the following:

5 “(2) CRITERIA.—The Secretary shall determine
6 the ability of a non-Federal interest to pay under
7 this subsection by considering—

8 “(A) per capita income data for the county
9 or counties in which the project is to be located;

10 “(B) the per capita non-Federal cost of
11 construction of the project for the county or
12 counties in which the project is to be located;

13 “(C) the financial capabilities of the non-
14 Federal interest for the project;

15 “(D) the guidance issued under section
16 160 of the Water Resources Development Act
17 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note); and

18 “(E) any additional criteria relating to the
19 non-Federal interest’s financial ability to carry
20 out its cost-sharing responsibilities determined
21 appropriate by the Secretary.

22 “(3) PROCEDURES.—For purposes of carrying
23 out paragraph (2), the Secretary shall develop proce-
24 dures—

1 “(A) to allow a non-Federal interest to
2 identify the amount such non-Federal interest
3 would likely be able to pay; and

4 “(B) for a non-Federal interest to submit
5 a request to the Secretary to reduce the re-
6 quired non-Federal share.”; and

7 (3) by adding at the end the following:

8 “(5) BENEFITS ANALYSIS CONSIDERATIONS.—

9 In calculating the benefits and costs of project alter-
10 natives relating to the height of a flood risk reduc-
11 tion project for purposes of determining the national
12 economic development benefits of the project, the
13 Secretary—

14 “(A) shall include insurance costs incurred
15 by homeowners; and

16 “(B) may consider additional costs in-
17 curred by households, as appropriate.

18 “(6) EXCEPTION.—This subsection shall not
19 apply to project costs greater than the national eco-
20 nomic determination plan.

21 “(7) REPORT.—

22 “(A) IN GENERAL.—Not less frequently
23 than annually, the Secretary shall submit to the
24 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
25 ture of the House of Representatives and the

1 Committee on Environment and Public Works
2 of the Senate a report describing all determina-
3 tions of the Secretary under this subsection re-
4 garding the ability of a non-Federal interest to
5 pay.

6 “(B) CONTENTS.—The Secretary shall in-
7 clude in each report required under subpara-
8 graph (A) a description, for the applicable year,
9 of—

10 “(i) requests by a non-Federal inter-
11 est to reduce the non-Federal share re-
12 quired in a cost-sharing agreement;

13 “(ii) the determination of the Sec-
14 retary with respect to each such request;
15 and

16 “(iii) the basis for each such deter-
17 mination.

18 “(C) INCLUSION IN CHIEF’S REPORT.—
19 The Secretary shall include each determination
20 to reduce the non-Federal share required in a
21 cost-sharing agreement for construction of a
22 project in the report of the Chief of Engineers
23 for the project.”.

24 (b) UPDATE TO GUIDANCE.—Not later than 1 year
25 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall

1 update any agency guidance or regulation relating to the
2 ability of a non-Federal interest to pay as necessary to
3 reflect the amendments made by this section.

4 (c) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—The Secretary shall make
5 a determination under section 103(m) of the Water Re-
6 sources Development Act of 1986, as amended by this sec-
7 tion, of the ability to pay of the non-Federal interest for
8 the following projects:

9 (1) Any authorized water resources development
10 project for which the Secretary waives the cost-shar-
11 ing requirement under section 1156 of the Water
12 Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
13 2310).

14 (2) Any authorized watercraft inspection and
15 decontamination station established, operated, or
16 maintained pursuant to section 104(d) of the River
17 and Harbor Act of 1958 (33 U.S.C. 610(d)).

18 (3) The Chattahoochee River Program, author-
19 ized by section 8144 of the Water Resources Devel-
20 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3724).

21 (4) The project for navigation, Craig Harbor,
22 Alaska, authorized by section 1401(1) of the Water
23 Resources Development Act of 2016 (130 Stat.
24 1709).

1 (5) The project for flood risk management,
2 Westminster, East Garden Grove, California Flood
3 Risk Management, authorized by section 401(2) of
4 the Water Resources Development Act of 2020 (134
5 Stat. 2735).

6 (6) Modifications to the L-29 levee component
7 of the Central and Southern Florida project, author-
8 ized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1948
9 (62 Stat. 1176), in the vicinity of the Tigertail
10 camp.

11 (7) Any authorized water resources development
12 projects in Guam.

13 (8) The project for flood risk management, Ala
14 Wai Canal, Hawaii, authorized by section 1401(2) of
15 the Water Resources Development Act of 2018 (132
16 Stat. 3837).

17 (9) The project for flood control Kentucky
18 River and its tributaries, Kentucky, authorized by
19 section 6 of the Act of August 11, 1939 (chapter
20 699, 53 Stat. 1416).

21 (10) The project for flood risk management on
22 the Kentucky River and its tributaries and water-
23 sheds in Breathitt, Clay, Estill, Harlan, Lee, Leslie,
24 Letcher, Owsley, Perry, and Wolfe Counties, Ken-
25 tucky, authorized by section 8201(a)(31) of the

1 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (136
2 Stat. 3746).

3 (11) The project for flood control, Williamsport,
4 Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of the Act of
5 June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1573).

6 (12) The project for ecosystem restoration,
7 Resacas, in the vicinity of the City of Brownsville,
8 Texas, authorized by section 1401(5) of the Water
9 Resources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat.
10 3839).

11 (13) Construction of any critical restoration
12 project in the Lake Champlain watershed, Vermont
13 and New York, authorized by section 542 of the
14 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114
15 Stat. 2671; 121 Stat. 1150; 134 Stat. 2680; 136
16 Stat. 3822).

17 (14) Any authorized flood control and storm
18 damage reduction project in the United States Vir-
19 gin Islands that was impacted by Hurricanes Irma
20 and Maria.

21 (15) Construction of dredged material stabiliza-
22 tion and retaining structures related to the project
23 for navigation, Lower Willamette and Columbia Riv-
24 ers, from Portland, Oregon, to the sea, authorized

1 by the first section of the Act of June 18, 1878
2 (chapter 267, 20 Stat. 157, chapter 264).

3 (16) Any water-related environmental infra-
4 structure project authorized by section 219 of the
5 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (Public
6 Law 102–580).

7 **SEC. 132. TRIBAL PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

8 Section 203 of the Water Resources Development Act
9 of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269) is amended—

10 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “the term ‘In-
11 dian tribe’ has the meaning given the term” and in-
12 serting “the terms ‘Indian tribe’ and ‘Indian Tribe’
13 have the meanings given the terms”;

14 (2) in subsection (b)—

15 (A) in paragraph (1)(B)—

16 (i) by striking “or in proximity” and
17 inserting “, in proximity”; and

18 (ii) by inserting “, or in proximity to
19 a river system or other aquatic habitat
20 with respect to which an Indian Tribe has
21 Tribal treaty rights” after “Alaska Native
22 villages”;

23 (B) in paragraph (2)(A), by inserting “and
24 stormwater management (including manage-
25 ment of stormwater that flows at a rate of less

1 than 800 cubic feet per second for the 10-per-
2 cent flood)” after “erosion control”; and

3 (C) in paragraph (4), by striking
4 “\$26,000,000” each place it appears and in-
5 serting “\$28,500,000”; and

6 (3) by striking subsection (e).

7 **SEC. 133. FUNDING TO PROCESS PERMITS.**

8 Section 214(a) of the Water Resources Development
9 Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2352(a)) is amended—

10 (1) in paragraph (1), by adding at the end the
11 following:

12 “(D) INDIAN TRIBE.—The term ‘Indian
13 Tribe’ means—

14 “(i) an Indian Tribe, as such term is
15 defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-De-
16 termination and Education Assistance Act
17 (25 U.S.C. 5304); and

18 “(ii) any entity formed under the au-
19 thority of one or more Indian Tribes, as so
20 defined.”;

21 (2) in paragraph (2)—

22 (A) by inserting “Indian Tribe,” after
23 “public-utility company,” each place it appears;
24 and

1 (B) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “,
2 including an aquatic ecosystem restoration
3 project” before the period at the end; and
4 (3) by striking paragraph (4).

5 **SEC. 134. PROJECT STUDIES SUBJECT TO INDEPENDENT**
6 **EXTERNAL PEER REVIEW.**

7 Section 2034 of the Water Resources Development
8 Act of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 2343) is amended—

9 (1) in subsection (d)(2)—

10 (A) by striking “assess the adequacy and
11 acceptability of the economic” and insert the
12 following: “assess the adequacy and accept-
13 ability of—

14 “(A) the economic”;

15 (B) in subparagraph (A), as so redesign-
16 nated, by adding “and” at the end; and

17 (C) by adding at the end the following:

18 “(B) the consideration of nonstructural al-
19 ternatives under section 73(a) of the Water Re-
20 sources Development Act of 1974 (33 U.S.C.
21 701b-11(a)) for projects for flood risk manage-
22 ment;”;

23 (2) by striking subsection (h); and

24 (3) by redesignating subsections (i) through (l)
25 as subsections (h) through (k), respectively.

1 **SEC. 135. CONTROL OF AQUATIC PLANT GROWTHS AND**
2 **INVASIVE SPECIES.**

3 Section 104 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958
4 (33 U.S.C. 610) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (e)(3), by inserting “, and
6 monitoring and contingency planning for,” after
7 “early detection of”; and

8 (2) in subsection (g)(2)(A), by inserting “the
9 Connecticut River Basin,” after “the Ohio River
10 Basin,”.

11 **SEC. 136. REMOTE OPERATIONS AT CORPS DAMS.**

12 During the 10-year period beginning on the date of
13 enactment of this Act, with respect to a water resources
14 development project owned, operated, or managed by the
15 Corps of Engineers, the Secretary may not use remote op-
16 eration activities at a navigation or hydroelectric power
17 generating facility at such project as a replacement for
18 activities performed, as of the date of enactment of this
19 Act, by personnel under the direction of the Secretary at
20 such project unless the Secretary provides to the Com-
21 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House
22 of Representatives and the Committee on Environment
23 and Public Works of the Senate written notice that—

24 (1) use of the remote operation activities—

1 (A) does not affect activities described in
2 section 314 of the Water Resources Develop-
3 ment Act of 1990 (33 U.S.C. 2321);

4 (B) will address any cyber and physical se-
5 curity risks to such project in accordance with
6 applicable Federal law and agency guidance;
7 and

8 (C) is necessary to increase the availability
9 and capacity, as applicable, of such project, in-
10 cluding a project on a lower-use waterway; and

11 (2) the remote operation activities were devel-
12 oped under a public process that included engage-
13 ment with such personnel and other stakeholders
14 who may be affected by the use of such activities.

15 **SEC. 137. HARMFUL ALGAL BLOOM DEMONSTRATION PRO-**
16 **GRAM.**

17 Section 128 of the Water Resources Development Act
18 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note) is amended—

19 (1) in subsection (a), by inserting “or affecting
20 water bodies of regional, national, or international
21 importance” after “projects”;

22 (2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “and State
23 agencies” and inserting “, State, and local agencies,
24 institutions of higher education, and private organi-
25 zations, including nonprofit organizations”;

1 (3) in subsection (c) in paragraph (6), insert
2 “Watershed” after “Okeechobee”;

3 (4) in subsection (e), by striking “\$25,000,000”
4 and inserting “\$35,000,000”; and

5 (5) by adding at the end the following:

6 “(f) PRIORITY.—In carrying out the demonstration
7 program under subsection (a), the Secretary shall, to the
8 maximum extent possible, prioritize carrying out program
9 activities that—

10 “(1) reduce nutrient pollution;

11 “(2) utilize natural and nature-based ap-
12 proaches, including oysters;

13 “(3) protect, enhance, or restore wetlands or
14 flood plains, including river and streambank sta-
15 bilization;

16 “(4) develop technologies for remote sensing,
17 monitoring, or early detection of harmful algal
18 blooms, or other emerging technologies; and

19 “(5) combine removal of harmful algal blooms
20 with a beneficial use, including conversion of re-
21 trieved algae biomass into biofuel, fertilizer, or other
22 products.

23 “(g) AGREEMENTS.—In carrying out the demonstra-
24 tion program under subsection (a), the Secretary may
25 enter into agreements with a non-Federal entity for the

1 use or sale of successful technologies developed under this
2 section.”.

3 **SEC. 138. SUPPORT OF ARMY CIVIL WORKS MISSIONS.**

4 Section 8159 of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3740) is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (3), by striking “; and” and
7 inserting a semicolon;

8 (2) in paragraph (4), by striking the period at
9 the end and inserting “; and”; and

10 (3) by adding at the end the following:

11 “(5) Western Washington University, Bel-
12 lingham to conduct academic research on water
13 quality, aquatic ecosystem restoration (including
14 aquaculture), and the resiliency of water resources
15 development projects in the Pacific Northwest to
16 natural disasters;

17 “(6) the University of North Carolina Wil-
18 mington to conduct academic research on flood miti-
19 gation, coastal resiliency, water resource ecology,
20 water quality, aquatic ecosystem restoration (includ-
21 ing aquaculture), coastal restoration, and resource-
22 related emergency management in North Carolina
23 and Mid-Atlantic region; and

24 “(7) California State Polytechnic University,
25 Pomona to conduct academic research on integrated

1 design and management of water resources develop-
2 ment projects, including for the purposes of flood
3 risk management, ecosystem restoration, water sup-
4 ply, water conservation, and sustainable aquifer
5 management.”.

6 **SEC. 139. NATIONAL COASTAL MAPPING PROGRAM.**

7 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to
8 carry out a national coastal mapping program to provide
9 recurring national coastal mapping along the coasts of the
10 United States to support Corps of Engineers navigation,
11 flood risk management, environmental restoration, and
12 emergency operations missions.

13 (b) SCOPE.—In carrying out the program under sub-
14 section (a), the Secretary shall—

15 (1) disseminate coastal mapping data and new
16 or advanced geospatial information and remote sens-
17 ing tools for coastal mapping derived from the anal-
18 ysis of such data to the Corps of Engineers, other
19 Federal agencies, States, and other stakeholders;

20 (2) implement coastal surveying based on find-
21 ings of the national coastal mapping study carried
22 out under section 8110 of the Water Resources De-
23 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3702);

24 (3) conduct research and development on bathy-
25 metric liDAR and ancillary technologies necessary to

1 advance coastal mapping capabilities in order to ex-
2 ploit data with increased efficiency and greater ac-
3 curacy;

4 (4) with respect to any region affected by a
5 hurricane rated category 3 or higher—

6 (A) conduct coastal mapping of such re-
7 gion;

8 (B) determine volume changes at Federal
9 projects in such region;

10 (C) quantify damage to navigation infra-
11 structure in such region;

12 (D) assess environmental impacts to such
13 region, measure any coastal impacts; and

14 (E) make any data gathered under this
15 paragraph publicly available not later than 2
16 weeks after the acquisition of such data;

17 (5) at the request of another Federal entity or
18 a State or local government entity, provide subject
19 matter expertise, mapping services, and technology
20 evolution assistance;

21 (6) enter into an agreement with another Fed-
22 eral agency or a State agency to accept funds from
23 such agency to expand the coverage of the program
24 to efficiently meet the needs of such agency;

1 (7) coordinate with representatives of the Naval
2 Meteorology and Oceanography Command, the Na-
3 tional Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,
4 United States Geological Survey, and any other rep-
5 resentative of a Federal agency that the Secretary
6 determines necessary, to support any relevant Fed-
7 eral, State, or local agency through participation in
8 working groups, committees, and organizations.

9 (8) maintain the panel of senior leaders estab-
10 lished under section 8110(e) of the Water Resources
11 Development Act of 2022;

12 (9) convene an annual coastal mapping commu-
13 nity of practice meeting to discuss and identify tech-
14 nical topics and challenges to inform such panel in
15 carrying out the duties of such panel; and

16 (10) to the maximum extent practicable, to pro-
17 cure any surveying or mapping services in accord-
18 ance with chapter 11 of title 40, United States
19 Code.

20 (c) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is
21 authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section for
22 each fiscal year \$15,000,000, to remain available until ex-
23 pended.

1 **SEC. 140. WATERSHED AND RIVER BASIN ASSESSMENTS.**

2 Section 729 of the Water Resources Development Act
3 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2267a) is amended—

4 (1) in subsection (d)—

5 (A) in paragraph (12), by striking “; and”
6 and inserting a semicolon;

7 (B) in paragraph (13), by striking the pe-
8 riod at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

9 (C) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(14) Connecticut River Watershed, Con-
11 necticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and
12 Vermont;

13 “(15) Lower Rouge River Watershed, Michigan;
14 and

15 “(16) Grand River Watershed, Michigan.”; and

16 (2) by adding at the end the following:

17 “(g) FEASIBILITY REPORT ON PROJECT SPECIFIC
18 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM ASSESSMENTS.—

19 “(1) IN GENERAL.—At the request of a non-
20 Federal interest for an assessment completed under
21 this section, the Secretary is authorized to prepare
22 a feasibility report, in accordance with the require-
23 ments of section 905, recommending the construc-
24 tion or modification of a water resources develop-
25 ment project to address a water resources need of a

1 river basin or watershed of the United States identi-
2 fied in the assessment.

3 “(2) PRIORITY WATERSHEDS.—In carrying out
4 this subsection, the Secretary shall give priority to—

5 “(A) the watersheds of the island of Maui,
6 Hawaii, including the Wahikuli, Honokōwai,
7 Kahana, Honokahua, and Honolua watersheds,
8 including the coral reef habitat north of
9 Lahaina off the northwestern coast of the is-
10 land of Maui; and

11 “(B) the watersheds of the Northern Mar-
12 iana Islands, American Samoa, and Guam.”.

13 **SEC. 141. REMOVAL OF ABANDONED VESSELS.**

14 Section 19 of the Act of March 3, 1899 (33 U.S.C.
15 414) is amended—

16 (1) by striking “**SEC. 19. (a)** That whenever”
17 and inserting the following:

18 **“SEC. 19. VESSEL REMOVAL BY CORPS OF ENGINEERS.**

19 “(a) REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIVE VESSELS.—

20 “(1) IN GENERAL.—That whenever”;

21 (2) in subsection (b)—

22 (A) by striking “described in this section”

23 and inserting “described in this subsection”;

24 and

1 (B) by striking “under subsection (a)” and
2 inserting “under paragraph (1)”;

3 (3) by striking “(b) The owner” and inserting
4 the following:

5 “(2) LIABILITY OF OWNER, LESSEE, OR OPER-
6 ATOR.—The owner”; and

7 (4) by adding at the end the following:

8 “(b) REMOVAL OF ABANDONED VESSEL.—

9 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized
10 to remove from the navigable waters of the United
11 States a covered vessel that does not obstruct the
12 navigation of such waters, if—

13 “(A) such removal is determined to be in
14 the public interest by the Secretary, in con-
15 sultation with any State in which the vessel is
16 located or any Indian Tribe with jurisdiction
17 over the area in which the vessel is located, as
18 applicable; and

19 “(B) in the case of a vessel that is not
20 under the control of the United States by rea-
21 son of seizure or forfeiture, the Commandant of
22 the Coast Guard determines that the vessel is
23 abandoned.

1 “(2) INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS.—In remov-
2 ing a covered vessel under this subsection, the Sec-
3 retary—

4 “(A) shall enter into an interagency agree-
5 ment with the head of any Federal department,
6 agency, or instrumentality that has control of
7 such vessel; and

8 “(B) is authorized to accept funds from
9 such department, agency, or instrumentality for
10 the removal of such vessel.

11 “(3) LIABILITY.—The owner of a covered vessel
12 shall be liable to the United States for the costs of
13 removal, destruction, and disposal of such vessel
14 under this subsection.

15 “(4) COVERED VESSEL DEFINED.—

16 “(A) IN GENERAL.—In this subsection, the
17 term ‘covered vessel’ means a vessel—

18 “(i) determined to be abandoned by
19 the Commandant of the Coast Guard; or

20 “(ii) under the control of the United
21 States by reason of seizure or forfeiture
22 pursuant to any law.

23 “(B) EXCLUSION.—The term ‘covered ves-
24 sel’ does not include—

1 “(i) any vessel for which the Secretary
2 has removal authority under subsection (a)
3 or section 20;

4 “(ii) an abandoned barge for which
5 the Commandant of the Coast Guard has
6 the authority to remove under chapter 47
7 of title 46, United States Code; and

8 “(iii) a vessel—

9 “(I) for which the owner is not
10 identified, unless determined to be
11 abandoned by the Commandant of the
12 Coast Guard; or

13 “(II) for which the owner has not
14 agreed to pay the costs of removal,
15 destruction, or disposal.

16 “(5) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—
17 There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out
18 this section \$10,000,000 for each of fiscal years
19 2025 through 2029.”.

20 **SEC. 142. CORROSION PREVENTION.**

21 Section 1033(c) of the Water Resources Reform and
22 Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2350(c)) is amend-
23 ed—

24 (1) in paragraph (2), by striking “; and” and
25 inserting a semicolon;

1 (2) by redesignating paragraph (3) as para-
2 graph (4); and

3 (3) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-
4 lowing:

5 “(3) the carrying out of an activity described in
6 paragraph (1), (2), or (3) through a program in cor-
7 rosion prevention that is—

8 “(A) offered or accredited by an organiza-
9 tion that sets industry standards for corrosion
10 mitigation and prevention; or

11 “(B) an industrial coatings applicator pro-
12 gram that is—

13 “(i) an employment and training ac-
14 tivity (as defined in section 3 of the Work-
15 force Innovation and Opportunity Act (29
16 U.S.C. 3102)); or

17 “(ii) registered under the Act of Au-
18 gust 16, 1937 (commonly known as the
19 ‘National Apprenticeship Act’; 50 Stat.
20 664, chapter 663; 29 U.S.C. 50 et seq.);
21 and”.

22 **SEC. 143. MISSOURI RIVER EXISTING FEATURES PROTEC-**
23 **TION.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—Before carrying out a covered ac-
25 tion with respect to a covered in-river feature, the Sec-

1 retary shall perform an analysis to identify whether such
2 action will—

3 (1) contribute to adverse effects of increased
4 water levels during flood events adjacent to the cov-
5 ered in-river feature;

6 (2) increase risk of flooding on commercial and
7 residential structures and critical infrastructure ad-
8 jacent to the covered in-river feature;

9 (3) decrease water levels during droughts adja-
10 cent to the covered in-river feature;

11 (4) affect the navigation channel, including
12 crossflows, velocity, channel depth, and channel
13 width, adjacent to the covered in-river feature;

14 (5) contribute to bank erosion on private lands
15 adjacent to the covered in-river feature;

16 (6) affect ports or harbors adjacent to the cov-
17 ered in-river feature; or

18 (7) affect harvesting of sand adjacent to the
19 covered in-river feature.

20 (b) MITIGATION.—If the Secretary determines that
21 a covered action will result in an outcome described in sub-
22 section (a), the Secretary shall mitigate such outcome.

23 (c) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section may
24 be construed to affects the requirements of section 906

1 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
2 U.S.C. 2283).

3 (d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

4 (1) COVERED ACTION.—The term “covered ac-
5 tion” means the construction of, modification of,
6 operational changes to, or implementation of a cov-
7 ered in-river feature.

8 (2) COVERED IN-RIVER FEATURE.—The term
9 “covered in-river feature” means in-river features on
10 the Missouri River used to create and maintain dike
11 notches, chutes, and complexes for interception or
12 rearing authorized pursuant to section 601(a) of the
13 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (100
14 Stat. 4143; 113 Stat. 306; 121 Stat. 1155) and sec-
15 tion 334 of the Water Resources Development Act
16 of 1999 (113 Stat. 306; 136 Stat. 3799).

17 **SEC. 144. FEDERAL BREAKWATERS AND JETTIES.**

18 Section 8101 of the Water Resources Development
19 Act of 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2351b) is amended—

20 (1) by inserting “, pile dike,” after “jetty” each
21 place it appears; and

22 (2) in subsection (b)(2)—

23 (A) by striking “if” and all that follows
24 through “the Secretary” and inserting “if the
25 Secretary”;

1 (B) by striking “breakwater; and” and in-
2 serting “breakwater and—”

3 (C) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as
4 subparagraph (A);

5 (D) in subparagraph (A) (as so redesign-
6 nated), by striking the period at the end and in-
7 serting “; or”; and

8 (E) by adding at the end the following:

9 “(B) the pile dike has disconnected from
10 an authorized navigation project as a result of
11 a lack of such regular and routine Federal
12 maintenance activity.”.

13 **SEC. 145. TEMPORARY RELOCATION ASSISTANCE PILOT**
14 **PROGRAM.**

15 Section 8154(g)(1) of the Water Resources Develop-
16 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3734) is amended by adding
17 at the end the following:

18 “(F) Project for hurricane and storm dam-
19 age risk reduction, Norfolk Coastal Storm Risk
20 Management, Virginia, authorized by section
21 401(3) of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2738).”.

1 **SEC. 146. EASEMENTS FOR HURRICANE AND STORM DAM-**
2 **AGE REDUCTION PROJECTS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—With respect to a project for hur-
4 ricane and storm damage reduction that has been author-
5 ized before the date of enactment of this Act and for which
6 the Secretary is requiring a perpetual easement, the Sec-
7 retary shall, upon request by the non-Federal interest for
8 the project, certify real estate availability and proceed to
9 construction of such project with a non-perpetual ease-
10 ment if—

11 (1) such certification and construction are in
12 compliance with the terms of the report of the Chief
13 of Engineers for the project and the applicable
14 project partnership agreement; and

15 (2) the Secretary provides the non-Federal in-
16 terest with formal notice that, in the event in which
17 the non-perpetual easement expires and is not ex-
18 tended, the Secretary will be unable to—

19 (A) fulfill the Federal responsibility with
20 respect to the project or carry out any required
21 nourishment of the project under the existing
22 project authorization;

23 (B) carry out repair and rehabilitation of
24 the project under section 5 of the Act of August
25 18, 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n); and

1 (C) provide any other relevant Federal as-
2 sistance with respect to the project.

3 (b) DISCLOSURE.—For any project for hurricane
4 storm damage risk reduction, or a proposal to modify such
5 a project, that is authorized after the date of enactment
6 of this Act for which a perpetual easement is required for
7 Federal participation in the project, the Secretary shall
8 include in the report of the Chief of Engineers for the
9 project a disclosure of such requirement.

10 (c) MANAGEMENT.—To the maximum extent prac-
11 ticable, the Secretary shall, at the request of the non-Fed-
12 eral interest for a project for hurricane storm damage risk
13 reduction, identify and accept the minimum real estate in-
14 terests necessary to carry out the project, in accordance
15 with section 103.

16 (d) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section may
17 be construed to affect the requirements of section 103(d)
18 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
19 U.S.C. 2213(d)).

20 **SEC. 147. SHORELINE AND RIVERINE PROTECTION AND**
21 **RESTORATION.**

22 Section 212(e)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
23 ment Act of 1999 (33 U.S.C. 2332(e)(2)) is amended by
24 adding at the end the following:

1 “(L) Shoreline of the State of Con-
2 necticut.”.

3 **SEC. 148. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATED TO WATER DATA.**

4 It is the sense of Congress that, for the purpose of
5 improving water resources management, the Secretary
6 should—

7 (1) develop and implement a framework for in-
8 tegrating, sharing, and using water data;

9 (2) identify and prioritize key water data need-
10 ed to support water resources management and
11 planning, including—

12 (A) water data sets, types, and associated
13 metadata; and

14 (B) water data infrastructure, tech-
15 nologies, and tools;

16 (3) in consultation with other Federal agencies,
17 States, Indian Tribes, local governments, and rel-
18 evant stakeholders, develop and adopt common na-
19 tional standards for collecting, sharing, and inte-
20 grating water data, infrastructure, technologies, and
21 tools;

22 (4) ensure that water data is publicly accessible
23 and interoperable;

1 (5) integrate water data and tools through na-
2 tionwide approaches to data infrastructure, plat-
3 forms, models, and tool development; and

4 (6) support the adoption of new technologies
5 and the development of tools for water data collec-
6 tion, sharing, and standardization.

7 **SEC. 149. SENSE OF CONGRESS RELATING TO COMPREHEN-**
8 **SIVE BENEFITS.**

9 It is the sense of Congress that in carrying out any
10 feasibility study, the Secretary should follow, to the max-
11 imum extent practicable—

12 (1) the guidance described in the memoranda
13 relating to “Comprehensive Documentation of Bene-
14 fits in Feasibility Studies”, dated April 3, 2020, and
15 April 13, 2020, and signed by the Assistant Sec-
16 retary for Civil Works and the Director of Civil
17 Works, respectively; and

18 (2) the policies described in the memorandum
19 relating to “Policy Directive – Comprehensive Docu-
20 mentation of Benefits in Decision Document” dated
21 January 5, 2021, and signed by the Assistant Sec-
22 retary for Civil Works.

23 **SEC. 150. REPORTING AND OVERSIGHT.**

24 (a) INITIAL REPORT.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
3 shall submit to the Committees on Transportation
4 and Infrastructure and Appropriations of the House
5 of Representatives and the Committees on Environ-
6 ment and Public Works and Appropriations of the
7 Senate a report detailing the status of the reports
8 described in paragraph (2).

9 (2) REPORTS DESCRIBED.—The reports de-
10 scribed in this paragraph are the following:

11 (A) The comprehensive backlog and oper-
12 ation and maintenance report required under
13 section 1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources De-
14 velopment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a(b)(2)).

15 (B) The report on managed aquifer re-
16 charge required under section 8108(d) of the
17 Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33
18 U.S.C. 2357(d)).

19 (C) The plan on beneficial use of dredged
20 material required under section 8130(a) of the
21 Water Resources Development Act of 2022
22 (136 Stat. 3717).

23 (D) The updated report on Corps of Engi-
24 neers Reservoirs required under section 8153 of

1 the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
2 (136 Stat. 3734).

3 (E) The report on dredge capacity require
4 under section 8205 of the Water Resources De-
5 velopment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3754).

6 (F) The report on the assessment of the
7 consequences of changing operation and mainte-
8 nance responsibilities required under section
9 8206 of the Water Resources Development Act
10 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3756).

11 (G) The report on the western infrastruc-
12 ture study required under section 8208 of the
13 Water Resources Development Act of 2022
14 (136 Stat. 3756).

15 (H) The report on excess lands for Whit-
16 tier Narrows Dam, California required under
17 section 8213 of the Water Resources Develop-
18 ment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3758).

19 (I) The report on recreational boating in
20 the Great Lakes basin required under section
21 8218 of the Water Resources Development Act
22 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3761).

23 (J) The report on the disposition study on
24 hydropower in the Willamette Valley, Oregon
25 required under section 8220 of the Water Re-

1 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 Stat
2 3762).

3 (K) The report on corrosion prevention ac-
4 tivities required under section 8234 of the
5 Water Resources Development Act of 2022
6 (136 Stat. 3767).

7 (3) ELEMENTS.—The Secretary shall include in
8 the report required under paragraph (1) the fol-
9 lowing information with respect to each report de-
10 scribed in paragraph (2):

11 (A) A summary of the status of each such
12 report, including if the report has been initi-
13 ated.

14 (B) The amount of funds that—

15 (i) have been made available to carry
16 out each such report; and

17 (ii) the Secretary requires to complete
18 each such report.

19 (C) A detailed assessment of how the Sec-
20 retary intends to complete each such report, in-
21 cluding an anticipated timeline for completion.

22 (D) Any available information that is rel-
23 evant to each such report that would inform the
24 committees described in paragraph (1).

25 (b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 10 days after
2 the date on which the budget of the President for
3 each fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant
4 to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the
5 Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Trans-
6 portation and Infrastructure and Appropriations of
7 the House of Representatives and the Committees
8 on Environment and Public Works and Appropria-
9 tions of the Senate a report on the status of each
10 covered report.

11 (2) ELEMENTS.—The Secretary shall include in
12 the report required under paragraph (1) the fol-
13 lowing information:

14 (A) A summary of the status of each cov-
15 ered report, including if each such report has
16 been initiated.

17 (B) The amount of funds that—

18 (i) have been made available to carry
19 out each such report; and

20 (ii) the Secretary requires to complete
21 each such report.

22 (C) A detailed assessment of how the Sec-
23 retary intends to complete each covered report,
24 including an anticipated timeline for comple-
25 tion.

1 (3) PUBLICLY AVAILABLE.—The Secretary shall
2 make each report required under paragraph (1) pub-
3 licly available on the website of the Corps of Engi-
4 neers.

5 (4) NOTIFICATION OF COMMITTEES.—The Sec-
6 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
7 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
8 atives and the Committee on the Environment and
9 Public Works of the Senate on an annual basis a
10 draft of each covered report.

11 (5) DEFINITION OF COVERED REPORT.—In this
12 subsection, the term “covered report”—

13 (A) means any report or study required to
14 be submitted by the Secretary under this Act or
15 any Act providing authorizations for water re-
16 sources development projects enacted after the
17 date of enactment of this Act to the Committee
18 on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
19 House of Representatives and the Committee
20 on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-
21 ate that has not been so submitted; and

22 (B) does not include a feasibility study (as
23 such term is defined in section 105 of the
24 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
25 U.S.C. 2215(d)).

1 **TITLE II—STUDIES AND**
2 **REPORTS**

3 **SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF PROPOSED FEASIBILITY**
4 **STUDIES.**

5 (a) NEW PROJECTS.—The Secretary is authorized to
6 conduct a feasibility study for the following projects for
7 water resources development and conservation and other
8 purposes, as identified in the reports titled “Report to
9 Congress on Future Water Resources Development” sub-
10 mitted to Congress pursuant to section 7001 of the Water
11 Resources Reform and Development Act of 2014 (33
12 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise reviewed by Congress:

13 (1) LUXAPALLILA CREEK, MILLPORT, ALA-
14 BAMA.—Project for flood risk management, Town of
15 Millport and vicinity, Alabama.

16 (2) YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA.—Project for
17 flood risk management, Yavapai County, in the vi-
18 cinity of the City of Cottonwood, Arizona.

19 (3) CLEAR LAKE, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
20 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
21 Clear Lake, Lake County, California.

22 (4) COSUMNES RIVER WATERSHED, CALI-
23 FORNIA.—Project for flood risk management, eco-
24 system restoration, water supply, and related pur-
25 poses, Cosumnes River watershed, California.

1 (5) HESPERIA, CALIFORNIA.—Project for flood
2 risk management, city of Hesperia, California.

3 (6) PILLAR POINT HARBOR, CALIFORNIA.—
4 Project for flood risk management and storm dam-
5 age risk reduction, Pillar Point Harbor, California.

6 (7) RIALTO CHANNEL, CALIFORNIA.—Project
7 for flood risk management, Rialto Channel, city of
8 Rialto and vicinity, California.

9 (8) SALINAS RIVER, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
10 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
11 Salinas River, California.

12 (9) SAN BERNARDINO, CALIFORNIA.—Project
13 for flood risk management, city of San Bernardino,
14 California.

15 (10) SAN DIEGO BAY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
16 flood risk management, San Diego Bay, California.

17 (11) SAN DIEGO AND ORANGE COUNTIES, CALI-
18 FORNIA.—Project for flood and coastal storm risk
19 management and ecosystem restoration, San Diego
20 and Orange Counties, California.

21 (12) SAN FELIPE LAKE AND PAJARO RIVER,
22 SAN BENITO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Project for
23 flood risk management, San Felipe Lake and Pajaro
24 River, San Benito County, California.

1 (13) CITY OF SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA.—
2 Project for flood risk management, including
3 stormwater runoff reduction, City of San Mateo,
4 California.

5 (14) SANTA ANA RIVER, ANAHEIM, CALI-
6 FORNIA.—Project for flood risk management, water
7 supply, and recreation, Santa Ana River, Anaheim,
8 California.

9 (15) SANTA ANA RIVER, JURUPA VALLEY, CALI-
10 FORNIA.—Project for ecosystem restoration and
11 recreation, Santa Ana River, Jurupa Valley, Cali-
12 fornia.

13 (16) SWEETWATER RESERVOIR, CALIFORNIA.—
14 Project for ecosystem restoration and water supply,
15 Sweetwater Reservoir, California.

16 (17) FOUNTAIN CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, COL-
17 ORADO.—Project for flood risk management and
18 ecosystem restoration, Fountain Creek, Colorado
19 Springs and Pueblo, Colorado.

20 (18) CITY OF NORWALK, CONNECTICUT.—
21 Project for flood risk management, City of Norwalk,
22 Connecticut, in the vicinity of the Norwalk waste-
23 water treatment plant.

24 (19) CONNECTICUT SHORELINE, CON-
25 NECTICUT.—Project for hurricane and storm dam-

1 age risk reduction, Connecticut shoreline, Con-
2 necticut.

3 (20) PARK RIVER CONDUIT, CITY OF HART-
4 FORD, CONNECTICUT.—Project for flood risk man-
5 agement, including stormwater management, City of
6 Hartford, Connecticut and vicinity.

7 (21) WESTPORT BEACHES, CONNECTICUT.—
8 Project for hurricane and storm damage risk reduc-
9 tion and ecosystem restoration, Westport, Con-
10 necticut.

11 (22) DELAWARE INLAND BAYS WATERSHED,
12 DELAWARE.—Project for flood risk management,
13 hurricane and storm risk reduction, and ecosystem
14 restoration, including shoreline stabilization, Dela-
15 ware Inland Bays watershed, Delaware.

16 (23) TOWN OF MILTON, DELAWARE.—Project
17 for flood risk management, Town of Milton, Dela-
18 ware.

19 (24) CITY OF WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—
20 Project for flood risk management and hurricane
21 and storm risk reduction, City of Wilmington, Dela-
22 ware.

23 (25) ANACOSTIA RIVER BANK AND SEAWALLS,
24 DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND MARYLAND.—Project
25 for navigation, ecosystem restoration, and recre-

1 ation, including dredging and sediment management,
2 Anacostia River bank and seawalls, Washington,
3 District of Columbia and Prince George's County,
4 Maryland.

5 (26) FLETCHERS COVE, DISTRICT OF COLUM-
6 BIA.—Project for recreation, including dredging,
7 Fletchers Cove, District of Columbia.

8 (27) EAST LAKE TOHOPEKALIGA, FLORIDA.—
9 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
10 restoration, including sediment and debris manage-
11 ment, East Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida.

12 (28) FLORIDA SPACEPORT SYSTEM MARINE
13 INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION WHARF, FLORIDA.—
14 Project for navigation, Florida Spaceport System
15 Marine Intermodal Transportation Wharf, in the vi-
16 cinity of Cape Canaveral, Florida.

17 (29) FORT GEORGE INLET, JACKSONVILLE,
18 FLORIDA.—Project for coastal storm risk manage-
19 ment, including shoreline damage prevention and
20 mitigation, Fort George Inlet, city of Jacksonville,
21 Florida.

22 (30) LAKE CONWAY, FLORIDA.—Project for
23 flood risk management, navigation, and ecosystem
24 restoration, including sediment and debris manage-
25 ment, Lake Conway, Florida.

1 (31) MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE, TAMPA, FLOR-
2 IDA.—Project for hurricane and storm damage risk
3 reduction and ecosystem restoration in the vicinity
4 of MacDill Air Force Base, City of Tampa, Florida.

5 (32) PALATKA BARGE PORT, PUTNAM COUNTY,
6 FLORIDA.—Project for navigation, Palatka Barge
7 Port, Putnam County, Florida.

8 (33) CAMP CREEK TRIBUTARY, GEORGIA.—
9 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
10 restoration, including stream restoration, along the
11 Camp Creek Tributary in Fulton County, Georgia.

12 (34) COLLEGE PARK, GEORGIA.—Project for
13 flood risk management, City of College Park, Geor-
14 gia.

15 (35) PROCTOR CREEK, SMYRNA, GEORGIA.—
16 Project for flood risk management, Proctor Creek,
17 Smyrna, Georgia, including Jonquil Driver
18 Stormwater Park.

19 (36) TYBEE ISLAND, GEORGIA.—Project for
20 ecosystem restoration and hurricane and storm dam-
21 age risk reduction, Tybee Island, Georgia, including
22 by incorporating other Federal studies conducted on
23 the effect of the construction of Savannah Harbor
24 Channel on the shoreline of Tybee Island.

1 (37) GUAM.—Project for flood risk manage-
2 ment and coastal storm risk management, Guam.

3 (38) KAUA‘I, HAWAII.—Project for flood and
4 coastal storm risk management, County of Kaua‘i,
5 Hawaii.

6 (39) KAIKA-WAIALUA WATERSHED, HAWAII.—
7 Project for flood risk management, Kaiaka-Waialua
8 watershed, O‘ahu, Hawaii.

9 (40) BERWYN, ILLINOIS.—Project for com-
10 prehensive flood risk management, City of Berwyn,
11 Illinois.

12 (41) BUTTERFIELD CREEK, ILLINOIS.—Project
13 for flood risk management and ecosystem restora-
14 tion, Butterfield Creek, Illinois.

15 (42) FRANKLIN PARK, ILLINOIS.—Project for
16 flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and
17 water supply, Village of Franklin Park, Illinois.

18 (43) ROCKY RIPPLE, INDIANA.—Project for
19 flood risk management, Town of Rocky Ripple, Indi-
20 ana.

21 (44) BAYOU RIGAUD TO CAMINADA PASS, LOU-
22 ISIANA.—Project for navigation, Bayou Rigaud to
23 Caminada Pass, Louisiana.

1 (45) HAGAMAN CHUTE, LAKE PROVIDENCE,
2 LOUISIANA.—Project for navigation, including wid-
3 ening, Hagaman Chute, Lake Providence, Louisiana.

4 (46) LAKE PONTCHARTRAIN STORM SURGE RE-
5 DUCTION PROJECT, LOUISIANA.—Project for hurri-
6 cane and storm damage risk reduction, Lake Pont-
7 chartrain, Orleans, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa, Liv-
8 ingston, St. James, St. John, St. Charles, Jefferson,
9 and St. Bernard Parishes, Louisiana.

10 (47) NATCHITOCHEES, LOUISIANA.—Project for
11 flood risk management, City of Natchitoches, Lou-
12 isiana.

13 (48) NEW ORLEANS METRO AREA, LOU-
14 ISIANA.—Project for ecosystem restoration and
15 water supply, including mitigation of saltwater
16 wedges, for the City of New Orleans and metro area,
17 Louisiana.

18 (49) PILOTTOWN, LOUISIANA.—Project for
19 navigation and flood risk management, including
20 dredging, in the vicinity of Pilottown, Plaquemines
21 Parish, Louisiana.

22 (50) BALTIMORE INLAND FLOODING, MARY-
23 LAND.—Project for inland flood risk management,
24 City of Baltimore and Baltimore County, Maryland.

1 (51) BEAVERDAM CREEK, PRINCE GEORGE'S
2 COUNTY, MARYLAND.—Project for flood risk man-
3 agement, Beaverdam Creek, Prince George's County,
4 Maryland, in the vicinity of United States Route 50
5 and railroads.

6 (52) MARYLAND BEACHES, MARYLAND.—
7 Project for hurricane and storm damage risk reduc-
8 tion and flood risk management in the vicinity of
9 United States Route 1, Maryland.

10 (53) CAPE COD CANAL, MASSACHUSETTS.—
11 Project for recreation, Cape Cod Canal, in the vicin-
12 ity of Tidal Flats Recreation Area, Massachusetts.

13 (54) LEOMINSTER, MASSACHUSETTS.—Project
14 for flood risk management, City of Leominster, Mas-
15 sachusetts.

16 (55) LOWER COBB BROOK, MASSACHUSETTS.—
17 Project for flood risk management, Lower Cobb
18 Brook, City of Taunton, Massachusetts.

19 (56) SUNSET BAY, CHARLES RIVER, MASSACHU-
20 SETTS.—Project for navigation, flood risk manage-
21 ment, recreation, and ecosystem restoration, includ-
22 ing dredging, in the vicinity of Sunset Bay, Charles
23 River, cities of Boston, Watertown, and Newton,
24 Massachusetts.

1 (57) SQUANTUM CAUSEWAY, MASSACHU-
2 SETTS.—Project for flood and coastal storm risk
3 management, Squantum, in the vicinity of East
4 Squantum Street and Dorchester Street Causeway,
5 Quincy, Massachusetts.

6 (58) TOWN NECK BEACH, SANDWICH, MASSA-
7 CHUSETTS.—Project for flood risk management and
8 coastal storm risk management, including shoreline
9 damage prevention and mitigation, Town Neck
10 Beach, town of Sandwich, Massachusetts.

11 (59) WESTPORT HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS.—
12 Project for flood risk management, hurricane and
13 storm damage risk reduction, and navigation, includ-
14 ing improvements to the breakwater at Westport
15 Harbor, Town of Westport, Massachusetts.

16 (60) ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN.—Project for
17 water supply, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

18 (61) KALAMAZOO RIVER WATERSHED, MICHIGAN.—
19 Project for flood risk management and eco-
20 system restoration, Kalamazoo River Watershed and
21 tributaries, Michigan.

22 (62) MCCOMB, MISSISSIPPI.—Project for flood
23 risk management, city of McComb, Mississippi.

24 (63) MILES CITY, MONTANA.—Project for flood
25 risk management, Miles City, Montana.

1 (64) BERKELEY HEIGHTS, NEW PROVIDENCE,
2 AND SUMMIT, NEW JERSEY.—Project for flood risk
3 management, Township of Berkeley Heights, Bor-
4 ough of New Providence, and City of Summit, New
5 Jersey.

6 (65) BERRY'S CREEK, NEW JERSEY.—Project
7 for flood risk management, Berry's Creek, New Jer-
8 sey.

9 (66) FLEISCHER BROOK, NEW JERSEY.—
10 Project for flood risk management, Fleischer Brook,
11 New Jersey.

12 (67) GUTTENBERG, NEW JERSEY.—Project for
13 flood risk management, Guttenberg, New Jersey, in
14 the vicinity of John F. Kennedy Boulevard East.

15 (68) PASSAIC RIVER BASIN, NEW JERSEY.—
16 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
17 restoration, Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Morris, and
18 Passaic Counties, New Jersey.

19 (69) PASSAIC RIVER, PATERSON, NEW JER-
20 SEY.—Project for navigation and flood risk manage-
21 ment, Passaic River, Paterson, New Jersey.

22 (70) GREAT FALLS RACEWAYS, PATERSON, NEW
23 JERSEY.—Project for flood risk management and
24 hydropower, Paterson, New Jersey.

1 (71) PAULSBORO, NEW JERSEY.—Project for
2 navigation, Borough of Paulsboro, New Jersey.

3 (72) VILLAGE OF RIDGEWOOD, NEW JERSEY.—
4 Project for flood risk management along the Ho-Ho-
5 Kus Brook and Saddle River, Village of Ridgewood,
6 New Jersey.

7 (73) WOLF CREEK, NEW JERSEY.—Project for
8 flood risk management, Wolf Creek, Ridgefield, New
9 Jersey.

10 (74) DOÑA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—
11 Project for water supply, Doña Ana County, New
12 Mexico.

13 (75) HATCH, NEW MEXICO.—Project for flood
14 risk management, including the Hatch Dam Project,
15 Village of Hatch, New Mexico.

16 (76) NAMBE RIVER WATERSHED, NEW MEX-
17 ICO.—Project for flood risk management and eco-
18 system restoration, including sediment and debris
19 management, Nambe River Watershed, New Mexico.

20 (77) OTERO COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.—Project
21 for flood risk management, Otero County, New Mex-
22 ico.

23 (78) BABYLON, NEW YORK.—Project for flood
24 risk management, hurricane and storm damage risk

1 reduction, navigation, and ecosystem restoration,
2 Town of Babylon, New York.

3 (79) BRONX RIVER, NEW YORK.—Project for
4 flood risk management and hurricane and storm
5 damage risk reduction, Bronxville, Tuckahoe, and
6 Yonkers, New York.

7 (80) BROOKHAVEN, NEW YORK.—Project for
8 flood risk management, hurricane and storm damage
9 risk reduction, and ecosystem restoration, Town of
10 Brookhaven, New York.

11 (81) HIGHLANDS, NEW YORK.—Project for
12 flood risk management and ecosystem restoration,
13 Highland Brook (also known as “Buttermilk Falls
14 Brook”) and tributaries, Town of Highlands, Orange
15 County, New York.

16 (82) INWOOD HILL PARK, NEW YORK.—Project
17 for ecosystem restoration, Inwood Hill Park,
18 Spuyten Duyvil Creek, Manhattan, New York.

19 (83) ISLIP, NEW YORK.—Project for flood risk
20 management, Town of Islip, New York.

21 (84) OYSTER BAY, NEW YORK.—Project for
22 coastal storm risk management and flood risk man-
23 agement in the vicinity of Tobay Beach, Town of
24 Oyster Bay, New York.

1 (85) PASCACK BROOK, ROCKLAND COUNTY,
2 NEW YORK.—Project for flood risk management,
3 Pascack Brook, Rockland County, New York, includ-
4 ing the Village of Spring Valley.

5 (86) SPARKILL CREEK, ORANGETOWN, NEW
6 YORK.—Project for flood risk management and ero-
7 sion, Sparkill Creek, Orangetown, New York.

8 (87) TURTLE COVE, NEW YORK.—Project for
9 ecosystem restoration, Pelham Bay Park,
10 Eastchester Bay, in the vicinity of Turtle Cove,
11 Bronx, New York.

12 (88) SOMERS, NEW YORK.—Project for eco-
13 system restoration and water supply, Town of
14 Somers, New York.

15 (89) CAPE FEAR RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,
16 NORTH CAROLINA.—Project for flood risk manage-
17 ment, in the vicinity of Northeast Cape Fear River
18 and Black River, North Carolina.

19 (90) LELAND, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project for
20 flood risk management, navigation, ecosystem res-
21 toration, and recreation, including bank stabiliza-
22 tion, for Jackeys Creek in the Town of Leland,
23 North Carolina.

24 (91) MARION, NORTH CAROLINA.—Project for
25 flood risk management, including riverbank sta-

1 bilization, along the Catawba River, City of Marion,
2 North Carolina.

3 (92) PENDER COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA.—
4 Project for flood risk management in the vicinity of
5 North Carolina Highway 53, Pender County, North
6 Carolina.

7 (93) PIGEON RIVER, NORTH CAROLINA.—
8 Project for flood risk management, Pigeon River, in
9 the vicinity of the towns of Clyde and Canton, Hay-
10 wood County, North Carolina.

11 (94) UNION COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—
12 Project for flood risk management, water supply,
13 and recreation, Union County, South Carolina.

14 (95) OGALLALA AQUIFER.—Project for water
15 supply, including aquifer recharge, for the Ogallala
16 Aquifer, Colorado, Kansas, New Mexico, Oklahoma,
17 and Texas.

18 (96) COE CREEK, OHIO.—Project for flood risk
19 management, Coe Creek, City of Fairview Park,
20 Ohio.

21 (97) GREAT MIAMI RIVER, OHIO.—Project for
22 flood risk management, ecosystem restoration, and
23 recreation, including incorporation of existing levee
24 systems, for the Great Miami River, Ohio.

1 (98) LAKE TEXOMA, OKLAHOMA AND TEXAS.—
2 Project for water supply, including increased needs
3 in southern Oklahoma, Lake Texoma, Oklahoma and
4 Texas.

5 (99) SARDIS LAKE, OKLAHOMA.—Project for
6 water supply, Sardis Lake, Oklahoma.

7 (100) SIUSLAW RIVER, FLORENCE, OREGON.—
8 Project for flood risk management and streambank
9 erosion, Siuslaw River, Florence, Oregon.

10 (101) WILLAMETTE RIVER, LANE COUNTY, OR-
11 EGON.—Project for flood risk management and eco-
12 system restoration, Willamette River, Lane County,
13 Oregon.

14 (102) ALLEGHENY RIVER, PENNSYLVANIA.—
15 Project for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Al-
16 legheny River, Pennsylvania.

17 (103) BOROUGH OF POTTSTOWN, PENNSYLV-
18 VANIA.—Project for alternate water supply, Borough
19 of Pottstown, Pennsylvania.

20 (104) BOROUGH OF NORRISTOWN, PENNSYLV-
21 VANIA.—Project for flood risk management, includ-
22 ing dredging along the Schuylkill River, in the Bor-
23 ough of Norristown and vicinity, Pennsylvania.

24 (105) WEST NORRITON TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLV-
25 VANIA.—Project for flood risk management and

1 streambank erosion, Stony Creek, in the vicinity of
2 Whitehall Road, West Norriton Township, Pennsyl-
3 vania.

4 (106) GUAYAMA, PUERTO RICO.—Project for
5 flood risk management, Río Guamaní, Guayama,
6 Puerto Rico.

7 (107) NARANJITO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for
8 flood risk management, Río Guadiana, Naranjito,
9 Puerto Rico.

10 (108) OROCOVIS, PUERTO RICO.—Project for
11 flood risk management, Río Orocovis, Orocovis,
12 Puerto Rico.

13 (109) PONCE, PUERTO RICO.—Project for flood
14 risk management, Río Inabón, Ponce, Puerto Rico.

15 (110) SANTA ISABEL, PUERTO RICO.—Project
16 for flood risk management, Río Descalabrado, Santa
17 Isabel, Puerto Rico.

18 (111) YAUCO, PUERTO RICO.—Project for flood
19 risk management, Río Yauco, Yauco, Puerto Rico.

20 (112) GREENE COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Project
21 for water supply, including evaluation of Nolichucky
22 River capabilities, Greene County, Tennessee.

23 (113) DAVIDSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—
24 Project for flood risk management, City of Nashville,
25 Davidson County, Tennessee.

1 (114) GUADALUPE COUNTY, TEXAS.—Project
2 for flood risk management, Guadalupe County, in-
3 cluding City of Santa Clara, Texas.

4 (115) WINOOSKI RIVER BASIN, VERMONT.—
5 Project for flood risk management and ecosystem
6 restoration, Winooski River basin, Vermont.

7 (116) CEDARBUSH CREEK, GLOUCESTER COUN-
8 TY, VIRGINIA.—Project for navigation, Cedarbush
9 Creek, Gloucester County, Virginia.

10 (117) CHICKAHOMINY RIVER, JAMES CITY
11 COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—Project for flood and coastal
12 storm risk management, Chickahominy River, James
13 City County, Virginia.

14 (118) JAMES CITY COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—Project
15 for flood risk management and navigation, James
16 City County, Virginia.

17 (119) TIMBERNECK CREEK, GLOUCESTER
18 COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—Project for navigation,
19 Timberneck Creek, Gloucester County, Virginia.

20 (120) YORK RIVER, YORK COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—
21 Project for flood risk management and coastal storm
22 risk management, York River, York County, Vir-
23 ginia.

24 (121) WAHKIAKUM COUNTY, WASHINGTON.—
25 Project for flood risk management and sediment

1 management, Grays River, in the vicinity of
2 Rosburg, Wahkiakum County, Washington.

3 (122) ARCADIA, WISCONSIN.—Project for flood
4 risk management, city of Arcadia, Wisconsin.

5 (123) CITY OF LA CROSSE, WISCONSIN.—
6 Project for flood risk management, City of La
7 Crosse, Wisconsin.

8 (124) RIVER FALLS, WISCONSIN.—Project for
9 ecosystem restoration, city of River Falls, Wisconsin.

10 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—The Secretary is au-
11 thorized to conduct a feasibility study for the following
12 project modifications:

13 (1) BLACK WARRIOR AND TOMBIGBEE RIVERS,
14 ALABAMA.—Modifications to the project for naviga-
15 tion, Coffeeville Lock and Dam, authorized pursuant
16 to section 4 of the Act of July 5, 1884 (chapter 229,
17 23 Stat. 148; 35 Stat. 818), and portion of the
18 project for navigation, Warrior and Tombigbee Riv-
19 ers, Alabama and Mississippi, consisting of the
20 Demopolis Lock and Dam on the Warrior-
21 Tombigbee Waterway, Alabama, authorized by sec-
22 tion 2 of the Act of March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 17),
23 for construction of new locks to maintain naviga-
24 bility.

1 (2) OSCEOLA HARBOR, ARKANSAS.—Modifica-
2 tions to the project for navigation, Osceola Harbor,
3 Arkansas, constructed pursuant to section 107 of
4 the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (33 U.S.C. 577)
5 and modified by section 3010 of the Water Re-
6 sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1108),
7 to evaluate expansion of the harbor.

8 (3) FARMINGTON DAM, CALIFORNIA.—Modifica-
9 tions to the project for flood control and other pur-
10 poses, the Calaveras River and Littlejohn Creek and
11 tributaries, California, authorized by section 10 of
12 the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter 665, 58
13 Stat. 902), for improved flood risk management and
14 to support water supply recharge and storage.

15 (4) HUMBOLDT HARBOR AND BAY, CALI-
16 FORNIA.—Modifications to the project for naviga-
17 tion, Humboldt Harbor and Bay, California, author-
18 ized by the first section of the Act of July 3, 1930
19 (chapter 847, 46 Stat. 932; 82 Stat. 732; 110 Stat.
20 3663), for additional deepening.

21 (5) SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASIN, CALIFORNIA.—
22 Modifications to the project for flood control, Sac-
23 ramento-San Joaquin Basin Streams, California, au-
24 thorized pursuant to the resolution of the Committee
25 on Public Works of the House of Representatives

1 adopted on May 8, 1964 (docket number 1371), for
2 improved flood risk management, including dredg-
3 ing.

4 (6) MADERA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—Modifica-
5 tions to the project for flood risk management,
6 water supply, and ecosystem restoration, Chowchilla
7 River, Ash Slough, and Berenda Slough, Madera
8 County, California, authorized pursuant to section 6
9 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat.
10 1595; 52 Stat. 1225).

11 (7) SACRAMENTO RIVER INTEGRATED FLOOD-
12 PLAIN MANAGEMENT, CALIFORNIA.—Modifications
13 to the project for flood control, Sacramento River,
14 California, authorized by section 2 of the Act of
15 March 1, 1917 (chapter 144, 39 Stat. 949; 76 Stat.
16 1197), to enhance flood risk reduction, to incor-
17 porate natural and nature-based features, and to in-
18 corporate modifications to the portion of such
19 project north of the Fremont Weir for the purposes
20 of integrating management of such system with the
21 adjacent floodplain.

22 (8) THAMES RIVER, CONNECTICUT.—Modifica-
23 tions to the project for navigation, Thames River,
24 Connecticut, authorized by the first section of the

1 Act of March 2, 1945 (59 Stat. 13), to increase au-
2 thorized depth.

3 (9) HANAPĒPĒ RIVER, HAWAII.—Modifications
4 to the project for local flood protection, Hanapēpē
5 River, Island of Kaua‘i, Hawaii, authorized by sec-
6 tion 10 of the Act of December 22, 1944 (chapter
7 665, 58 Stat. 903), to improve protection provided
8 by levees and flood control features.

9 (10) LAUPĀHOEHOE HARBOR, HAWAII.—Modi-
10 fications to the project for navigation, Laupāhoehoe
11 Harbor, Hawaii, authorized pursuant to section 107
12 of the River and Harbor Act of 1960 (74 Stat. 486),
13 for seawall repair and mitigation.

14 (11) WAIMEA RIVER, KAUA‘I, HAWAII.—Modi-
15 fications to the project for coastal storm risk man-
16 agement and ecosystem restoration, Waimea River,
17 Kaua‘i, Hawaii, authorized pursuant to section 205
18 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s),
19 to improve protection provided by levees and flood
20 control features.

21 (12) CHICAGO SANITARY AND SHIP CANAL DIS-
22 PERSAL BARRIER, ILLINOIS.—Modifications to the
23 project for Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal and
24 Dispersal Barrier, Illinois, initiated under section
25 1135 of the Water Resources Development Act of

1 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2294 note; 100 Stat. 4251; 118
2 Stat. 1352), for the construction of an emergency
3 access boat ramp in the vicinity of Romeoville, Illi-
4 nois.

5 (13) EAST SAINT LOUIS AND VICINITY, ILLI-
6 NOIS.—Modifications to the project for ecosystem
7 restoration and recreation, authorized by section
8 1001(18) of the Water Resources Development Act
9 of 2007 (121 Stat. 1052) to reevaluate levels of
10 flood risk management and integrate the Spring
11 Lake Project, as recommended in the report of the
12 Chief of Engineers issued on December 22, 2004.

13 (14) LOUISVILLE METROPOLITAN FLOOD PRO-
14 TECTION SYSTEM RECONSTRUCTION, JEFFERSON
15 AND BULLITT COUNTIES, KENTUCKY.—Modifications
16 to the project for flood risk management, Louisville
17 Metropolitan Flood Protection System Reconstruc-
18 tion, Jefferson and Bullitt Counties, Kentucky, au-
19 thorized by section 401(2) of the Water Resources
20 Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2735), to ex-
21 pand project scope and incorporate features identi-
22 fied in the document prepared for the non-Federal
23 sponsor of the project, issued in June 2017, and ti-
24 tled “20-Year Comprehensive Facility Plan, Critical

1 Repair and Reinvestment Plan, Volume 4: Ohio
2 River Flood Protection”.

3 (15) CALCASIEU RIVER AND PASS, LOU-
4 ISIANA.—Modifications to the project for navigation,
5 Calcasieu River and Pass, Louisiana, authorized by
6 section 101 of River and Harbor Act of 1960 (74
7 Stat. 481), to include channel deepening and jetty
8 extension.

9 (16) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,
10 OUACHITA RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Modifications to the
11 project for flood control of the Mississippi River in
12 it alluvial valley and for its improvement from the
13 Head of Passes to Cape Girardeau, Missouri, au-
14 thorized by the first section of the Act of May 15,
15 1928 (chapter 569, 45 Stat. 534), to include bank
16 stabilization on the portion of the project consisting
17 of the Ouachita River from Monroe to Caldwell Par-
18 ishes, Louisiana.

19 (17) MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,
20 OUACHITA RIVER, LOUISIANA.—Modifications to the
21 project for flood control of the Mississippi River in
22 it alluvial valley and for its improvement from the
23 Head of Passes to Cape Girardeau, Missouri, au-
24 thorized by the first section of the Act of May 15,
25 1928 (45 Stat. 534, chapter 569), to study the fea-

1 sibility of adding 62 miles of the east bank of the
2 Ouchita River Levee System at and below Monroe
3 Parish to Caldwell Parish, Louisiana.

4 (18) HODGES VILLAGE DAM, OXFORD, MASSA-
5 CHUSETTS.—Modifications to the project for flood
6 risk management, Hodges Village Dam, Oxford,
7 Massachusetts, authorized pursuant to section 205
8 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33 U.S.C. 701s),
9 to add recreation and ecosystem restoration as a
10 project purpose, including in the vicinity of
11 Greenbriar Park.

12 (19) NEW BEDFORD, FAIRHAVEN, AND
13 ACUSHNET, MASSACHUSETTS.—Modifications to the
14 project for hurricane-flood protection at New Bed-
15 ford, Fairhaven, and Acushnet, Massachusetts, au-
16 thorized by section 201 of the Flood Control Act of
17 1958 (72 Stat. 305), for navigation improvements
18 and evaluation of the current barrier function.

19 (20) HOLLAND HARBOR, MICHIGAN.—Modifica-
20 tions to the portion of the project for navigation
21 Holland (Black Lake), Michigan, authorized by the
22 first section of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter
23 211, 21 Stat. 183; 30 Stat. 1130; 46 Stat. 929; 49
24 Stat. 1036; 68 Stat. 1252), consisting of the Federal

1 Channel of Holland Harbor, for additional deep-
2 ening.

3 (21) MONROE HARBOR, MICHIGAN.—Modifica-
4 tions to the project for navigation, Monroe Harbor,
5 Michigan, authorized by the first section of the Act
6 of July 3, 1930 (chapter 847, 46 Stat. 930), for ad-
7 ditional deepening.

8 (22) PORT HURON, MICHIGAN.—Modifications
9 to the project for navigation, Channels in Lake
10 Saint Clair Michigan, authorized by the first section
11 of the Act of August 30, 1935 (chapter 831, 49
12 Stat. 1036), for additional deepening at the mouth
13 of the Black River, Port Huron, Michigan.

14 (23) SAINT JOSEPH HARBOR, MICHIGAN.—
15 Modifications to the portion of the project for navi-
16 gation, Saint Joseph, Michigan, authorized by the
17 first section of the Act of June 14, 1880 (chapter
18 211, 21 Stat. 183; 30 Stat. 1130; 49 Stat. 1036; 72
19 Stat. 299), consisting of the Federal Channel of
20 Saint Joseph Harbor, for additional deepening.

21 (24) SAINT MARYS RIVER, MICHIGAN.—Modi-
22 fications to the project for navigation Middle and
23 West Neebish channels, Saint Marys River, Michi-
24 gan, authorized by the first section of the Act of
25 June 13, 1902 (chapter 1079; 32 Stat. 361; 70

1 Stat. 54), to bring the channels to a consistent
2 depth.

3 (25) SURRY MOUNTAIN LAKE DAM, NEW HAMP-
4 SHIRE.—Modifications to the project for flood pro-
5 tection and recreation, Surry Mountain Lake dam,
6 authorized pursuant to section 5 of the Act of June
7 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1572; 52 Stat.
8 1216; 58 Stat. 892) to add ecosystem restoration as
9 a project purpose, and to install the proper gates
10 and related equipment at Surry Mountain Lake to
11 support stream flow augmentation releases.

12 (26) BAYONNE, NEW JERSEY.—Modifications to
13 the project for navigation, Jersey Flats and Ba-
14 yonne, New Jersey, authorized by the first section of
15 the Act of September 22, 1922 (chapter 427; 42
16 Stat. 1038) for improvements to the navigation
17 channel, including channel extension, widening and
18 deepening, in the vicinity of Bayonne Dry Dock,
19 New Jersey.

20 (27) LONG BEACH, NEW YORK.—Modifications
21 to the project for storm damage reduction, Atlantic
22 Coast of Long Island from Jones Inlet to East
23 Rockaway Inlet, Long Beach Island, New York, au-
24 thorized by section 101(a)(21) of the Water Re-
25 sources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3665),

1 to include additional replacement of beach groins to
2 offer storm protection, erosion prevention, and re-
3 duce the need for future renourishment.

4 (28) BALD HEAD ISLAND, NORTH CAROLINA.—
5 Modifications to the project for hurricane-flood con-
6 trol protection, Cape Fear to the North Carolina-
7 South Carolina State line, North Carolina, author-
8 ized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1966
9 (80 Stat. 1419), to add coastal storm risk manage-
10 ment and hurricane and storm damage risk reduc-
11 tion, including shoreline stabilization, as an author-
12 ized purpose of the project for the village of Bald
13 Head Island, North Carolina.

14 (29) RENO BEACH-HOWARD FARMS, OHIO.—
15 Modifications to the project for flood control, Reno
16 Beach-Howard Farms, Ohio, authorized by section
17 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (62 Stat.
18 1178), to improve project levees and to provide flood
19 damage risk reduction to the portions of Jerusalem
20 Township, Ohio, not currently benefitted by the
21 project.

22 (30) DELAWARE RIVER MAINSTEM AND CHAN-
23 NEL DEEPENING, DELAWARE, NEW JERSEY, AND
24 PENNSYLVANIA.—Modifications to the project for
25 navigation, Delaware River Mainstem and Channel

1 Deepening, Delaware, New Jersey, and Pennsyl-
2 vania, authorized by section 101(6) of the Water Re-
3 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4802;
4 113 Stat. 300; 114 Stat. 2602), to increase the au-
5 thorized depth.

6 (31) DELAWARE RIVER, MANTUA CREEK (FORT
7 MIFFLIN) AND MARCUS HOOK, PENNSYLVANIA.—
8 Modifications to the project for navigation, Delaware
9 River, Philadelphia to the sea, authorized by the
10 first section of the Act of June 25, 1910 (chapter
11 382, 36 Stat. 637; 46 Stat. 921; 49 Stat. 1030; 52
12 Stat. 803; 59 Stat. 14; 68 Stat. 1249; 72 Stat.
13 297), to deepen the anchorage areas at Mantua
14 Creek (Fort Mifflin) and Marcus Hook.

15 (32) CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.—Modi-
16 fications to the project for navigation, Charleston
17 Harbor, South Carolina, authorized by section
18 1401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of
19 2016 (130 Stat. 1708), including improvements to
20 the portion of the project that serves the North
21 Charleston Terminal.

22 (33) GALVESTON BAY AREA, TEXAS.—Modifica-
23 tions to the following projects for deepening and as-
24 sociated dredged material placement, disposal, and
25 environmental mitigation navigation:

1 (A) The project for navigation, Galveston
2 Bay Area, Texas City Channel, Texas, author-
3 ized by section 201 of the Water Resources De-
4 velopment Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4090).

5 (B) The project for navigation and envi-
6 ronmental restoration, Houston-Galveston Navi-
7 gation Channels, Texas, authorized by section
8 101(a)(30) of the Water Resources Develop-
9 ment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3666).

10 (C) The project for navigation, Galveston
11 Harbor Channel Extension Project, Houston-
12 Galveston Navigation Channels, Texas, author-
13 ized by section 1401(1) of the Water Resources
14 Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3836).

15 (D) The project for navigation, Houston
16 Ship Channel Expansion Channel Improvement
17 Project, Harris, Chambers, and Galveston
18 Counties, Texas, authorized by section 401(1)
19 of the Water Resources Development Act of
20 2020 (134 Stat. 2734).

21 (34) GALVESTON HARBOR CHANNEL EXTEN-
22 SION PROJECT, HOUSTON-GALVESTON NAVIGATION
23 CHANNELS, TEXAS.—Modifications to the project for
24 navigation, Galveston Harbor Channel Extension
25 Project, Houston-Galveston Navigation Channels,

1 Texas, authorized by section 1401(1) of the Water
2 Resources Development Act of 2018 (132 Stat.
3 3836) to include further deepening and extension of
4 the Federal channel and Turning Basin 2.

5 (35) GATHRIGHT RESERVOIR AND FALLING
6 SPRING DAM, VIRGINIA.—Modifications to the
7 project for navigation and flood control, Gathright
8 Reservoir and Falling Spring dam, Virginia, author-
9 ized by section 10 of the Flood Control Act of 1946
10 (60 Stat. 645), to include recreation as an author-
11 ized project purpose.

12 (36) MOUNT ST. HELENS SEDIMENT CONTROL,
13 WASHINGTON.—Modifications to the project for sedi-
14 ment control and navigation, Mount St. Helens,
15 Washington, authorized by chapter IV of title I of
16 the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1985 (99
17 Stat. 318; 114 Stat. 2612), to include dredging to
18 address flood risk management and navigation for
19 federally authorized channels on the Cowlitz River
20 and at the confluence of the Cowlitz and Columbia
21 Rivers.

22 (c) SPECIAL RULE.—Each study authorized by sub-
23 section (b) shall be considered a new phase investigation
24 and afforded the same treatment as a general reevalua-
25 tion.

1 **SEC. 202. EXPEDITED COMPLETION.**

2 (a) FEASIBILITY STUDIES.—The Secretary shall ex-
3 pedite the completion of a feasibility study for each of the
4 following projects, and if the Secretary determines that
5 the project is justified in a completed report, may proceed
6 directly to preconstruction planning, engineering, and de-
7 sign of the project:

8 (1) Project for ecosystem restoration, Claiborne
9 and Millers Ferry Locks and Dams Fish Passage,
10 Lower Alabama River, Alabama, authorized pursu-
11 ant to section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1970
12 (84 Stat. 1830).

13 (2) Project for navigation, Akutan Harbor
14 Navigational Improvements, Alaska, authorized pur-
15 suant to section 203 of the Water Resources Devel-
16 opment Act of 2000 (33 U.S.C. 2269).

17 (3) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
18 and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-
19 toration Program, Lake Okeechobee Watershed Res-
20 toration, Florida, authorized by section 601(b)(1) of
21 the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114
22 Stat. 2681).

23 (4) Project for coastal storm risk management,
24 Miami-Dade Back Bay, Florida, authorized pursu-
25 ant to the Act of June 15, 1955 (chapter 140, 69
26 Stat. 132).

1 (5) Project for navigation, Tampa Harbor,
2 Pinellas and Hillsborough Counties, Florida, Deep
3 Draft Navigation, authorized by the Resolution of
4 the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
5 of the House of Representatives, dated July 23,
6 1997.

7 (6) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
8 and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-
9 toration Program, Western Everglades Restoration
10 Project, Florida, authorized by section 601(b)(1) of
11 the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114
12 Stat. 2681).

13 (7) Project for flood risk management, Ala Wai
14 Canal General Reevaluation, Hawaii, authorized by
15 section 1401(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
16 ment Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 3837).

17 (8) Project for flood risk management, Amite
18 River and Tributaries, East of the Mississippi, Lou-
19 isiana, authorized by the Resolution of the Com-
20 mittee on Public Works of the United States Senate,
21 adopted April 14, 1967.

22 (9) Project for coastal storm risk management,
23 Baltimore Metropolitan, Baltimore City, Maryland,
24 authorized by the Resolution of the Committee on

1 Public Works and Transportation of the House of
2 Representatives, dated April 30, 1992.

3 (10) Project for coastal storm risk manage-
4 ment, Nassau County Back Bays, New York, au-
5 thORIZED pursuant to the Act of June 15, 1955
6 (chapter 140, 69 Stat. 132).

7 (11) Project for coastal storm risk manage-
8 ment, Surf City, North Carolina, authorized by sec-
9 tion 7002(3) of the Water Resources Reform and
10 Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1367).

11 (12) Project for flood risk management, Tar-
12 Pamlico River Basin, North Carolina, authorized by
13 the resolutions adopted by the Committee on Trans-
14 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
15 resentatives dated April 11, 2000 and May 21,
16 2003.

17 (13) Project for coastal storm risk manage-
18 ment, Puerto Rico, authorized by section 204 of the
19 Flood Control Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1828).

20 (14) Project for ecosystem restoration, Hatchie-
21 Loosahatchie, Mississippi River Miles 775-736, Ten-
22 nessee and Arkansas, authorized by section 1202(a)
23 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2018
24 (132 Stat. 3803).

1 (b) POST-AUTHORIZATION CHANGE REPORTS.—The
2 Secretary shall expedite completion of a post-authorization
3 change report for the following projects:

4 (1) Project for ecosystem restoration, Central
5 and South Florida, Comprehensive Everglades Res-
6 toration Program, Biscayne Bay Coastal Wetlands,
7 Florida, authorized by section 601(b)(1) of the
8 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114
9 Stat. 2681).

10 (2) Project for water reallocation, Stockton
11 Lake Reallocation Study, Missouri, at the project for
12 flood control, hydropower, water supply, and recre-
13 ation, Stockton Lake, Missouri, authorized by the
14 Flood Control Act of 1954 (Public Law 83–780).

15 **SEC. 203. EXPEDITED MODIFICATION OF EXISTING FEASI-**
16 **BILITY STUDIES.**

17 The Secretary shall expedite the completion of the
18 following feasibility studies, as modified by this section,
19 and if the Secretary determines that a project that is the
20 subject of the feasibility study is justified in the completed
21 report, may proceed directly to preconstruction planning,
22 engineering, and design of the project:

23 (1) MARE ISLAND STRAIT, CALIFORNIA.—The
24 study for navigation, Mare Island Straight channel,
25 authorized by section 406 of the Water Resources

1 Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 323; 136 Stat.
2 3753), is modified to authorize the Secretary to con-
3 sider the benefits of deepening the channel to sup-
4 port activities of the Secretary of the department in
5 which the Coast Guard is operating.

6 (2) SAVANNAH HARBOR, GEORGIA.—Section
7 8201(b)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act
8 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3750) is amended by striking “,
9 without evaluation of additional deepening” and in-
10 sserting “, including evaluation of additional deep-
11 ening”.

12 (3) HONOLULU HARBOR, HAWAII.—The study
13 to modify the project for navigation, Honolulu, Ha-
14 waii, authorized by the first section of the Act of
15 March 3, 1905 (chapter 1482, 33 Stat. 1146; 136
16 Stat. 3750) is modified to authorize the Secretary to
17 consider the benefits of the project modification on
18 disaster resilience and enhanced national security
19 from utilization of the harbor by the Department of
20 Defense.

21 (4) ALEXANDRIA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO,
22 LOUISIANA.—The study for flood control, navigation,
23 wetland conservation and restoration, wildlife habi-
24 tat, commercial and recreational fishing, saltwater
25 intrusion, freshwater and sediment diversion, and

1 other purposes, in the area drained by the inter-
2 cepted drainage system of the West Atchafalaya
3 Basin Protection Levee, from Alexandria, Louisiana
4 to the Gulf of Mexico, being carried out under Com-
5 mittee Resolution 2535 of the Committee on Trans-
6 portation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
7 resentatives, adopted July 23, 1997, is modified to
8 include the parishes of Pointe Coupee, Allen,
9 Calcasieu, Jefferson Davis, Acadia, Iberville, and
10 Cameron within the scope of the study.

11 (5) SAW MILL RIVER, NEW YORK.—The study
12 for flood risk management and ecosystem restoration
13 to address areas in the City of Yonkers and the Vil-
14 lage of Hastings-on-the-Hudson within the 100-year
15 flood zone, Saw Mill River, New York, authorized by
16 section 8201(a)(70) of the Water Resources Devel-
17 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3748), is modified
18 to authorize the Secretary to include within the
19 scope of the study areas surrounding City of Yon-
20 kers and the Village of Hastings-on-the-Hudson and
21 the Village of Elmsford and the Village of Ardsley.

22 **SEC. 204. CORPS OF ENGINEERS REPORTS.**

23 (a) REPORT ON RECREATIONAL ACCESS FOR INDI-
24 VIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
3 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
4 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
5 and the Committee on Environment and Public
6 Works of the Senate a report on access for individ-
7 uals with disabilities to covered recreational areas.

8 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall in-
9 clude in the report submitted under paragraph (1)—

10 (A) existing policies or guidance for com-
11 plying with the requirements of the Americans
12 with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101
13 et seq.) at covered recreational areas;

14 (B) a complete list of covered recreational
15 areas, and the status of each covered rec-
16 reational area with respect to compliance with
17 the requirements of such Act;

18 (C) identification of policy changes, inter-
19 nal guidance changes, or changes to shoreline
20 management plans that may result in increased
21 access for individuals with disabilities to cov-
22 ered recreational areas, including access to fish-
23 ing-related recreational activities at covered rec-
24 reational areas;

1 (D) an analysis of barriers that exist for
2 covered recreational areas to fully comply with
3 the requirements of such Act; and

4 (E) identification of specific covered rec-
5 reational areas that could be improved or modi-
6 fied to better accommodate visitors with disabil-
7 ities, including to increase recreational fishing
8 access for individuals with disabilities.

9 (3) COVERED RECREATIONAL AREA DE-
10 FINED.—In this subsection, the term “covered rec-
11 reational area” means all sites constructed, owned,
12 operated, or maintained by the Secretary that are
13 used for recreational purposes.

14 (b) REPORT ON TURBIDITY IN THE WILLAMETTE
15 VALLEY, OREGON.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
17 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
18 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
19 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
20 and the Committee on Environment and Public
21 Works of the Senate a report on instances of high
22 turbidity in a reservoir in the Willamette Valley re-
23 sulting from a drawdown in the reservoir.

24 (2) SCOPE.—In carrying out subsection (a), the
25 Secretary shall—

1 (A) collaborate with any relevant Federal,
2 State, and non-Federal entities;

3 (B) identify and report instances during
4 the 10-year period preceding the date of enact-
5 ment of this Act in which turbidity concerns
6 have arisen following a drawdown at a reservoir
7 in the Willamette Valley, including Foster Lake
8 and Green Peter Lake;

9 (C) report on turbidity monitoring that the
10 Secretary performs during drawdowns to iden-
11 tify, and if necessary correct, turbidity issues;

12 (D) provide a summary of turbidity moni-
13 toring records collected during drawdowns with
14 respect to which turbidity concerns have been
15 raised by the public, including a comparison be-
16 tween turbidity prior to a drawdown, during a
17 drawdown, and following refilling;

18 (E) identify lessons learned associated with
19 turbidity resulting from drawdowns and indi-
20 cate how changes based on those lessons
21 learned are being implemented; and

22 (F) identify opportunities to minimize
23 monetary strains on non-Federal entities caused
24 by increased turbidity levels.

1 (c) REPORT ON SECURITY AT SOO LOCKS, MICHIGAN.—
2

3 (1) REPORT.—Not later than 1 year after the
4 date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall
5 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-
6 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the
7 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
8 Senate a report that—

9 (A) highlights any security deficiencies
10 that exist with respect to the Soo Locks;

11 (B) highlights any supply chain, logistical,
12 and economic effects that would result from a
13 malfunction or failure of the Soo Locks;

14 (C) highlights any effects on the Great
15 Lakes Navigation System that would result
16 from such a malfunction or failure;

17 (D) highlights any potential threats to the
18 integrity of the Soo Locks;

19 (E) details the Corps of Engineers security
20 measures in place to protect the Soo Locks; and

21 (F) contains recommendations, as nec-
22 essary, and cost estimates for such rec-
23 ommendations, for—

24 (i) strengthening security measures
25 for the Soo Locks; and

1 (ii) reducing the effects on the supply
2 chain that would result from a malfunction
3 or failure of the Soo Locks.

4 (2) SOO LOCKS DEFINED.—In this subsection,
5 the term “Soo Locks” means the locks at Sault
6 Sainte Marie, Michigan, authorized by section 1149
7 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986
8 (100 Stat. 4254; 121 Stat. 1131; 136 Stat. 3844).

9 (d) REPORT ON FLORIDA SEA GRASS REHABILITA-
10 TION.—

11 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year
12 after the date of enactment of this Act, and each
13 year thereafter for four years, the Secretary shall
14 submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-
15 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the
16 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
17 Senate a report on any planned or ongoing efforts
18 to promote, rehabilitate, and enhance the growth of
19 seagrasses in Florida stormwater treatment areas.

20 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out sub-
21 section (a), the Secretary shall coordinate with rel-
22 evant Federal, State, and local agencies and other
23 regional stakeholders.

24 (3) FLORIDA STORMWATER TREATMENT AREA
25 DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “Florida

1 stormwater treatment area” means a stormwater
2 treatment area in the State of Florida authorized by
3 or pursuant to section 601 of the Water Resources
4 Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680; 121
5 Stat. 1268; 132 Stat. 3786).

6 (e) REPORT ON SHORELINE USE PERMITS.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
8 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
9 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
10 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
11 and the Committee on Environment and Public
12 Works of the Senate a report describing the use of
13 the authority under part 327 of title 36, Code of
14 Federal Regulations, with respect to the issuance of
15 new, or modifications to existing, shoreline use per-
16 mits at the Table Rock Lake project of the Corps
17 of Engineers, located in Missouri and Arkansas, au-
18 thorized as one of the multi-purpose reservoir
19 projects in the White River Basin by section 4 of the
20 Act of June 28, 1938 (52 Stat. 1218).

21 (2) CONTENTS.—The Secretary shall include in
22 the report required under paragraph (1)—

23 (A) a review of existing regulatory and ad-
24 ministrative requirements related to the lease,
25 rent, sublease, or other usage agreement by a

1 permittee for permitted facilities under a shore-
2 line use permit, including a floating, non-float-
3 ing, or fixed-floating structure;

4 (B) a description of the authority and pub-
5 lic-interest rationale for such requirements, in-
6 cluding impacts on local businesses, property
7 owners, and prospective lessors, renters, or
8 other contractual users of such facilities; and

9 (C) a description of the authority for the
10 transfer of shoreline use permits upon transfer
11 of the permitted facility by sale or other means.

12 (f) REPORT ON RELOCATION.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year
14 after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
15 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
16 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
17 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
18 lic Works of the Senate a report on the policies of
19 the Corps of Engineers relating to using property
20 buyouts as part of coastal storm risk management
21 projects.

22 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—In developing the report
23 under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consider
24 ways in which current policies on mandatory prop-
25 erty buyouts may—

1 (A) diminish the incentives for local com-
2 munities to work with the Corps of Engineers;
3 and

4 (B) increase vulnerabilities of communities
5 to flood risk, including communities described
6 in the guidance issued by the Secretary under
7 section 160 of the Water Resources Develop-
8 ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note)).

9 (g) REPORT ON FUEL EFFICIENCY.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 2 years after
11 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
12 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
13 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
14 and the Committee on Environment and Public
15 Works of the Senate a report on fuel efficiency of
16 each vessel within the fleet of vessels owned by the
17 Corps of Engineers.

18 (2) CONTENTS.—In the report submitted under
19 paragraph (1), the Secretary shall include the fol-
20 lowing:

21 (A) A list of vessels that are commercially
22 available and may be used to carry out the mis-
23 sions of the Corps of Engineers that can be in-
24 corporated into the fleet of vessels owned by the

1 Corps of Engineers to increase fuel efficiency of
2 such fleet.

3 (B) A list of modifications that can be
4 made to increase fuel efficiency of such fleet
5 and the associated cost of such modifications.

6 (C) A life cycle cost analysis of replacing
7 vessels owned by the Corps of Engineers with
8 vessels that are more fuel efficient;

9 (D) A description of technologies used or
10 available to the Secretary to evaluate fuel effi-
11 ciency of each vessel owned by the Corps of En-
12 gineers.

13 (E) A description of other opportunities to
14 increase fuel efficiency of each such vessel.

15 (F) A description of potential cost savings
16 by increasing fuel efficiency of such vessels.

17 (G) A description of State or local policies
18 or requirements regarding efficiencies or emis-
19 sions of vessels, or related technology, that the
20 Secretary must comply with at water resources
21 development projects, and any impact such poli-
22 cies and requirements have on project costs.

23 **SEC. 205. GAO STUDIES.**

24 (a) STUDY ON DONOR PORTS.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
3 General of the United States shall initiate a review
4 of the treatment of donor ports under section 2106
5 of the Water Resources Reform and Development
6 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2238c) that includes—

7 (A) a description of the funding available
8 to donor ports under such section, including a
9 description of how eligibility for such donor
10 ports has been modified;

11 (B) a summary of all funds that have been
12 provided to donor ports under such section;

13 (C) an assessment of how the Secretary
14 provides funding under such section to donor
15 ports, including—

16 (i) a complete description of the proc-
17 ess and data used to determine eligibility;
18 and

19 (ii) the impact construction and main-
20 tenance projects, including maintenance
21 dredging and deep draft navigation con-
22 struction projects, have on donor port eligi-
23 bility;

24 (D) an assessment of other major con-
25 tainer ports that are not currently eligible as a

1 donor port under such section and a description
2 of the criteria that exclude such container ports
3 from eligibility; and

4 (E) recommendations to improve the provi-
5 sion of funds under such section.

6 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review
7 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
8 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
9 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
10 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
11 lic Works of the Senate a report containing the re-
12 sults of such review.

13 (b) STUDY ON DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
15 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
16 General of the United States shall complete an anal-
17 ysis of—

18 (A) the extent to which the Corps of Engi-
19 neers utilizes digital infrastructure technologies
20 for delivery of authorized water resources devel-
21 opment projects, including 3D modeling;

22 (B) the digital technology systems utilized
23 by the Corps of Engineers;

24 (C) the digital technology systems utilized
25 by non-Federal entities working with the Sec-

1 retary on authorized water resources develop-
2 ment projects;

3 (D) the cost to the Government of sup-
4 porting multiple digital technology systems uti-
5 lized by the Corps of Engineers;

6 (E) available digital technology systems
7 that may be used to for the delivery of author-
8 ized water resources development projects;

9 (F) any security concerns related to the
10 use of digital technology systems and how such
11 concerns may be addressed;

12 (G) the benefits of expanding the adoption
13 of digital technology systems for use by the
14 Corps of Engineers, including for delivery of
15 authorized water resources development
16 projects, in order to—

17 (i) maximize interoperability with
18 other systems, products, tools, or applica-
19 tions;

20 (ii) boost productivity;

21 (iii) manage complexity;

22 (iv) reduce project delays and cost
23 overruns;

24 (v) enhance safety and quality;

1 (vi) reduce total costs for the entire
2 lifecycle of authorized water resources de-
3 velopment projects;

4 (vii) reduce emissions and quantify
5 other sustainable and resilient impacts;

6 (viii) promote more timely and pro-
7 ductive information-sharing; and

8 (ix) increase transparency as the re-
9 sult of the real-time sharing of informa-
10 tion; and

11 (H) how the Corps of Engineers could bet-
12 ter leverage digital technology systems to enable
13 3D model delivery and digital project delivery
14 for—

15 (i) seamless application integration;

16 (ii) workflow and State-based access
17 control capabilities;

18 (iii) audit trails; and

19 (iv) automation capabilities sup-
20 porting a closed-loop process.

21 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis
22 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
23 eral of the United States shall submit to the Com-
24 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
25 House of Representatives and the Committee on En-

1 vironment and Public Works of the Senate a report
2 on the findings of such analysis.

3 (c) STUDY ON CORPS OF ENGINEERS DISASTER PRE-
4 PAREDNESS, RESPONSE, AND RELATED INFORMATION
5 COLLECTION.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
7 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
8 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-
9 ysis of Corps of Engineers disaster preparedness and
10 response activities, including—

11 (A) an accounting of post-disaster expendi-
12 tures from the “Corp of Engineers–Civil–Flood
13 Control and Coastal Emergencies” account for
14 each fiscal year beginning with fiscal year 2004,
15 including—

16 (i) the amounts transferred to such
17 account from other accounts of the Corps
18 of Engineers to cover post-disaster activi-
19 ties in each fiscal year;

20 (ii) the name and location of the au-
21 thorized water resources development
22 projects impacted by the transfer of funds
23 described in clause (i);

24 (iii) a summary of the activities and
25 actions carried out with amounts available

1 in such account, including the amount pro-
2 vided for salaries and expenses; and

3 (iv) trends in the provision of post-
4 disaster assistance that may impact future
5 spending through such account;

6 (B) an evaluation of—

7 (i) the publicly available information
8 on disaster response and preparedness re-
9 lated to authorized water resources devel-
10 opment projects, such as levees;

11 (ii) the impacts of natural disasters
12 on authorized water resources development
13 projects, including how such disasters af-
14 fect the performance of such projects and
15 resiliency of such projects to such disas-
16 ters; and

17 (iii) whether the Corps of Engineers
18 utilizes, or shares with non-Federal inter-
19 ests, information regarding such impacts
20 in assessing whether modifications to such
21 projects would reduce the likelihood of re-
22 petitive impacts or be in the public inter-
23 est; and

24 (C) recommendations to improve the provi-
25 sion of assistance for response to natural disas-

1 ters under section 5 of the Act of August 18,
2 1941 (33 U.S.C. 701n).

3 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis
4 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
5 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
6 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
7 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
8 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of
9 such analysis.

10 (d) STUDY ON HOMELESS ENCAMPMENTS ON CORPS
11 OF ENGINEERS PROPERTY.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
13 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
14 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-
15 ysis of—

16 (A) unauthorized homeless encampments
17 on water resources development projects con-
18 structed by the Corps of Engineers and lands
19 owned or under the control of the Corps of En-
20 gineers;

21 (B) any actual or potential impacts of such
22 encampments on the construction, operation
23 and maintenance, or management of such
24 projects and lands, including potential impacts

1 on flood risk reduction or ecosystem restoration
2 efforts, water quality, or public safety;

3 (C) efforts to remove or deter such en-
4 campments from such projects and lands, or re-
5 move any materials associated with such en-
6 campments that are unauthorized to be present
7 and pose a potential threat to public safety, in-
8 cluding manmade, flammable materials in
9 urban and arid regions; and

10 (D) constraints on the ability of the Corps
11 of Engineers to remove or deter such encamp-
12 ments due to Federal, State, or local laws, reg-
13 ulations, or ordinances.

14 (2) CONSULTATION.—In carrying out the anal-
15 ysis required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller
16 General shall consult with the Secretary, the Admin-
17 istrator of the Federal Emergency Management
18 Agency, the Administrator of the Environmental
19 Protection Agency, and other relevant Federal,
20 State, and local government officials and interested
21 parties.

22 (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis
23 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
24 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
25 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-

1 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
2 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of
3 such analysis.

4 (e) STUDY ON FEDERAL-STATE DATA SHARING EF-
5 FORTS.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
7 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
8 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-
9 ysis of the coordination of the Secretary with other
10 Federal and State agencies and academic institu-
11 tions in carrying out the development, update, mod-
12 ernization, and utilization of scientific, peer-reviewed
13 data on the predictability of future resiliency, sea-
14 level rise, and flood impacts.

15 (2) SCOPE.—In conducting the analysis re-
16 quired under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
17 eral shall—

18 (A) consult with the Secretary, the heads
19 of other relevant Federal and State agencies,
20 and academic institutions that collect, analyze,
21 synthesize, and utilize scientific, peer-reviewed
22 data on the predictability of future resiliency,
23 sea-level rise, and flooding events;

1 (B) examine the methodologies and mecha-
2 nisms for collecting, analyzing, synthesizing,
3 and verifying such data; and

4 (C) review and report on the opportunities
5 for, and appropriateness of, the Secretary and
6 relevant non-Federal interests to utilize such
7 data in the planning, design, construction, and
8 operation and maintenance of authorized water
9 resources development projects.

10 (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis
11 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
12 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
13 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
14 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
15 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of
16 such analysis.

17 (f) STUDY ON INSTITUTIONAL BARRIERS TO NA-
18 TURE-BASED FEATURES.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
20 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
21 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-
22 ysis of—

23 (A) nature-based features that are incor-
24 porated into authorized water resources devel-

1 opment projects by the Corps of Engineers and
2 the type of such projects;

3 (B) any limitation on the authority of the
4 Secretary to incorporate nature-based features
5 into authorized water resources development
6 projects;

7 (C) regulatory processes necessary for the
8 use of nature-based features, including permit-
9 ting timelines;

10 (D) the level of efficacy and effectiveness
11 of nature-based features at authorized water re-
12 sources development projects that have—

13 (i) utilized such nature-based features;

14 and

15 (ii) undergone extreme weather
16 events, including hurricanes; and

17 (E) institutional barriers within the Corps
18 of Engineers preventing broader consideration
19 and integration of nature-based features, in-
20 cluding—

21 (i) staff experience with, and expertise
22 on, nature-based features;

23 (ii) official Corps of Engineers guid-
24 ance on nature-based features;

1 (iii) time constraints or other expedi-
2 ency expectations; or

3 (iv) life cycle costs associated with in-
4 corporating nature-based features into
5 water resources development projects.

6 (2) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis
7 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
8 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
9 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
10 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
11 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of
12 such analysis.

13 (3) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection, the term
14 “nature-based feature” has the meaning given the
15 terms “natural feature” and “nature-based feature”
16 in section 1184 of the Water Resources Development
17 Act of 2016 (32 U.S.C. 2289a).

18 (g) STUDY ON ECOSYSTEM SERVICES.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
20 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
21 General of the United States shall initiate an anal-
22 ysis of the use of ecosystem restoration by the Corps
23 of Engineers for flood control or flood risk manage-
24 ment projects.

1 (2) SCOPE.—In conducting the analysis under
2 paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall as-
3 sess—

4 (A) how the Corps of Engineers complies,
5 integrates, and prioritizes ecosystem restoration
6 in benefit-cost analysis and generation of
7 project alternatives;

8 (B) the geographic distribution and fre-
9 quency of ecosystem restoration for flood con-
10 trol or flood risk management projects;

11 (C) the rationale and benefit-cost analyses
12 that drive decisions to incorporate ecosystem
13 restoration into flood control or flood risk man-
14 agement projects;

15 (D) the additional long-term comprehen-
16 sive benefits to local communities related to
17 ecosystem restoration for flood control or flood
18 risk management projects;

19 (E) recommendations for prioritizing eco-
20 system restoration as a tool for flood control
21 and flood risk management projects; and

22 (F) the percentage of the annual construc-
23 tion budget utilized for ecosystem restoration
24 projects over the past 5 years at flood control
25 or flood risk management projects.

1 (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the analysis
2 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
3 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
4 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
5 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
6 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of
7 such analysis.

8 (h) STUDY ON TRIBAL COORDINATION.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
10 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
11 General of the United States shall initiate a review
12 of the Corps of Engineers procedures to address the
13 discovery of Tribal historic or cultural resources, in-
14 cluding village sites, burial sites, and human re-
15 mains, at authorized water resources development
16 projects.

17 (2) SCOPE.—In conducting the review required
18 under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General
19 shall—

20 (A) evaluate the implementation of the
21 Tribal Liaison requirements under section 8112
22 of the Water Resources Development Act of
23 2022 (33 U.S.C. 2281a);

24 (B) describe the procedures used by the
25 Corps of Engineers when Tribal historic or cul-

1 tural resources are identified at authorized
2 water resources development projects, includ-
3 ing—

4 (i) coordination with relevant Tribes,
5 Federal, State, and local agencies;

6 (ii) the role and effectiveness of the
7 Tribal Liaison;

8 (iii) recovery and reburial standards;

9 (iv) any differences in procedures used
10 by each Corps of Engineers district; and

11 (v) as applicable, the implementation
12 of the requirements of section 306108 of
13 title 54, United States Code (formerly
14 known as section 106 of the National His-
15 toric Preservation Act) or the Native
16 American Graves Protection and Repatri-
17 ation Act (25 U.S.C. 3001 et. seq); and

18 (C) provide recommendations to improve
19 the coordination between the Corps of Engi-
20 neers and Tribes for the identification and re-
21 covery of Tribal historic and cultural resources
22 discovered at authorized water resources devel-
23 opment projects.

24 (3) PRIORITIZATION.—In conducting the review
25 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-

1 eral shall prioritize reviewing procedures used by the
2 Sacramento District in the South Pacific Division of
3 the Corps of Engineers.

4 (4) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review
5 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
6 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
7 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
8 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
9 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of
10 such review.

11 (i) STUDY ON RISK RATING 2.0.—

12 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
13 the date of enactment of this Act, the Comptroller
14 General of the United States shall initiate a review
15 on the Risk Rating 2.0 initiative.

16 (2) CONTENTS.—The Comptroller General shall
17 include in the review required under paragraph (1)
18 the following:

19 (A) A description of—

20 (i) the Corps of Engineers processes
21 for communicating changes to floodplain
22 maps made as a result of Risk Rating 2.0
23 to affected communities and property own-
24 ers; and

1 (ii) any measures the Corps of Engi-
2 neers has put in place to assist owners of
3 property that has been included in flood-
4 plain maps as a result of Risk Rating 2.0,
5 including any options for mitigating flood
6 risk and financial support programs.

7 (B) An evaluation of the transparency and
8 clarity of information provided to property own-
9 ers about such changes, including an assess-
10 ment of the adequacy of outreach and education
11 efforts to inform such property owners about
12 available resources for flood risk mitigation.

13 (C) An assessment of—

14 (i) the broader effects of changes to
15 floodplain maps as a result of Risk Rating
16 2.0 on communities, including potential
17 economic and social effects of increased
18 floodplain designations;

19 (ii) the role of local governments and
20 community organizations in responding to
21 and managing such changes;

22 (iii) how such changes may affect the
23 benefit-cost analysis used by the Corps of
24 Engineers; and

1 (iv) whether such changes affect the
2 prioritization and justification of flood risk
3 management projects.

4 (3) REPORT.—Upon completion of the review
5 required under paragraph (1), the Comptroller Gen-
6 eral shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
7 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Represent-
8 atives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
9 lic Works of the Senate a report on the findings of
10 such review.

11 **SEC. 206. ANNUAL REPORT ON HARBOR MAINTENANCE**
12 **NEEDS AND TRUST FUND EXPENDITURES.**

13 (a) IN GENERAL.—On the date on which the budget
14 of the President is submitted to Congress pursuant to sec-
15 tion 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal year
16 2026, and for each fiscal year thereafter, the Secretary
17 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-
18 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the Com-
19 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate
20 a report describing—

21 (1) with respect to the fiscal year for which the
22 budget is submitted, the operation and maintenance
23 costs associated with harbors and inland harbors de-
24 scribed in section 210(a)(2) of the Water Resources
25 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2238(a)(2)),

1 including a description of the costs required to
2 achieve and maintain the constructed width and
3 depth for such harbors and inland harbors and the
4 costs for expanded uses at eligible harbors and in-
5 land harbors (as defined in section 210(d)(2) of such
6 Act), on a project-by-project basis;

7 (2) as of the date on which the report is sub-
8 mitted, expenditures and deposits into the Harbor
9 Maintenance Trust Fund established under section
10 9505 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

11 (3) an identification of the amount of funding
12 requested in the budget of the President for the op-
13 eration and maintenance costs associated with such
14 harbors and inland harbors, on a project-by-project
15 basis;

16 (4) an explanation of how the amount of fund-
17 ing described in paragraph (2) complies with the re-
18 quirements of section 102 of the Water Resources
19 Development Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2238 note);

20 (5) an identification of the unmet operation and
21 maintenance needs associated with such harbors and
22 inland harbors, on a project-by-project basis, that
23 remains after accounting for the amount identified
24 under paragraph (3); and

1 (6) a description of deposits made into the Har-
2 bor Maintenance Trust Fund in the fiscal year pre-
3 ceding the fiscal year of the applicable budget sub-
4 mission and the sources of such deposits.

5 (b) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT.—In the first report
6 required to be submitted under subsection (a), the Sec-
7 retary shall identify, to the maximum extent practicable,
8 transportation cost savings realized by achieving and
9 maintaining the constructed width and depth for the har-
10 bors and inland harbors described in section 210(a)(2) of
11 the Water Resources Development Act of 1986, on a
12 project-by-project basis.

13 (c) PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.—The Secretary shall
14 make the report submitted under subsection (a) available
15 to the public, including on the internet.

16 (d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

17 (1) ASSESSMENT OF HARBORS AND INLAND
18 HARBORS.—Section 210(e)(3) of the Water Re-
19 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
20 2238(e)(3)) is repealed.

21 (2) HARBOR MAINTENANCE TRUST FUND DE-
22 POSITS AND EXPENDITURES.—Section 330 of the
23 Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (26
24 U.S.C. 9505 note) and the item related to such sec-

1 water resources development projects, of imple-
2 menting measures to reduce the release of micro-
3 plastics into the environment.

4 **SEC. 208. POST-DISASTER WATERSHED ASSESSMENT FOR**
5 **IMPACTED AREAS.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a
7 post-disaster watershed assessment under section 3025 of
8 the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of
9 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2267b) for the following areas:

10 (1) Areas of Maui, Hawaii impacted by the Au-
11 gust 2023 wildfires.

12 (2) Areas near Belen, New Mexico impacted by
13 the April 2022 wildfires.

14 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18
15 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
16 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
17 and Infrastructure of the House of Representative and the
18 Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Sen-
19 ate a report on the status of the post-disaster watershed
20 assessments carried out under subsection (a).

21 **SEC. 209. UPPER BARATARIA BASIN AND MORGANZA TO**
22 **THE GULF OF MEXICO CONNECTION, LOU-**
23 **ISIANA.**

24 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall evaluate con-
25 structing a connection between the Upper Barataria Basin

1 Hurricane and Storm Damage Risk Reduction project,
2 Louisiana, authorized by section 8401(3) of the Water Re-
3 sources Development Act of 2022 (136 U.S.C. 3839), and
4 the project for hurricane and storm damage reduction,
5 Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana, authorized by
6 section 1001(24) of the Water Resources Development Act
7 of 2007 (121 Stat. 1053).

8 (b) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than one
9 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
10 shall complete the evaluation described in subsection (a)
11 and submit to the Committee on Transportation and In-
12 frastructure of the House of Representatives and the Com-
13 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate
14 any recommendations related to constructing a connection
15 between the projects described in such subsection.

16 **SEC. 210. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM FLOOD RISK**
17 **AND RESILIENCY STUDY.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct a
19 study to evaluate and recommend local and systemic meas-
20 ures to improve flood resiliency and reduce flood risk in
21 the floodplain, including the floodway, of the Upper Mis-
22 sissippi River System.

23 (b) COMPONENTS.—In carrying out the study re-
24 quired under subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

1 (1) develop recommendations to reduce costs
2 and damages associated with flooding and enable
3 people located in areas adjacent to, and economies
4 dependent on, the Upper Mississippi River System
5 to be more resilient to flood events;

6 (2) identify opportunities to support navigation,
7 environmental sustainability, and environmental res-
8 toration goals for the Upper Mississippi River Sys-
9 tem, including recommending measures that are in-
10 cidental flood risk measures that may achieve such
11 goals;

12 (3) describe the existing flood risk conditions of
13 the Upper Mississippi River System;

14 (4) develop and recommend integrated, com-
15 prehensive, and systems-based approaches for flood
16 risk reduction and floodplain management to mini-
17 mize the threat to life, health, safety, and property
18 resulting from flooding by using structural and non-
19 structural measures in the Upper Mississippi River
20 System;

21 (5) investigate and provide recommendations
22 for modifications to authorized water resources de-
23 velopment projects in Upper Mississippi River States
24 within the floodplain of the Upper Mississippi River
25 System, including modifications to the authorized

1 purposes of such projects to further flood risk man-
2 agement and resiliency;

3 (6) perform a systemic analysis of flood resil-
4 iency and flood risk to determine the feasibility of
5 protecting authorized water resources development
6 projects for flood control and navigation in the
7 Upper Mississippi River System;

8 (7) develop management plans and actions, to
9 be carried out by the responsible Federal agency or
10 State government, to reduce flood risk and improve
11 resiliency in the Upper Mississippi River System;

12 (8) identify and provide recommendations for
13 any necessary changes to Federal or State law to
14 carry out recommendations provided pursuant to
15 this section;

16 (9) recommend follow-up studies of problem
17 areas in the Upper Mississippi River System for
18 which data or technology does not allow immediate
19 solutions; and

20 (10) recommend additional monitoring of, or
21 systemic adaptive management measures for, au-
22 thorized water resources development projects to re-
23 spond to changing conditions in the Upper Mis-
24 sissippi River System.

1 (c) COORDINATION AND CONSULTATION.—In car-
2 rying out the study required under subsection (a), the Sec-
3 retary shall—

4 (1) coordinate with the Upper Mississippi River
5 States, including collectively through the Upper Mis-
6 sissippi River Basin Association;

7 (2) consult with the appropriate Federal agen-
8 cies, levee and drainage districts, and units of local
9 government, and the Mississippi River Commission;
10 and

11 (3) seek and consider input from the Upper
12 Mississippi navigation industry, agriculture and con-
13 servation organizations, and other interested parties
14 in such States.

15 (d) CONTINUATION OF STUDY.—The following stud-
16 ies shall be considered a continuation of the study carried
17 out under subsection (a):

18 (1) Any study recommended to be carried out
19 in a report that the Chief of Engineers prepares for
20 the study conducted under this section.

21 (2) Any study spun off from the study con-
22 ducted under this section before completion of such
23 study.

24 (e) CORPS OF ENGINEERS DISTRICT.—The Secretary
25 shall carry out the study required under subsection (a)

1 through the St. Louis District in the Mississippi Valley
2 Division of the Corps of Engineers.

3 (f) COST SHARE.—The Federal share of the cost of
4 the study carried out under subsection (a) and any study
5 carried out pursuant to subsection (d) shall be 75 percent.

6 (g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

7 (1) UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER STATE.—The
8 term “Upper Mississippi River State” means any of
9 the States of Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, or
10 Wisconsin.

11 (2) UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER SYSTEM.—The
12 term “Upper Mississippi River System” has the
13 meaning given the term in section 1103(b) of the
14 Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33
15 U.S.C. 652(b)).

16 **SEC. 211. NEW JERSEY HOT SPOT EROSION MITIGATION.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall conduct one
18 or more studies on the effects of hot spot erosion on au-
19 thorized coastal storm risk management projects in the
20 State of New Jersey, which shall include, with respect to
21 each affected project included in a study—

22 (1) the specific area of the project that is af-
23 fected by hot spot erosion; and

1 (2) the impact of hot spot erosion on the effec-
2 tiveness of the project in meeting the purpose of
3 coastal storm risk management.

4 (b) FORM.—A study conducted under subsection (a)
5 may be in the form of a general reevaluation report, an
6 engineering documentation report, or any other method of
7 assessment that the Secretary determines appropriate.

8 (c) RECOMMENDATIONS.—Based on the study or
9 studies carried out under subsection (a), the Secretary
10 shall develop recommendations for mitigating the effects
11 of hot spot erosion on authorized coastal storm risk man-
12 agement projects in the State of New Jersey, which may
13 include recommendations relating to—

14 (1) the design and construction of seawalls, jet-
15 ties, berms, groins, breakwaters, or other physical
16 structures;

17 (2) the use of natural features and nature-
18 based features, including living shorelines; and

19 (3) modifications to authorized project designs
20 or renourishment schedules.

21 (d) HOT SPOT EROSION DEFINED.—In this section,
22 the term “hot spot erosion” means the loss of sediment
23 in a specific, concentrated area, significantly faster than
24 in immediately surrounding areas, due to natural proc-
25 esses.

1 **SEC. 212. OCEANSIDE, CALIFORNIA.**

2 The Secretary—

3 (1) shall—

4 (A) expedite the completion of the study of
5 plans for mitigation and beach restoration au-
6 thorized by section 414 of the Water Resources
7 Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2636);
8 and

9 (B) produce a report of the Chief of Engi-
10 neers with a recommended plan for mitigation
11 and beach restoration based on updated sedi-
12 ment sampling and analysis; and

13 (2) may, if the Secretary determines that the
14 mitigation and beach restoration plans described in
15 such study are technically feasible and environ-
16 mentally acceptable, proceed directly to
17 preconstruction planning, engineering, and design of
18 the mitigation and beach restoration work.

19 **SEC. 213. COASTAL WASHINGTON.**

20 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary is authorized to
21 carry out comprehensive studies for riverine and coastal
22 flooding of coastal areas in the State of Washington.

23 (b) REQUIREMENTS.—In carrying out a study under
24 subsection (a), the Secretary shall—

25 (1) conduct a comprehensive analysis of current
26 riverine and coastal flooding and corresponding risk

1 reduction measures with an emphasis on resiliency
2 to maintain or enhance current levels of risk man-
3 agement in response to changing conditions;

4 (2) establish a method of projecting sea level
5 rise with limited tide gage information and develop
6 applicable tools to address the unique coastal flood-
7 ing process in the Pacific Northwest region;

8 (3) conduct research and development to under-
9 stand the atmospheric, oceanic, geologic, and coastal
10 forcing and response conditions necessary to develop
11 a numerical modeling system that may be used for
12 developing coastal hazard data, and how to best in-
13 clude that information in such a modeling system;

14 (4) identify coastal vulnerabilities and risks in
15 riverine and coastal areas due to sea level change,
16 extreme weather, and increased coastal storm risk;

17 (5) identify Tribal and economically disadvan-
18 taged communities (as defined by the Secretary
19 under section 160 of the Water Resources Develop-
20 ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) with
21 riverine and coastal flooding vulnerabilities and
22 risks; and

23 (6) recommend actions necessary to protect
24 critical public infrastructure, communities, and crit-
25 ical natural or cultural resources.

1 (c) DATA NEEDS.—In carrying out this section, the
2 Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable and
3 where appropriate, use existing data provided to the Sec-
4 retary by Federal and State agencies, Indian Tribes, and
5 other stakeholders, including data obtained through other
6 Federal programs.

7 **SEC. 214. CHERRYFIELD DAM, NARRAGUAGUS RIVER,**
8 **MAINE.**

9 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a
10 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control
11 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the deauthorization and
12 potential removal of the Cherryfield Local Protection
13 Project, Narraguagus River, Maine, constructed pursuant
14 to section 205 of the Flood Control Act of 1948 (33
15 U.S.C. 701s).

16 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18
17 months after the date of enactment of this section, the
18 Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
19 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
20 and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
21 the Senate a report on the status of the disposition study
22 required under subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 215. POOR FARM POND DAM, WORCESTER, MASSACHU-**
2 **SETTS.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a
4 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control
5 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the deauthorization and
6 potential removal of the Poor Farm Pond Dam, Worces-
7 ter, Massachusetts.

8 (b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 18
9 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Sec-
10 retary shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
11 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and
12 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the
13 Senate a report on the status of the disposition study re-
14 quired under subsection (a).

15 **SEC. 216. NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES STUDY ON**
16 **UPPER RIO GRANDE BASIN.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall seek to enter
18 into an agreement with the National Academy of Sciences
19 to prepare a report containing—

20 (1) the results of a study on the management
21 and operations of the dams and reservoirs in the
22 Upper Rio Grande Basin, including the Heron, El
23 Vado, Abiquiu, Cochiti, Jemez Canyon, and Ele-
24 phant Butte dams and reservoirs; and

25 (2) recommendations for future management
26 and operation strategies for such dams and res-

1 ervoirs with a goal of optimizing currently author-
2 ized project purposes and enhancing resiliency, in-
3 cluding to drought and weather variations.

4 (b) CONSULTATION.—In preparing the report under
5 subsection (a), the National Academy of Sciences shall
6 consult with relevant Federal agencies.

7 (c) REPORT.—Not later than 2 years after the date
8 of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall submit
9 to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure
10 of the House of Representatives and the Committee on
11 Environment and Public Works of the Senate the report
12 prepared under subsection (a).

13 **SEC. 217. CHAMBERS, GALVESTON, AND HARRIS COUNTIES,**
14 **TEXAS.**

15 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall carry out a
16 disposition study under section 216 of the Flood Control
17 Act of 1970 (33 U.S.C. 549a) for the release, transfer,
18 conveyance, or exchange of excess easements, or the ex-
19 change of land, held for placement of dredged material
20 for the project for navigation, Houston Ship Channel Ex-
21 pansion Channel Improvement Project, Harris, Chambers,
22 and Galveston Counties, Texas, authorized by section
23 401(1) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
24 (134 Stat. 2734).

1 (b) ACTIONS.—In carrying out the study required
2 under subsection (a) the Secretary shall—

3 (1) ensure that the relevant non-Federal inter-
4 est is provided right of first refusal for any potential
5 release, transfer, conveyance, or exchange of excess
6 easements; and

7 (2) work alongside the non-Federal interest in
8 identifying opportunities for land exchanges, where
9 possible.

10 **SEC. 218. SEA SPARROW ACCOUNTING.**

11 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall share data
12 and coordinate with relevant Federal, State, and local
13 agencies to obtain an accurate count of Cape Sable Sea-
14 side Sparrows in Florida during each year and, to the
15 maximum extent practicable, during the 5-year period pre-
16 ceding each such year.

17 (b) SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION TO CONGRESS.—
18 Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this
19 Act, and annually thereafter during the 10-year period be-
20 ginning on such date of enactment, the Secretary shall
21 submit to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
22 structure of the House of Representatives and the Com-
23 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate
24 the information obtained under subsection (a).

1 **TITLE III—DEAUTHORIZATIONS**
2 **AND MODIFICATIONS**

3 **SEC. 301. DEAUTHORIZATION OF INACTIVE PROJECTS.**

4 Section 301 of the Water Resources Development Act
5 of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 579d–2) is amended by striking sub-
6 sections (a) through (c) and inserting the following:

7 “(a) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this section are—

8 “(1) to identify water resources development
9 projects, and separable elements of projects, author-
10 ized by Congress that are no longer viable for con-
11 struction due to—

12 “(A) a lack of local support;

13 “(B) a lack of available Federal or non-
14 Federal resources; or

15 “(C) an authorizing purpose that is no
16 longer relevant or feasible;

17 “(2) to create an expedited and definitive proc-
18 ess for Congress to deauthorize water resources de-
19 velopment projects and separable elements that are
20 no longer viable for construction; and

21 “(3) to allow the continued authorization of
22 water resources development projects and separable
23 elements that are viable for construction.

24 “(b) PROPOSED DEAUTHORIZATION LIST.—

25 “(1) PRELIMINARY LIST OF PROJECTS.—

1 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
2 develop a preliminary list of each water re-
3 sources development project, or separable ele-
4 ment of a project, authorized for construction
5 before June 10, 2014, for which—

6 “(i) planning, design, or construction
7 was not initiated before the date of enact-
8 ment of the Water Resources Development
9 Act of 2024; or

10 “(ii) planning, design, or construction
11 was initiated before the date of enactment
12 of the Water Resources Development Act
13 of 2024, but for which no funds, Federal
14 or non-Federal, were obligated for plan-
15 ning, design, or construction of the project
16 or separable element of the project during
17 the current fiscal year or any of the 10
18 preceding fiscal years.

19 “(B) USE OF COMPREHENSIVE CONSTRU-
20 TION BACKLOG AND OPERATION AND MAINTEN-
21 NANCE REPORT.—The Secretary may develop
22 the preliminary list from the comprehensive
23 construction backlog and operation and mainte-
24 nance reports developed pursuant to section

1 1001(b)(2) of the Water Resources Develop-
2 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 579a).

3 “(2) PREPARATION OF PROPOSED DEAUTHOR-
4 IZATION LIST.—

5 “(A) PROPOSED LIST AND ESTIMATED DE-
6 AUTHORIZATION AMOUNT.—The Secretary
7 shall—

8 “(i) prepare a proposed list of projects
9 for deauthorization comprised of a subset
10 of projects and separable elements identi-
11 fied on the preliminary list developed
12 under paragraph (1) that are projects or
13 separable elements described in subsection
14 (a)(1), as determined by the Secretary;
15 and

16 “(ii) include with such proposed list
17 an estimate, in the aggregate, of the Fed-
18 eral cost to complete such projects.

19 “(B) DETERMINATION OF FEDERAL COST
20 TO COMPLETE.—For purposes of subparagraph
21 (A), the Federal cost to complete shall take into
22 account any allowances authorized by section
23 902 of the Water Resources Development Act
24 of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2280), as applied to the
25 most recent project schedule and cost estimate.

1 “(3) PUBLIC COMMENT AND CONSULTATION.—

2 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
3 solicit comments from the public and the Gov-
4 ernors of each applicable State on the proposed
5 deauthorization list prepared under paragraph
6 (2)(A).

7 “(B) COMMENT PERIOD.—The public com-
8 ment period shall be 90 days.

9 “(4) PREPARATION OF FINAL DEAUTHORIZA-
10 TION LIST.—

11 “(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall
12 prepare a final deauthorization list by—

13 “(i) considering any comments re-
14 ceived under paragraph (3); and

15 “(ii) revising the proposed deauthor-
16 ization list prepared under paragraph
17 (2)(A) as the Secretary determines nec-
18 essary to respond to such comments.

19 “(B) APPENDIX.—The Secretary shall in-
20 clude as part of the final deauthorization list an
21 appendix that—

22 “(i) identifies each project or sepa-
23 rable element on the proposed deauthoriza-
24 tion list that is not included on the final
25 deauthorization list; and

1 “(ii) describes the reasons why the
2 project or separable element is not in-
3 cluded on the final deauthorization list.

4 “(c) SUBMISSION OF FINAL DEAUTHORIZATION LIST
5 TO CONGRESS FOR CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW; PUBLICA-
6 TION.—

7 “(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days
8 after the date of the close of the comment period
9 under subsection (b)(3), the Secretary shall—

10 “(A) submit the final deauthorization list
11 and appendix prepared under subsection (b)(4)
12 to the Committee on Transportation and Infra-
13 structure of the House of Representatives and
14 the Committee on Environment and Public
15 Works of the Senate; and

16 “(B) publish the final deauthorization list
17 and appendix in the Federal Register.

18 “(2) EXCLUSIONS.—The Secretary shall not in-
19 clude in the final deauthorization list submitted
20 under paragraph (1) any project or separable ele-
21 ment with respect to which Federal funds for plan-
22 ning, design, or construction are obligated after the
23 development of the preliminary list under subsection
24 (b)(1)(A) but prior to the submission of the final de-

1 authorization list under paragraph (1)(A) of this
2 subsection.”.

3 **SEC. 302. GENERAL REAUTHORIZATIONS.**

4 (a) LAS VEGAS, NEVADA.—Section 529(b)(3) of the
5 Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat.
6 2658; 119 Stat. 2255; 125 Stat. 865; 136 Stat. 4631)
7 is amended by striking “\$40,000,000” and inserting
8 “\$60,000,000”.

9 (b) INVASIVE SPECIES IN ALPINE LAKES PILOT PRO-
10 GRAM.—Section 507(c) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 2020 (16 U.S.C. 4701 note) is amended by
12 striking “2028” and inserting “2030”.

13 (c) ENVIRONMENTAL BANKS.—Section 309(e) of the
14 Coastal Wetlands Planning, Protection and Restoration
15 Act (16 U.S.C. 3957(e)) is amended by striking “12” and
16 inserting “14”.

17 (d) LEVEE SAFETY INITIATIVE.—Section
18 9005(g)(2)(E)(i) of the Water Resources Development Act
19 of 2007 (33 U.S.C. 3303a(g)(2)(E)(i)) is amended by
20 striking “2028” and inserting “2033”.

21 (e) NON-FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PILOT PRO-
22 GRAM.—Section 1043(b) of the Water Resources Reform
23 and Development Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is
24 amended by striking “2026” each place it appears and
25 inserting “2030”.

1 (f) ASIAN CARP PREVENTION AND CONTROL PILOT
2 PROGRAM.—Section 509(a) of the Water Resources Devel-
3 opment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 610 note) is amended—

4 (1) in paragraph (2)(C)(ii), by striking “2024”
5 and inserting “2030”; and

6 (2) in paragraph (7), by striking “2 years
7 thereafter” and inserting “2 years after the date of
8 enactment of the Water Resources Development Act
9 of 2024”.

10 (g) TRANSFER OF EXCESS CREDIT.—Section 1020
11 of the Water Resources Reform and Development Act of
12 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2223) is amended by striking “2028”
13 and inserting “2033” each place it appears.

14 (h) PILOT PROGRAMS ON THE FORMULATION OF
15 CORPS OF ENGINEERS PROJECTS IN RURAL COMMU-
16 NITIES AND ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED COMMU-
17 NITIES.—Section 118 of the Water Resources Develop-
18 ment Act of 2020 (33 U.S.C. 2201 note) is amended—

19 (1) in subsection (e), by striking “5 years and
20 10 years” and inserting “5 years, 10 years, and 15
21 years”;

22 (2) in subsection (g), by striking “10 years”
23 and inserting “15 years”; and

24 (3) by adding at the end the following:

1 “(h) PRIORITY PROJECTS.—In carrying out this sec-
2 tion, the Secretary shall prioritize the following projects:

3 “(1) The project for flood risk management,
4 city of Rialto, California, authorized by section 201
5 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2024.

6 “(2) The project for ecosystem restoration and
7 recreation, Santa Ana River, Jurupa Valley, Cali-
8 fornia, authorized by section 201 of the Water Re-
9 sources Development Act of 2024.

10 “(3) The project for flood control and other
11 purposes, Kentucky River and its tributaries, Ken-
12 tucky, authorized by section 6 of the Act of August
13 11, 1939 (chapter 699, 53 Stat. 1416).

14 “(4) The project for flood risk management,
15 Kentucky River, Kentucky, authorized by section
16 8201(a)(31) of the Water Resources Development
17 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3746).

18 “(5) The project for navigation, Hagaman
19 Chute, Lake Providence, Louisiana, authorized by
20 section 201 of the Water Resources Development
21 Act of 2024.

22 “(6) The project for flood risk management,
23 Otero County, New Mexico authorized by section
24 201 of the Water Resources Development Act of
25 2024.

1 “(7) The project for flood control other pur-
2 poses, Susquehanna River Basin, Williamsport,
3 Pennsylvania, authorized by section 5 of the Act of
4 June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat. 1573).

5 “(8) The project for flood risk management and
6 ecosystem restoration, Winooski River basin,
7 Vermont, authorized by section 201 of the Water
8 Resources Development Act of 2024.

9 “(9) The project for flood risk management and
10 sediment management, Grays River, Wahkiakum
11 County, Washington, authorized by section 201 of
12 the Water Resources Development Act of 2024.”.

13 (i) REHABILITATION OF EXISTING LEVEES.—Section
14 3017(e) of the Water Resources Reform and Development
15 Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 3303a note) is amended by strik-
16 ing “2028” and inserting “2033”.

17 **SEC. 303. CONVEYANCES.**

18 (a) GENERALLY APPLICABLE PROVISIONS.—

19 (1) SURVEY TO OBTAIN LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—
20 The exact acreage and the legal description of any
21 real property to be conveyed under this section shall
22 be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the
23 Secretary.

24 (2) APPLICABILITY OF PROPERTY SCREENING
25 PROVISIONS.—Section 2696 of title 10, United

1 States Code, shall not apply to any conveyance
2 under this section.

3 (3) COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—An entity to
4 which a conveyance is made under this section shall
5 be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,
6 including real estate transaction and environmental
7 documentation costs, associated with the conveyance.

8 (4) LIABILITY.—An entity to which a convey-
9 ance is made under this section shall hold the
10 United States harmless from any liability with re-
11 spect to activities carried out, on or after the date
12 of the conveyance, on the real property conveyed.
13 The United States shall remain responsible for any
14 liability with respect to activities carried out, before
15 such date, on the real property conveyed.

16 (5) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—
17 The Secretary may require that any conveyance
18 under this section be subject to such additional
19 terms and conditions as the Secretary considers nec-
20 essary and appropriate to protect the interests of the
21 United States.

22 (b) CITY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA.—

23 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
24 is authorized to convey, without consideration, to the
25 City of Los Angeles, California, all right, title, and

1 interest of the United States in and to the real prop-
2 erty described in paragraph (2), for the purpose of
3 housing a fire station, swiftwater rescue facility, and
4 firefighter training facility.

5 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
6 under this subsection is the approximately 11.25
7 acres of land, including improvements on that land,
8 located at 5101 Sepulveda Boulevard, Sherman
9 Oaks, California.

10 (3) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines
11 at any time that the property conveyed under para-
12 graph (1) is not being used in accordance with the
13 purpose specified in such paragraph, all right, title,
14 and interest in and to the property shall revert, at
15 the discretion of the Secretary, to the United States.

16 (c) SALINAS DAM AND RESERVOIR, CALIFORNIA.—

17 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
18 shall convey, without consideration, to the County of
19 San Luis Obispo, California, all right, title, and in-
20 terest of the United States in and to the real prop-
21 erty described in paragraph (2).

22 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
23 under this subsection is Salinas Dam and Reservoir
24 (Santa Margarita Lake), California.

1 (3) SAFETY REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary
2 shall, in consultation with appropriate Federal and
3 non-Federal entities, ensure the property described
4 in paragraph (2) meets applicable State and Federal
5 dam safety requirements before conveying such
6 property under this subsection.

7 (4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines
8 that the property conveyed under this subsection is
9 not used for a public purpose, all right, title, and in-
10 terest in and to the property shall revert, at the dis-
11 cretion of the Secretary, to the United States

12 (d) PORT OF SKAMANIA COUNTY, WASHINGTON.—

13 (1) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary
14 may convey, without consideration, to the Port of
15 Skamania County, Washington, all right, title, and
16 interest of the United States in and to the real prop-
17 erty described in paragraph (2).

18 (2) PROPERTY.—The property to be conveyed
19 under this subsection is the approximately 1.6 acres
20 of land, including improvements on that land, con-
21 sisting of the following: Lot I-2 in the Fifth Addi-
22 tion to the Plats of Relocated North Bonneville re-
23 corded in Volume B of Plat Records, Pages 51 and
24 52, Skamania County Auditor's File No. 94016.

1 (3) WAIVER OF PROPERTY SCREENING PROVI-
2 SION.—Section 401(e) of Public Law 100–581 (102
3 Stat. 2944) shall not apply to the conveyance under
4 this subsection.

5 (4) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines
6 that the property conveyed under this subsection is
7 not used for a public purpose, all right, title, and in-
8 terest in and to the property shall revert, at the dis-
9 cretion of the Secretary, to the United States.

10 **SEC. 304. LAKES PROGRAM.**

11 Section 602(a) of the Water Resources Development
12 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4148; 104 Stat. 4646; 110 Stat.
13 3758; 118 Stat. 295; 121 Stat. 1076; 134 Stat. 2703; 136
14 Stat. 3778) is amended—

15 (1) in paragraph (33), by striking “and” at the
16 end;

17 (2) in paragraph (34) by striking the period at
18 the end and inserting a semicolon; and

19 (3) by adding at the end the following:

20 “(35) East Lake Tohopekaliga, Florida;

21 “(36) Dillon Lake, Ohio;

22 “(37) Hillcrest Pond, Pennsylvania;

23 “(38) Falcon Lake, Zapata County, Texas; and

24 “(39) Lake Casa Blanca, Webb County,
25 Texas.”.

1 **SEC. 305. MAINTENANCE OF NAVIGATION CHANNELS.**

2 Section 509(a) of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3759; 113 Stat. 339; 114 Stat.
4 2679; 136 Stat. 3779) is amended by adding at the end
5 the following:

6 “(23) West Dundalk Branch Channel and Dun-
7 dalk-Seagirt Connecting Channel, Baltimore Harbor
8 Anchorages and Channels, Maryland.

9 “(24) Crown Bay Marina Channel, United
10 States Virgin Islands.

11 “(25) Pidgeon Industrial Area Harbor, Mem-
12 phis, Tennessee.

13 “(26) McGriff Pass Channel, Florida.

14 “(27) Oak Harbor Channel and Breakwater,
15 Washington.

16 “(28) Ediz Hook, Port Angeles, Washington.”.

17 **SEC. 306. ASSET DIVESTITURE.**

18 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 109 of the River and Har-
19 bor Act of 1950 (33 U.S.C. 534) is amended—

20 (1) by striking “That the Secretary of the
21 Army” and inserting the following:

22 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Army”;

23 (2) by striking “with or without consideration”
24 and all that follows through the period at the end
25 and inserting the following: “with or without consid-
26 eration if, prior to any transfer or conveyance of a

1 bridge, the Secretary and the State authority, or po-
2 litical subdivision thereof, execute an agreement con-
3 taining the following terms and conditions:

4 “(1) The State authority, or political subdivi-
5 sion thereof, shall assume responsibility for the oper-
6 ation, maintenance, repair, replacement, and reha-
7 bilitation of the bridge, including the preservation,
8 protection, inspection and evaluation of, and future
9 construction on, the bridge.

10 “(2) Operation of the bridge shall be consistent
11 with the purposes of, and may not constrain or
12 change, the operation and maintenance of the water
13 resources development project in connection to which
14 the bridge was constructed or acquired.

15 “(3) The State authority, or political subdivi-
16 sion thereof, shall hold the United States harmless
17 from any liability with respect to the operation,
18 maintenance, repair, replacement, and rehabilitation
19 of the bridge, including preservation, protection, in-
20 spection and evaluation of, and future construction
21 on, the bridge.

22 “(4) Any additional terms or conditions that
23 the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the
24 interests of the United States.”; and

25 (3) by adding at the end the following:

1 “(b) FUNDS.—The Secretary may transfer to the
2 State authority, or political subdivision thereof, to which
3 a bridge is transferred or conveyed under this section any
4 funds made available to the Secretary for necessary re-
5 placement or rehabilitation of the bridge.”.

6 (b) REPORT ON BRIDGE INVENTORY.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
8 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
9 shall submit to the Committee on Transportation
10 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
11 and the Committee on Environment and Public
12 Works of the Senate a report on bridges owned, op-
13 erated, and maintained by the Corps of Engineers.

14 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary shall in-
15 clude in the report required under paragraph (1)—

16 (A) a list of bridges carrying passengers
17 that are—

18 (i) not located in recreational areas;

19 and

20 (ii) not required to be owned, oper-
21 ated, and maintained by the Corps of En-
22 gineers for the proper functioning of water
23 resources development projects;

24 (B) a description of the location of such
25 bridges and applicable State authority or polit-

1 ical subdivision to which such bridges may be
2 transferred or conveyed under section 109 of
3 the River and Harbor Act of 1950 (33 U.S.C.
4 534) (as amended by this section); and

5 (C) a description of measures taken by the
6 Corps of Engineers to reduce the number of
7 bridges owned, operated, and maintained by the
8 Corps of Engineers.

9 **SEC. 307. UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER RESTORATION PRO-**
10 **GRAM.**

11 Section 1103(e)(4) of the Water Resources Develop-
12 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 652(e)(4)) is amended by
13 striking “\$15,000,000 for fiscal year 1999 and each fiscal
14 year thereafter” and inserting “\$15,000,000 for fiscal
15 year 2024 and \$20,000,000 for each fiscal year there-
16 after”.

17 **SEC. 308. COASTAL COMMUNITY FLOOD CONTROL AND**
18 **OTHER PURPOSES.**

19 Section 103(k)(4) of the Water Resources Develop-
20 ment Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2213(k)(4)) is amended—

21 (1) in subparagraph (A)—

22 (A) in clause (i), by striking “makes” and
23 inserting “made”; and

24 (B) in clause (ii), by striking “repays an
25 amount equal to $\frac{2}{3}$ of the remaining principal

1 by” and inserting “made a payment of an addi-
2 tional \$200,000,000 for that eligible deferred
3 payment agreement on or before”;

4 (2) in subparagraph (B) by inserting “inter-
5 est’s” after “non-Federal”; and

6 (3) by adding at the end the following:

7 “(C) REFUND OF CREDIT.—Any agree-
8 ment made that applied credits to satisfy the
9 terms of a pre-payment made under subsection
10 (k)(4)(A) that resulted in total payment in ex-
11 cess of the amount now required under sub-
12 section (k)(4)(A) shall be modified to indicate
13 that the excess credits continue to apply toward
14 any remaining principal of the respective
15 project, or at the request of the non-Federal in-
16 terest, the agreement shall be modified to retro-
17 actively transfer back those excess credits to the
18 non-Federal interest such that those credits
19 may be applied by the non-Federal interest to
20 any cost-shared project identified by the non-
21 Federal interest.”.

22 **SEC. 309. SHORE PROTECTION AND RESTORATION.**

23 Section 8327 of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3788) is amended—

1 (1) in the section heading, by striking “**DELA-**
2 **WARE**”; and

3 (2) in subsection (b)—

4 (A) in the heading, by striking “DELA-
5 WARE”;

6 (B) by striking “the State of Delaware”
7 and inserting “the covered geographic area”
8 each place it appears; and

9 (C) in paragraph (7), by adding at the end
10 the following:

11 “(C) COVERED GEOGRAPHIC AREA.—The
12 term ‘covered geographic area’ means—

13 “(i) the State of Delaware;

14 “(ii) Fire Island National Seashore,
15 New York; and

16 “(iii) the hamlets of Massapequa
17 Park, Massapequa, Amityville, Copiague,
18 Lindenhurst, West Babylon, Babylon, West
19 Islip, West Bay Shore, Brightwaters, Bay
20 Shore, Islip, East Islip, Great River,
21 Oakdale, West Sayville, Saville, Bayport,
22 Blue Point, Patchogue, East Patchogue,
23 Bellport, Brookhaven, Shirley, Mastic
24 Beach, Mastic, Moriches, Center Moriches,
25 East Moriches, and Eastport, New York.”.

1 **SEC. 310. HOPPER DREDGE MCFARLAND REPLACEMENT.**

2 If the Secretary replaces the Federal hopper dredge
3 McFarland referred to in section 563 of the Water Re-
4 sources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3784; 121
5 Stat. 1105) with another Federal hopper dredge, the Sec-
6 retary shall—

7 (1) place the replacement Federal hopper
8 dredge in a ready reserve status;

9 (2) periodically perform routine underway
10 dredging tests of the equipment (not to exceed 70
11 days per year) of the replacement Federal hopper
12 dredge in a ready reserve status to ensure the ability
13 of the replacement Federal hopper dredge to per-
14 form urgent and emergency work; and

15 (3) in consultation with affected stakeholders,
16 place the replacement Federal hopper dredge in ac-
17 tive status in order to perform dredging work if the
18 Secretary determines that private industry has
19 failed—

20 (A) to submit a responsive and responsible
21 bid for work advertised by the Secretary; or

22 (B) to carry out a project as required pur-
23 suant to a contract between the industry and
24 the Secretary.

1 **SEC. 311. ACEQUIAS IRRIGATION SYSTEMS.**

2 Section 1113 of the Water Resources Development
3 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4232; 110 Stat. 3719, 136 Stat.
4 3781) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (d)—

6 (A) by striking “The non-Federal” and in-
7 serting the following:

8 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The non-Federal”; and

9 (B) by adding at the end the following:

10 “(2) RECONNAISSANCE STUDY.—Notwith-
11 standing paragraph (1), the Federal share of a re-
12 connaissance study carried out by the Secretary
13 under this section shall be 100 percent.”; and

14 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “\$80,000,000”
15 and inserting “\$90,000,000”.

16 **SEC. 312. PACIFIC REGION.**

17 Section 444 of the Water Resources Development Act
18 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3747; 113 Stat. 286) is amended by
19 inserting “Hawaii,” after “Guam,”.

20 **SEC. 313. SELMA, ALABAMA.**

21 The Federal share of the cost of the project for flood
22 risk management, Selma Flood Risk Management and
23 Bank Stabilization, Alabama, authorized by section
24 8401(2) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022
25 (136 Stat. 3838), shall be 100 percent.

1 **SEC. 314. BARROW, ALASKA.**

2 For purposes of implementing the coastal erosion
3 project, Barrow, Alaska, authorized pursuant to section
4 116 of the Energy and Water Development and Related
5 Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010 (123 Stat. 2851) the
6 Secretary may consider the North Slope Borough to be
7 in compliance with section 402(a) of the Water Resources
8 Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 701b–12(a)) on
9 adoption by the North Slope Borough Assembly of a flood-
10 plain management plan to reduce the impacts of flood
11 events in the immediate floodplain area of the project, if
12 the plan—

13 (1) was developed in consultation with the Sec-
14 retary and the Administrator of the Federal Emer-
15 gency Management Agency in accordance with the
16 guidelines developed under section 402(e) of such
17 Act; and

18 (2) is approved by the Secretary.

19 **SEC. 315. SAN FRANCISCO BAY, CALIFORNIA.**

20 Section 142 of the Water Resources Development Act
21 of 1976 (90 Stat. 2930; 100 Stat. 4158) is amended—

22 (1) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting
23 “(a) The Secretary”;

24 (2) by inserting “, Contra Costa,” before “and
25 Solano”; and

26 (3) by adding at the end the following:

1 “(b) ADDITIONAL PURPOSES.—In carrying out sub-
2 section (a), the Secretary shall—

3 “(1) include the ocean shorelines of each coun-
4 ty;

5 “(2) with respect to the bay and ocean shore-
6 lines of each county—

7 “(A) investigate measures to adapt to ris-
8 ing sea levels;

9 “(B) consider the needs of economically
10 disadvantaged communities within the study
11 area, including identification of areas in which
12 infrastructure for transportation, wastewater,
13 housing, and other economic assets of such
14 communities are most vulnerable to flood or
15 shoreline risks; and

16 “(C) to the maximum extent practicable,
17 consider the use of natural features or nature-
18 based features and the beneficial use of dredged
19 materials; and

20 “(3) with respect to the bay and ocean shore-
21 lines, and streams running to the bay and ocean
22 shorelines, of each county, investigate the effects of
23 proposed flood or shoreline protection, coastal storm
24 risk reduction, environmental infrastructure, and
25 other measures or improvements on—

1 “(A) the local economy, including recre-
2 ation;

3 “(B) aquatic ecosystem restoration, en-
4 hancement, or expansion efforts or opportuni-
5 ties;

6 “(C) public infrastructure protection and
7 improvement;

8 “(D) stormwater runoff capacity and con-
9 trol measures, including those that may miti-
10 gate flooding;

11 “(E) erosion of beaches and coasts; and

12 “(F) any other measures or improvements
13 relevant to adapting to rising sea levels.”.

14 **SEC. 316. SANTA ANA RIVER MAINSTEM, CALIFORNIA.**

15 (a) SANTA ANA CREEK, INCLUDING SANTIAGO
16 CREEK.—

17 (1) MODIFICATION.—The project for flood con-
18 trol, Santa Ana River Mainstem Project, including
19 Santiago Creek, California, authorized by section
20 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of
21 1986 (100 Stat. 4113; 101 Stat. 1329–111; 104
22 Stat. 4611; 110 Stat. 3713; 121 Stat. 1115), is
23 modified to require the Secretary to treat construc-
24 tion of the Santiago Creek Channel as a separable
25 element of the project.

1 (2) PROHIBITION.—The Secretary may not con-
2 struct the Santiago Creek Channel unless such con-
3 struction minimizes the impacts to existing trees in,
4 or adjacent to, the Santiago Creek Channel.

5 (3) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this
6 subsection shall affect the authorization for other
7 portions of the project described in paragraph (1).

8 (4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

9 (A) SANTIAGO CREEK CHANNEL.—The
10 term “Santiago Creek Channel” means the por-
11 tion of the project for flood control, Santa Ana
12 River Mainstem Project, including Santiago
13 Creek, California, authorized by section 401(a)
14 of the Water Resources Development Act of
15 1986 (100 Stat. 4113; 101 Stat. 1329–111;
16 104 Stat. 4611; 110 Stat. 3713; 121 Stat.
17 1115), consisting of Santiago Creek down-
18 stream of the I-5 Interstate Highway to the
19 confluence with the Santa Ana River.

20 (B) SEPARABLE ELEMENT.—The term
21 “separable element” has the meaning given
22 such term in section 103 of the Water Re-
23 sources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C.
24 2213).

25 (b) REPORT.—

1 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after
2 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
3 shall provide the Committee on Transportation and
4 Infrastructure of the House of Representatives and
5 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
6 the Senate with an update on implementation of the
7 project for flood control, Santa Ana River Mainstem,
8 including Santiago Creek, California, authorized by
9 section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development
10 Act of 1986 (100 Stat. 4113; 101 Stat. 1329–111;
11 104 Stat. 4611; 110 Stat. 3713; 121 Stat. 1115).

12 (2) SPECIFICATIONS.—In providing the update
13 required under paragraph (1), the Secretary is di-
14 rected to provide specific information on—

15 (A) efforts by the Secretary and the non-
16 Federal interest for the project to acquire the
17 lands or interests in lands necessary to imple-
18 ment the project;

19 (B) the status of potential reimbursement
20 requests by the non-Federal interest for such
21 lands or interests; and

22 (C) the status of ongoing requests by the
23 non-Federal interest for approval by the Sec-
24 retary of pending land (or interest in land) ap-

1 praisals and litigation settlements associated
2 with such lands or interests in lands.

3 **SEC. 317. FAULKNER ISLAND, CONNECTICUT.**

4 Section 527 of the Water Resources Development Act
5 of 1996 (110 Stat. 3767) is amended by striking
6 “\$4,500,000” and inserting “\$8,000,000”.

7 **SEC. 318. BROADKILL BEACH, DELAWARE.**

8 The project for hurricane and storm damage risk re-
9 duction, Delaware Beneficial Use of Dredged Material for
10 the Delaware River, Delaware, authorized by section
11 401(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2020
12 (134 Stat. 2736; 136 Stat. 3788) is modified to include
13 the project for hurricane and storm damage reduction,
14 Delaware Bay coastline, Delaware and New Jersey–
15 Broadkill Beach, Delaware, authorized by section
16 101(a)(11) of the Water Resources Development Act of
17 1999 (113 Stat. 275).

18 **SEC. 319. FEDERAL TRIANGLE AREA, WASHINGTON, DIS-**
19 **TRICT OF COLUMBIA.**

20 In carrying out the feasibility study for the project
21 for flood risk management, Federal Triangle Area, Wash-
22 ington, District of Columbia, authorized by section
23 8201(a)(12) of the Water Resources Development Act of
24 2022 (136 Stat. 3745), the Secretary may accept and ex-

1 pend funds contributed by other Federal agencies within
2 the study area.

3 **SEC. 320. WASHINGTON AQUEDUCT.**

4 Section 8146(d) of the Water Resources Development
5 Act of 2022 (40 U.S.C. 9501 note; 136 Stat. 3729) is
6 amended—

7 (1) in paragraph (1), by inserting “Water and
8 Sewer Authority” after “District of Columbia”; and

9 (2) in paragraph (3), by striking “Fairfax
10 County” and inserting “the Fairfax County Water
11 Authority”.

12 **SEC. 321. WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA, WASH-**
13 **INGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, MARY-**
14 **LAND, AND VIRGINIA.**

15 The Federal share of the cost of the feasibility study
16 for the project for water supply, Washington, District of
17 Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia, authorized by section
18 8201(a)(14) of the Water Resources Development Act of
19 2022 (136 Stat. 3745) shall be 100 percent.

20 **SEC. 322. NORTHERN ESTUARIES ECOSYSTEM RESTORA-**
21 **TION, FLORIDA.**

22 Section 8215(b) of the Water Resources Development
23 Act of 2022 is amended by adding at the end the fol-
24 lowing:

1 “(6) FEDERAL SHARE.—The Federal share of
2 the cost of carrying out paragraph (1) shall be 100
3 percent.”.

4 **SEC. 323. CHICAGO SHORELINE PROTECTION, ILLINOIS.**

5 Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment
6 of this Act, the Secretary, in coordination with the applica-
7 ble non-Federal interest, shall complete a review of a
8 modified locally preferred plan for the project for storm
9 damage reduction and shoreline erosion protection, Lake
10 Michigan, Illinois, from Wilmette, Illinois, to the Illinois-
11 Indiana State line, authorized by section 101(a)(12) of the
12 Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat.
13 3664; 136 Stat. 3793), for the construction of the fol-
14 lowing segments of the project:

15 (1) Shoreline revetment at Morgan Shoal.

16 (2) Shoreline revetment at Promontory Point.

17 **SEC. 324. DILLARD ROAD, PATOKA LAKE, INDIANA.**

18 (a) TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary is au-
19 thorized to transfer, without consideration, to the State
20 of Indiana, all right, title, and interest of the United
21 States in and to the real property interests described in
22 subsection (b).

23 (b) PROPERTY.—The real property interests to be
24 transferred under this section are any easements on the
25 approximately 11.85 acres of land associated with Dillard

1 Road, located in Patoka Township, Crawford County, In-
2 diana, that is subject to the Department of the Army li-
3 cense granted to the State of Indiana numbered
4 DACW27-3-22-690, as described in Exhibit A of such li-
5 cense, including improvements on that land.

6 (c) DISPOSAL.—The Secretary may, under sub-
7 chapter III of chapter 5 of title 40, United States Code,
8 dispose of any portion of the real property interests de-
9 scribed in subsection (b) of which the State of Indiana
10 does not accept transfer.

11 (d) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines that
12 the land described in subsection (b) ceases to be used as
13 a road, all right, title, and interest in and to the real prop-
14 erty interests shall revert, at the discretion of the Sec-
15 retary, to the United States.

16 (e) COSTS OF TRANSFER.—The State of Indiana
17 shall be responsible for all reasonable and necessary costs,
18 including real estate transaction and environmental docu-
19 mentation costs, associated with the transfer under this
20 section.

21 (f) LIABILITY.—The State of Indiana shall hold the
22 United States harmless from any liability with respect to
23 activities carried out, on or after the date of the convey-
24 ance, on the land described in subsection (b).

1 (g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The
2 Secretary may require that the transfer under this section
3 be subject to such additional terms and conditions as the
4 Secretary considers necessary and appropriate to protect
5 the interests of the United States.

6 **SEC. 325. PORT FOURCHON BELLE PASS CHANNEL, LOU-**
7 **ISIANA.**

8 (a) STUDY REQUEST.—If the non-Federal interest
9 for the Port Fourchon project requests to undertake a fea-
10 sibility study for a modification to the project under sec-
11 tion 203(a)(1)(B) of the Water Resources Development
12 Act of 1986 (as amended by this Act), the Secretary shall
13 provide to the non-Federal interest, not later than 30 days
14 after the date on which the Secretary receives such re-
15 quest, a determination in accordance with section
16 203(a)(1)(3) of such Act (as amended by this Act).

17 (b) NOTIFICATION OF ADDITIONAL ANALYSES AND
18 REVIEWS.—Not later than 30 days after receiving a feasi-
19 bility study for modification to the Port Fourchon project
20 submitted by the non-Federal interest for the project
21 under section 203(a) of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2231(a)), the Secretary shall—
23 (1) review the study and determine, in accord-
24 ance with section 203(b)(3)(C) such Act (as amend-
25 ed by this Act), whether additional information is

1 needed for the Secretary to perform the required
2 analyses, reviews, and compliance processes;

3 (2) provide the non-Federal interest with a
4 comprehensive list of additional information needs,
5 as applicable; and

6 (3) if additional information is not needed, in-
7 form the non-Federal interest that the study submis-
8 sion is complete.

9 (c) ANALYSIS, REVIEW, AND COMPLIANCE.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraphs (2)
11 and (3), not later than 180 days after the Secretary
12 receives the study for the Port Fourchon project de-
13 scribed in subsection (b), the Secretary shall com-
14 plete the analyses, review, and compliance processes
15 for the project required under section 203(b) of the
16 Water Resources Development Act of 1986, issue a
17 finding of no significant impact or a record of deci-
18 sion, and submit such finding or decision to the non-
19 Federal interest.

20 (2) EXCEPTION.—The Secretary may delay the
21 issuance of the finding or record of decision required
22 under paragraph (1) if—

23 (A) the Secretary has not received nec-
24 essary information or approvals from another
25 entity, including the non-Federal interest, in a

1 manner that affects the ability of the Secretary
2 to meet any requirements under State local or
3 Federal law; or

4 (B) significant new information or cir-
5 cumstances, including a major modification to
6 an aspect of the Port Fourchon project, re-
7 quires additional analysis by the Secretary.

8 (3) NOTIFICATION OF ADDITIONAL TIME.—If
9 the Secretary determines that more than 180 days
10 will be required to carry out paragraph (1), the Sec-
11 retary shall notify the Committee on Transportation
12 and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives,
13 the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
14 the Senate, and the non-Federal interest and de-
15 scribe the basis for requiring additional time.

16 (d) PORT FOURCHON PROJECT DEFINED.— In this
17 section, the term “Port Fourchon project” means the
18 project for navigation, Port Fourchon Belle Pass Channel,
19 Louisiana, authorized by section 403(a)(4) of the Water
20 Resources Development Act of 2020 (134 Stat. 2743).

21 **SEC. 326. UPPER ST. ANTHONY FALLS LOCK AND DAM, MIN-**
22 **NESOTA.**

23 The Upper St. Anthony Falls Lock and Dam (as such
24 term is defined in section 2010 of the Water Resources
25 Reform and Development Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1270;

1 136 Stat. 3795)) is modified to remove navigation as an
2 authorized purpose.

3 **SEC. 327. MISSOURI RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, MISSOURI.**

4 Section 111 of the Energy and Water Development
5 and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2009 (123 Stat.
6 607) is amended by striking “\$7,000,000” and inserting
7 “\$65,000,000”.

8 **SEC. 328. TABLE ROCK LAKE, MISSOURI AND ARKANSAS.**

9 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall permit the
10 ongoing presence of an eligible structure at the Table
11 Rock Lake project.

12 (b) PRIVATELY OWNED SEWER AND SEPTIC SYS-
13 TEM.—The Secretary shall permit the ongoing presence
14 of an eligible structure that is a privately owned sewer
15 and septic system at the Table Rock Lake project until—

16 (1) the abandonment of such system by the
17 holder of a license for right-of-way for such system;

18 (2) the transfer or sale of the property by the
19 holder of a license for right-of-way for such system;

20 or

21 (3) the death of the holder of a license for
22 right-of-way for such system and the legal spouse of
23 such holder, as applicable.

24 (c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 (1) ELIGIBLE STRUCTURE.—The term “eligible
2 structure” means a privately owned sewer and septic
3 system, dwelling unit, shed, retaining wall, deck,
4 patio, gazebo, driveway, or fence—

5 (A) that is located on fee land or land sub-
6 ject to a flowage easement;

7 (B) for which a license for right-of-way is
8 in effect on the date of enactment of this Act;
9 and

10 (C) that does not impact the reservoir level
11 or pose a failure risk to the dam of the Table
12 Rock Lake project.

13 (2) FEE LAND.—The term “fee land” means
14 the land acquired in fee title by the United States
15 for the Table Rock Lake project.

16 (3) TABLE ROCK LAKE PROJECT.—The term
17 “Table Rock Lake project” means the Table Rock
18 Lake project of the Corps of Engineers, located in
19 Missouri and Arkansas, authorized as one of the
20 multi-purpose reservoir projects in the White River
21 Basin by section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (52
22 Stat. 1218).

1 **SEC. 329. MISSOURI RIVER MITIGATION, MISSOURI, KAN-**
2 **SAS, IOWA, AND NEBRASKA.**

3 (a) ACQUISITION OF LANDS.—In acquiring any land,
4 or interests in land, to satisfy the total number of acres
5 required for the covered project, the Secretary—

6 (1) may only acquire land, or an interest in
7 land, that—

8 (A) is on the riverward side of levees; or

9 (B) will contribute to future flood risk re-
10 siliency projects;

11 (2) may only acquire land, or an interest in
12 land, with the approval of the Governor of the State
13 in which the land is located; and

14 (3) may not acquire land, or an interest in land,
15 by eminent domain.

16 (b) APPLICATION OF LANDS.—The Secretary shall
17 apply all covered land towards the number of acres re-
18 quired for the covered project in accordance with section
19 334 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999
20 (113 Stat. 306; 136 Stat. 3799).

21 (c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

22 (1) COVERED LAND.—The term “covered land”
23 means any land or interests in land that—

24 (A) is acquired by a Federal agency other
25 than the Corps of Engineers;

1 (B) is located within the meander belt of
2 the lower Missouri River; and

3 (C) the Secretary, in consultation with the
4 head of any Federal agency that has acquired
5 the land or interest in land, determines meets
6 the purposes of the covered project.

7 (2) COVERED PROJECT.—The term “covered
8 project” means the project for mitigation of fish and
9 wildlife losses, Missouri River Bank Stabilization
10 and Navigation Project, Missouri, Kansas, Iowa, and
11 Nebraska, authorized by section 601(a) of the Water
12 Resources Development Act of 1986 (100 Stat.
13 4143; 113 Stat. 306; 121 Stat. 1155; 136 Stat.
14 2395).

15 **SEC. 330. NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY HARBOR AND TRIB-**
16 **UTARIES, NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY.**

17 (a) IN GENERAL.—The study for flood and storm
18 damage reduction for the New York and New Jersey Har-
19 bor and Tributaries project, authorized by the Act of June
20 15, 1955 (chapter 140, 69 Stat. 132, 134 Stat. 2676) and
21 being carried out pursuant to the Disaster Relief Appro-
22 priations Act, 2013 (Public Law 113–2), is modified to
23 require the Secretary, upon the request of the non-Federal
24 interest for the project, to include within the scope of such
25 study an investigation of, and recommendations relating

1 to, projects and activities to maximize the net public bene-
2 fits, including ecological benefits and societal benefits,
3 from the reduction of the comprehensive flood risk within
4 the geographic scope of the project from the isolated and
5 compound effects of factors described in section 8106(a)
6 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2022 (33
7 U.S.C. 2282g).

8 (b) ASSOCIATED PROJECTS.—The Secretary is au-
9 thorized to carry out projects and activities recommended
10 pursuant to subsection (a) if such projects and activities
11 otherwise meet the criteria for projects carried out under
12 a continuing authority program (as defined in section
13 7001(c)) of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-
14 ment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d(c)).

15 (c) CONTINUATION.—Any study recommended to be
16 carried out in a report that the Chief of Engineers pre-
17 pares for such study shall be considered a continuation
18 of the study described in subsection (a).

19 (d) CONSIDERATION; CONSULTATION.—In developing
20 recommendations pursuant to subsection (a), the Sec-
21 retary shall—

22 (1) consider the use of natural and nature-
23 based features;

1 (2) consult with applicable Federal and State
2 agencies and other stakeholders within the geo-
3 graphic scope of the project; and

4 (3) solicit public comments.

5 (e) INTERIM PROGRESS; REPORT TO CONGRESS.—

6 Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this
7 Act, the Secretary shall transmit to the Committee on
8 Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Rep-
9 resentatives and the Committee on Environment and Pub-
10 lic Works of the Senate a report detailing—

11 (1) any recommendations made pursuant to
12 subsection (a);

13 (2) any projects or activities carried out under
14 subsection (b);

15 (3) any additional, site-specific areas within the
16 geographic scope of the project for which additional
17 study is recommended by the Secretary; and

18 (4) any interim actions related to reduction of
19 comprehensive flood risk within the geographic scope
20 of the project undertaken by the Secretary during
21 the study period.

22 (f) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Any additional action author-
23 ized by this section shall not delay any existing study, en-
24 gineering, or planning work underway as of the date of
25 enactment of this Act.

1 **SEC. 331. WESTERN LAKE ERIE BASIN, OHIO, INDIANA, AND**
2 **MICHIGAN.**

3 Section 441 of the Water Resources Development Act
4 of 1999 (113 Stat. 328) is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “flood con-
6 trol,” and inserting “flood risk management, hurri-
7 cane and storm damage risk reduction,”;

8 (2) in subsection (b), by striking “the study”
9 and inserting “any study under this section”; and

10 (3) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the
11 following:

12 “(c) TREATMENT OF STUDIES.—Any study carried
13 out by the Secretary under this section after the date of
14 enactment of the Water Resources Development Act of
15 2024 shall be treated as a continuation of the initial study
16 carried out under this section.

17 “(d) PROJECTS.—A project resulting from a study
18 carried out under this section may be implemented pursu-
19 ant to section 212.”.

20 **SEC. 332. WILLAMETTE VALLEY, OREGON.**

21 The Secretary may not complete its review of, and
22 consultation with other Federal agencies on, the operation
23 and maintenance of the projects for flood control, naviga-
24 tion, and other purposes, Willamette River Basin, Oregon,
25 authorized by section 4 of the Act of June 28, 1938 (chap-
26 ter 795, 52 Stat. 1222; 62 Stat. 1178; 64 Stat. 177; 68

1 Stat. 1264; 74 Stat. 499; 100 Stat. 4144), until the Sec-
2 retary prepares and formally analyzes an alternative that
3 ceases hydropower operations at the projects, notwith-
4 standing hydropower being an authorized purpose of such
5 projects.

6 **SEC. 333. COLUMBIA RIVER CHANNEL, OREGON AND WASH-**
7 **INGTON.**

8 In carrying out maintenance activities on the project
9 for navigation, Columbia River Channel, Oregon and
10 Washington, authorized by section 101(b)(13) of the
11 Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.
12 280), the Secretary is authorized to include, as part of
13 the full operating costs of the Cutter Suction Dredge pro-
14 vided by the non-Federal interest for the project, any costs
15 of replacing the Cutter Suction Dredge that the Secretary
16 and the non-Federal interest agree are necessary.

17 **SEC. 334. BUFFALO BAYOU TRIBUTARIES AND RESILIENCY**
18 **STUDY, TEXAS.**

19 (a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall expedite com-
20 pletion of the Buffalo Bayou Tributaries and Resiliency
21 Study, Texas, carried out pursuant to title IV of the Bi-
22 partisan Budget Act of 2018 (132 Stat. 76).

23 (b) REPORTS.—The final report of the Chief of Engi-
24 neers for the study described in subsection (a) shall con-
25 tain recommendations for projects that—

- 1 (1) align with community objectives;
- 2 (2) avoid or minimize adverse effects on the en-
3 vironment and community; and
- 4 (3) promote the resiliency of infrastructure.

5 (c) DEADLINE.—Not later than December 31, 2025,
6 the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Transpor-
7 tation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives
8 and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of
9 the Senate the final report described in subsection (b).

10 **SEC. 335. MATAGORDA SHIP CHANNEL JETTY DEFICIENCY,**
11 **PORT LAVACA, TEXAS.**

12 (a) IN GENERAL.—The project for navigation,
13 Matagorda Ship Channel, Port Lavaca, Texas, authorized
14 by section 101 of the River and Harbor Act of 1958 (72
15 Stat. 298), is modified to authorize the Secretary to carry
16 out the repairs for the Matagorda Ship Channel Jetty De-
17 ficiency, as described in the report titled “Matagorda Ship
18 Channel Project Deficiency Report” and published by the
19 Secretary in the June 2020 Matagorda Ship Channel
20 Project Deficiency Report.

21 (b) COST SHARE.—The non-Federal share of the cost
22 of the repairs carried out pursuant to subsection (a) shall
23 be 10 percent.

1 **SEC. 336. SAN ANTONIO CHANNEL, SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS.**

2 The project for flood control, San Antonio channel
3 improvement, Texas, authorized by section 203 of the
4 Flood Control Act of 1954 as part of the project for flood
5 protection on the Guadalupe and San Antonio Rivers,
6 Texas (68 Stat. 1259; 90 Stat. 2921; 114 Stat. 2611),
7 is modified to require the Secretary to carry out the
8 project substantially in accordance with Alternative 7, as
9 identified in the final General Re-evaluation Report and
10 Environmental Assessment for the project, dated January
11 2014.

12 **SEC. 337. WESTERN WASHINGTON STATE, WASHINGTON.**

13 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary
14 may establish a program to provide environmental assist-
15 ance to non-Federal interests Chelan County, Island
16 County, King County, Kittitas County, Pierce County,
17 San Juan County, Snohomish County, Skagit County, and
18 Whatcom County, Washington.

19 (b) FORM OF ASSISTANCE.—Assistance provided
20 under this section may be in the form of design and con-
21 struction assistance for water-related environmental infra-
22 structure and resource protection and development
23 projects in Western Washington State, as described in
24 subsection (a), including projects for wastewater treat-
25 ment and related facilities, water supply and related facili-

1 ties, environmental restoration, and surface water re-
2 source protection and development.

3 (c) OWNERSHIP REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary may
4 provide assistance for a project under this section only if
5 the project is publicly owned.

6 (d) PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENTS.—

7 (1) IN GENERAL.—Before providing assistance
8 under this section to a non-Federal interest, the Sec-
9 retary shall enter into a partnership agreement
10 under section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970
11 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b) with the non-Federal interest
12 with respect to the project to be carried out with
13 such assistance.

14 (2) REQUIREMENTS.—Each partnership agree-
15 ment for a project entered into under this subsection
16 shall provide for the following:

17 (A) Development by the Secretary, in con-
18 sultation with appropriate Federal and State of-
19 ficials, of a facilities or resource protection and
20 development plan, including appropriate engi-
21 neering plans and specifications.

22 (B) Establishment of such legal and insti-
23 tutional structures as are necessary to ensure
24 the effective long-term operation of the project
25 by the non-Federal interest.

1 (3) COST SHARING.—

2 (A) IN GENERAL.—The Federal share of
3 the cost of a project under this section—

4 (i) shall be 75 percent; and

5 (ii) may be provided in the form of
6 grants or reimbursements of project costs.

7 (B) CREDIT FOR INTEREST.—In case of a
8 delay in the funding of the Federal share of a
9 project that is the subject of an agreement
10 under this section, the non-Federal interest
11 shall receive credit for reasonable interest in-
12 curred in providing the non-Federal share of
13 the project cost.

14 (C) CREDIT FOR LAND, EASEMENTS, AND
15 RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—Notwithstanding section
16 221(a)(4)(G) of the Flood Control Act of 1970
17 (42 U.S.C. 1962d–5b(a)(4)(G)), the non-Fed-
18 eral interest shall receive credit for land, ease-
19 ments, rights-of-way, and relocations toward
20 the non-Federal share of project cost (including
21 all reasonable costs associated with obtaining
22 permits necessary for the construction, oper-
23 ation, and maintenance of the project on pub-
24 licly owned or controlled land), but the credit

1 may not exceed 25 percent of total project
2 costs.

3 (D) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.—The
4 non-Federal share of operation and mainte-
5 nance costs for projects constructed with assist-
6 ance provided under this section shall be 100
7 percent.

8 (e) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

9 (1) IN GENERAL.—There is authorized to be
10 appropriated \$242,000,000 to carry out this section.

11 (2) CORPS OF ENGINEERS EXPENSES.—Not
12 more than 10 percent of the amounts made available
13 to carry out this section may be used by the Corps
14 of Engineers district offices to administer projects
15 under this section at Federal expense.

16 (f) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section
17 219(f)(404) of the Water Resources Development Act of
18 1992 is repealed.

19 **SEC. 338. ENVIRONMENTAL INFRASTRUCTURE.**

20 (a) NEW PROJECTS.—Section 219(f) of the Water
21 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
22 Stat. 336; 121 Stat. 1258; 136 Stat. 3808) is amended
23 by adding at the end the following:

1 “(405) BUCKEYE, ARIZONA.—\$12,000,000 for
2 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
3 water reclamation, City of Buckeye, Arizona.

4 “(406) FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA.—\$5,000,000 for
5 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
6 water reclamation, City of Flagstaff, Arizona.

7 “(407) PAGE, ARIZONA.—\$10,000,000 for
8 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
9 water reclamation, City of Page, Arizona.

10 “(408) SAHUARITA, ARIZONA.—\$4,800,000 for
11 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
12 water reclamation, in the town of Sahuarita, Ari-
13 zona.

14 “(409) TUCSON, ARIZONA.—\$20,000,000 for
15 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
16 water reclamation, City of Tucson, Arizona.

17 “(410) WINSLOW, ARIZONA.—\$3,000,000 for
18 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
19 water reclamation, City of Winslow, Arizona.

20 “(411) ADELANTO, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000
21 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the City
22 of Adelanto, California.

23 “(412) APTOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$10,000,000 for
24 water and wastewater infrastructure in the town of
25 Aptos, California.

1 “(413) BISHOP, CALIFORNIA.—\$2,500,000 for
2 water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of
3 Bishop, California.

4 “(414) BLOOMINGTON, CALIFORNIA.—
5 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
6 ture, including stormwater management, in Bloom-
7 ington, California.

8 “(415) BUTTE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
9 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
10 ture, including stormwater management, water sup-
11 ply, environmental restoration, and surface water re-
12 source protection in Butte County, California.

13 “(416) CALIFORNIA CITY, CALIFORNIA.—
14 \$1,902,808 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
15 including water supply, in the city of California City,
16 California.

17 “(417) CARSON, CALIFORNIA.—\$11,000,000 for
18 water and water supply infrastructure in the City of
19 Carson, California.

20 “(418) CEDAR GLEN, CALIFORNIA.—
21 \$35,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
22 ture, including water supply and water storage, in
23 Cedar Glen, California.

24 “(419) CULVER CITY, CALIFORNIA.—
25 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1 ture, including water supply and drinking water, in
2 City of Culver City, California.

3 “(420) COLTON, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000
4 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
5 stormwater management, in the city of Colton, Cali-
6 fornia.

7 “(421) EAST SAN FERNANDO VALLEY, CALI-
8 FORNIA.—\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater in-
9 frastructure, including stormwater management,
10 drinking water, and water supply, in the City of Los
11 Angeles, California, including Sun Valley.

12 “(422) FRESNO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
13 \$20,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-
14 ture, including stormwater management, surface
15 water resource protection, and environmental res-
16 toration, in Fresno County, California.

17 “(423) GEORGETOWN DIVIDE PUBLIC UTILITY
18 DISTRICT, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,500,000 for water and
19 wastewater infrastructure, including water supply
20 and water storage, for communities served by the
21 Georgetown Divide Public Utility District, Cali-
22 fornia.

23 “(424) GRAND TERRACE, CALIFORNIA.—
24 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-

1 ture, including stormwater management, in the city
2 of Grand Terrace, California.

3 “(425) HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000
4 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
5 related environmental infrastructure, in the city of
6 Hayward, California.

7 “(426) HOLLISTER, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000
8 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city
9 of Hollister, California.

10 “(427) INDIAN WELLS, CALIFORNIA.—
11 \$50,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-
12 ture in the city of Indian Wells, California.

13 “(428) LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
14 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
15 ture, including stormwater management, in Lake
16 County, California.

17 “(429) LAKE TAHOE BASIN.—\$20,000,000 for
18 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
19 water supply, in the communities within the Lake
20 Tahoe Basin in Nevada and California.

21 “(430) LA QUINTA, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000
22 for water and wastewater infrastructure, in the City
23 of La Quinta, California.

1 “(431) LAKEWOOD, CALIFORNIA.—\$8,000,000
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city
3 of Lakewood, California.

4 “(432) LAWDALE, CALIFORNIA.—\$6,000,000
5 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
6 stormwater management, and environmental infra-
7 structure, in the city of Lawndale, California.

8 “(433) LONE PINE, CALIFORNIA.—\$7,000,000
9 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
10 stormwater management, in the town of Lone Pine,
11 California.

12 “(434) LOMITA, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,500,000 for
13 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
14 water supply and stormwater management, in the
15 city of Lomita, California.

16 “(435) LOS BANOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000
17 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
18 stormwater management, in the city of Los Banos,
19 California.

20 “(436) LOS OLIVOS, CALIFORNIA.—\$4,000,000
21 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the town
22 of Los Olivos, California.

23 “(437) LYNWOOD, CALIFORNIA.—\$12,000,000
24 for water and water supply infrastructure in the city
25 of Lynwood, California.

1 “(438) MADERA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
2 \$27,500,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-
3 ture in Madera County, California.

4 “(439) MILPITAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$15,000,000
5 for water and water supply infrastructure in the city
6 of Milpitas, California.

7 “(440) MONTECITO, CALIFORNIA.—
8 \$18,250,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
9 ture, including water supply and stormwater man-
10 agement, in the town of Montecito, California.

11 “(441) OAKLAND-ALAMEDA ESTUARY, CALI-
12 FORNIA.—\$30,000,000 for water and wastewater in-
13 frastructure, including stormwater management, in
14 the cities of Oakland and Alameda, California.

15 “(442) OXNARD, CALIFORNIA.—\$40,000,000
16 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
17 water supply, conservation, water reuse and related
18 facilities, environmental restoration, and surface
19 water resource protection, in the city of Oxnard,
20 California.

21 “(443) PATTERSON, CALIFORNIA.—
22 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
23 ture, including water supply and environmental res-
24 toration, in the city of Patterson, California.

1 “(444) POMONA, CALIFORNIA.—\$35,000,000
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
3 water supply and drinking water, in Pomona, Cali-
4 fornia.

5 “(445) ROHNERT PARK, CALIFORNIA.—
6 \$10,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-
7 ture in the city of Rohnert Park, California.

8 “(446) SALINAS, CALIFORNIA.—\$20,000,000
9 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
10 water supply, in the city of Salinas, California.

11 “(447) SAN BENITO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
12 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture, including water supply, in San Benito County,
14 California.

15 “(448) SAN BUENAVENTURA, CALIFORNIA.—
16 \$18,250,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
17 ture, including water reclamation, City of San
18 Buenaventura, California.

19 “(449) SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
20 \$200,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
21 ture, including water supply, in San Diego County,
22 California.

23 “(450) SOUTH GATE, CALIFORNIA.—\$5,000,000
24 for water and water supply infrastructure in the city
25 of South Gate, California.

1 “(451) SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, CALI-
2 FORNIA.—\$5,000,000 for water and wastewater in-
3 frastructure, including drinking water and water
4 supply, in San Luis Obispo County, California.

5 “(452) STANISLAUS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
6 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
7 ture, including water supply and stormwater man-
8 agement, in Stanislaus County, California.

9 “(453) TULARE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
10 \$20,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-
11 ture, including stormwater management, surface
12 water resource protection, and environmental res-
13 toration, in Tulare County, California.

14 “(454) WATSONVILLE, CALIFORNIA.—
15 \$28,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
16 ture in the city of Watsonville, California.

17 “(455) YOLO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
18 \$20,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
19 ture, including water supply and stormwater man-
20 agement, in Yolo County, California.

21 “(456) YORBA LINDA WATER DISTRICT, CALI-
22 FORNIA.—\$6,500,000 for water and water supply in-
23 frastructure in communities served by the Yorba
24 Linda Water District, California.

1 “(457) FREMONT COUNTY, COLORADO.—
2 \$50,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-
3 ture, in Fremont County, Colorado.

4 “(458) EAST HAMPTON, CONNECTICUT.—
5 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
6 ture, including water supply, in the town of East
7 Hampton, Connecticut.

8 “(459) EAST LYME, CONNECTICUT.—
9 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
10 ture, including water supply, in the town of East
11 Lyme, Connecticut.

12 “(460) BETHANY BEACH TO REHOBOTH
13 BEACH, DELAWARE.—\$25,000,000 for water and
14 wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater
15 management, water storage and treatment, and envi-
16 ronmental restoration in the town of Bethany Beach,
17 Delaware and the city of Rehoboth Beach, Delaware.

18 “(461) WILMINGTON, DELAWARE.—
19 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
20 ture, including stormwater management, water stor-
21 age and treatment, and environmental restoration in
22 the City of Wilmington, Delaware.

23 “(462) BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA.—
24 \$50,000,000 for water and water-related infrastruc-
25 ture, including stormwater management, water stor-

1 age and treatment, surface water protection, and en-
2 vironmental restoration, in Broward County, Flor-
3 ida.

4 “(463) DELTONA, FLORIDA.—\$31,200,000 for
5 water and wastewater infrastructure in the City of
6 Deltona, Florida.

7 “(464) LONGBOAT KEY, FLORIDA.—\$2,000,000
8 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
9 stormwater management, in the Town of Longboat
10 Key, Florida.

11 “(465) MARION COUNTY, FLORIDA.—
12 \$10,000,000 for water and water supply infrastruc-
13 ture, including water supply, in Marion County,
14 Florida.

15 “(466) OVIEDO, FLORIDA.—\$10,000,000 for
16 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
17 water storage and treatment, in the city of Oviedo,
18 Florida.

19 “(467) OSCEOLA COUNTY, FLORIDA.—
20 \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
21 including water supply, and environmental restora-
22 tion, in Osceola County, Florida.

23 “(468) CENTRAL FLORIDA.—\$45,000,000 for
24 water and wastewater infrastructure, including

1 water supply, in Brevard County, Orange County,
2 and Osceola County, Florida.

3 “(469) CENTRAL COASTAL GEORGIA, GEOR-
4 GIA.—\$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
5 structure, including stormwater management and
6 water supply, in Bryan, Camden, Chatham,
7 Effingham, Glynn, and McIntosh counties, Georgia.

8 “(470) DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA.—
9 \$40,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
10 ture, including drinking water and water treatment,
11 in DeKalb County, Georgia.

12 “(471) PORTERDALE, GEORGIA.—\$10,000,000
13 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
14 stormwater management, water supply, and environ-
15 mental restoration in the City of Porterdale, Geor-
16 gia.

17 “(472) BURLEY, IDAHO.—\$20,000,000 for
18 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
19 water treatment, in the city of Burley, Idaho.

20 “(473) BELVIDERE, ILLINOIS.—\$17,000,000
21 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city
22 of Belvidere, Illinois.

23 “(474) DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.—
24 \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,

1 including water supply and drinking water, in the
2 village of Clarendon Hills, Illinois.

3 “(475) FOX RIVER, ILLINOIS.—\$9,500,000 for
4 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
5 water storage and treatment, in the villages of
6 Lakemoor, Island Lake, and Volo, and McHenry
7 County, Illinois.

8 “(476) GERMAN VALLEY, ILLINOIS.—
9 \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
10 including drinking water and water treatment, in the
11 village of German Valley, Illinois.

12 “(477) LASALLE, ILLINOIS.—\$4,000,000 for
13 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
14 stormwater management, drinking water, water
15 treatment, and environmental restoration, in the city
16 of LaSalle, Illinois.

17 “(478) ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS.—\$4,000,000 for
18 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
19 drinking water and water treatment, in the city of
20 Rockford, Illinois.

21 “(479) SAVANNA, ILLINOIS.—\$2,000,000 for
22 water and water supply infrastructure, including
23 drinking water, in the city of Savanna, Illinois.

24 “(480) SHERRARD, ILLINOIS.—\$7,000,000 for
25 water and wastewater infrastructure, including

1 drinking water and water treatment, in the village of
2 Sherrard, Illinois.

3 “(481) BROWNSVILLE, KENTUCKY.—
4 \$14,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
5 ture, including water supply and drinking water, in
6 the city of Brownsville, Kentucky.

7 “(482) MONROE, LOUISIANA.—\$7,000,000 for
8 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
9 stormwater management, water supply, and drinking
10 water, in the city of Monroe, Louisiana.

11 “(483) POINT CELESTE, LOUISIANA.—
12 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture, including pump stations, in Point Celeste, Lou-
14 isiana.

15 “(484) FRANKLIN, MASSACHUSETTS.—
16 \$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
17 including stormwater management, in the town of
18 Franklin, Massachusetts.

19 “(485) WINTHROP, MASSACHUSETTS.—
20 \$1,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
21 including stormwater management, in the town of
22 Winthrop, Massachusetts.

23 “(486) MILAN, MICHIGAN.—\$3,000,000 for
24 water and wastewater infrastructure, including

1 water supply and drinking water, in the city of
2 Milan, Michigan.

3 “(487) SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN.—\$58,000,000
4 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
5 stormwater management and water supply, in Gen-
6 esee, Macomb, Oakland, Wayne, and Washtenaw
7 counties, Michigan.

8 “(488) ELYSIAN, MINNESOTA.—\$5,000,000 for
9 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
10 water supply, in the city of Elysian, Minnesota.

11 “(489) LE SUEUR, MINNESOTA.—\$3,200,000
12 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
13 water supply, in the city of Le Sueur, Minnesota.

14 “(490) COLUMBIA, MISSISSIPPI.—\$4,000,000
15 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
16 water quality enhancement and water supply, in the
17 city of Columbia, Mississippi.

18 “(491) LAUREL, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000 for
19 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
20 stormwater management, in the city of Laurel, Mis-
21 sissippi.

22 “(492) MOSS POINT, MISSISSIPPI.—
23 \$11,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
24 ture, including stormwater management, in the city
25 of Moss Point, Mississippi.

1 “(493) OLIVE BRANCH, MISSISSIPPI.—
2 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
3 ture, including stormwater management, water qual-
4 ity enhancement, and water supply, in the city of
5 Olive Branch, Mississippi.

6 “(494) PICAYUNE, MISSISSIPPI.—\$5,000,000
7 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
8 stormwater management, in the city of Picayune,
9 Mississippi.

10 “(495) STARKVILLE, MISSISSIPPI.—\$6,000,000
11 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
12 drinking water, water treatment, water quality en-
13 hancement, and water supply, in the city of
14 Starkville, Mississippi.

15 “(496) LAUGHLIN, NEVADA.—\$29,000,000 for
16 water infrastructure, including water supply, in the
17 town of Laughlin, Nevada.

18 “(497) PAHRUMP, NEVADA.—\$4,000,000 for
19 water and wastewater infrastructure in the town of
20 Pahrump, Nevada.

21 “(498) NEW HAMPSHIRE.—\$25,000,000 for
22 water and wastewater infrastructure, and related en-
23 vironmental infrastructure, in the counties of
24 Belknap, Carroll, Hillsborough, Merrimack, Rocking-
25 ham, and Strafford, New Hampshire.

1 “(499) BELMAR, NEW JERSEY.—\$10,000,000
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
3 related environmental infrastructure and stormwater
4 management in Belmar Township, New Jersey.

5 “(500) CAPE MAY, NEW JERSEY.—\$40,000,000
6 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
7 water supply and desalination, for the city of Cape
8 May, the boroughs of West Cape May and Cape May
9 Point, and Lower Township, New Jersey.

10 “(501) COLESVILLE, NEW JERSEY.—
11 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
12 ture in Colesville, New Jersey.

13 “(502) DEPTFORD TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.—
14 \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
15 in Deptford Township, New Jersey.

16 “(503) LACEY TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY.—
17 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
18 ture, including related environmental infrastructure
19 and stormwater management, in Lacey Township,
20 New Jersey.

21 “(504) MERCHANTVILLE, NEW JERSEY.—
22 \$18,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
23 ture in the borough of Merchantville, New Jersey.

1 “(505) PARK RIDGE, NEW JERSEY.—
2 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
3 ture in the borough of Park Ridge, New Jersey.

4 “(506) WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP, NEW JER-
5 SEY.—\$3,200,000 for water and wastewater infra-
6 structure in Washington Township, Gloucester
7 County, New Jersey.

8 “(507) BERNALILLO, NEW MEXICO.—
9 \$20,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure in the
10 town of Bernalillo, New Mexico.

11 “(508) BOSQUE FARMS, NEW MEXICO.—
12 \$10,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure in the vil-
13 lage of Bosque Farms, New Mexico.

14 “(509) CARMEL, NEW YORK.—\$3,450,000 for
15 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
16 stormwater management, in the town of Carmel,
17 New York.

18 “(510) DUTCHESS COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
19 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
20 ture in Dutchess County, New York.

21 “(511) KINGS COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
22 \$100,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
23 ture, including stormwater management (including
24 combined sewer overflows), in Kings County, New
25 York.

1 “(512) MOHAWK RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES,
2 NEW YORK.—\$100,000,000 for water and waste-
3 water infrastructure, including stormwater manage-
4 ment, surface water resource protection, environ-
5 mental restoration, and related infrastructure, in the
6 vicinity of the Mohawk River and tributaries, includ-
7 ing the counties of Albany, Delaware, Fulton,
8 Greene, Hamilton, Herkimer, Lewis, Madison, Mont-
9 gomery, Oneida, Otsego, Saratoga, Schoharie, and
10 Schenectady, New York.

11 “(513) MOUNT PLEASANT, NEW YORK.—
12 \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
13 including stormwater management, in the town of
14 Mount Pleasant, New York.

15 “(514) NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.—
16 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
17 ture, including stormwater management (including
18 combined sewer overflows), in the vicinity of New-
19 town Creek, New York City, New York.

20 “(515) NEW YORK COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
21 \$60,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
22 ture, including stormwater management (including
23 combined sewer overflows), in New York County,
24 New York.

1 “(516) ORANGE COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
2 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
3 ture in Orange County, New York.

4 “(517) SLEEPY HOLLOW, NEW YORK.—
5 \$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
6 including stormwater management, in the village of
7 Sleepy Hollow, New York.

8 “(518) ULSTER COUNTY, NEW YORK.—
9 \$10,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
10 ture in Ulster County, New York.

11 “(519) RAMAPO, NEW YORK.—\$4,000,000 for
12 water infrastructure, including related environmental
13 infrastructure, in the town of Ramapo, New York.

14 “(520) RIKERS ISLAND, NEW YORK.—
15 \$25,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
16 ture, including stormwater management (including
17 combined sewer overflows) on Rikers Island, New
18 York.

19 “(521) YORKTOWN, NEW YORK.—\$10,000,000
20 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the town
21 of Yorktown, New York.

22 “(522) CANTON, NORTH CAROLINA.—
23 \$41,025,650 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
24 ture, including stormwater management, in the town
25 of Canton, North Carolina.

1 “(523) FAIRMONT, NORTH CAROLINA.—
2 \$7,137,500 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
3 in the town of Fairmont, North Carolina.

4 “(524) MURPHY, NORTH CAROLINA.—
5 \$1,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
6 including water supply, in the town of Murphy,
7 North Carolina.

8 “(525) ROBBINSVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—
9 \$3,474,350 for water and wastewater infrastructure
10 in the town of Robbinsville, North Carolina.

11 “(526) WEAVERVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA.—
12 \$4,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
13 in the town of Weaverville, North Carolina.

14 “(527) APPLE CREEK, OHIO.—\$350,000 for
15 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
16 stormwater management, in the village of Apple
17 Creek, Ohio.

18 “(528) BROOKLYN HEIGHTS, OHIO.—\$170,000
19 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
20 stormwater management, in the village of Brooklyn
21 Heights, Ohio.

22 “(529) CHAGRIN FALLS REGIONAL WATER SYS-
23 TEM, OHIO.—\$3,500,000 for water and wastewater
24 infrastructure in the villages of Bentleyville, Chagrin
25 Falls, Moreland Hills, and South Russell, and the

1 Townships of Bainbridge, Chagrin Falls, and Rus-
2 sell, Ohio.

3 “(530) CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO.—
4 \$11,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
5 ture in Cuyahoga County, Ohio.

6 “(531) ERIE COUNTY, OHIO.—\$16,000,000 for
7 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
8 stormwater management (including combined sewer
9 overflows) in Erie County, Ohio.

10 “(532) HURON, OHIO.—\$7,100,000 for water
11 and wastewater infrastructure in the city of Huron,
12 Ohio.

13 “(533) KELLEYS ISLAND, OHIO.—\$1,000,000
14 for wastewater infrastructure in the village of
15 Kelleys Island, Ohio.

16 “(534) NORTH OLMSTED, OHIO.—\$1,175,165
17 for water and wastewater infrastructure in the city
18 of North Olmsted, Ohio.

19 “(535) PAINESVILLE, OHIO.—\$11,800,000 for
20 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
21 stormwater management, in the City of Painesville,
22 Ohio.

23 “(536) SOLON, OHIO.—\$14,137,341 for water
24 and wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater

1 management (including combined sewer overflows),
2 in the city of Solon, Ohio.

3 “(537) SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO.—\$25,000,000
4 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
5 related environmental infrastructure, in Summit
6 County, Ohio.

7 “(538) STARK COUNTY, OHIO.—\$24,000,000
8 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
9 related environmental infrastructure, in Stark Coun-
10 ty, Ohio.

11 “(539) TOLEDO AND OREGON, OHIO.—
12 \$10,500,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
13 ture in the cities of Toledo and Oregon, Ohio.

14 “(540) VERMILION, OHIO.—\$15,400,000 for
15 wastewater infrastructure in the city of Vermilion,
16 Ohio.

17 “(541) WESTLAKE, OHIO.—\$750,000 for water
18 and wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater
19 management, in the city of Westlake, Ohio.

20 “(542) STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA.—
21 \$30,000,000 for water infrastructure, including re-
22 lated environmental infrastructure and water stor-
23 age, transmission, treatment, and distribution, in the
24 city of Stillwater, Oklahoma.

1 “(543) BEAVERTON, OREGON.—\$10,000,000
2 for water supply in the city of Beaverton, Oregon.

3 “(544) CLACKAMAS COUNTY, OREGON.—
4 \$50,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
5 ture, including combined sewer overflows, in
6 Clackamas County, Oregon.

7 “(545) WASHINGTON COUNTY, OREGON.—
8 \$50,000,000 for water infrastructure and water sup-
9 ply in Washington County, Oregon.

10 “(546) BERKS COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—
11 \$7,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
12 including water supply, stormwater management,
13 drinking water, and water treatment, in Berks
14 County, Pennsylvania.

15 “(547) CHESTER COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—
16 \$7,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
17 including water supply, stormwater management,
18 drinking water, and water treatment, in Chester
19 County, Pennsylvania.

20 “(548) FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, PENNSYL-
21 VANIA.—\$2,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
22 structure, including stormwater management, in
23 Franklin Township, Pennsylvania.

24 “(549) INDIAN CREEK, PENNSYLVANIA.—
25 \$50,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure in the

1 boroughs of Telford, Franconia, and Lower Safford,
2 Pennsylvania.

3 “(550) PEN ARGYL, PENNSYLVANIA.—
4 \$5,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
5 in the borough of Pen Argyl, Pennsylvania.

6 “(551) CHESTERFIELD, SOUTH CAROLINA.—
7 \$1,200,000 for water and wastewater infrastructure
8 in the town of Chesterfield, South Carolina.

9 “(552) CHERAW, SOUTH CAROLINA.—
10 \$8,800,000 for water, wastewater, and other envi-
11 ronmental infrastructure in the town of Cheraw,
12 South Carolina.

13 “(553) FLORENCE COUNTY, SOUTH CARO-
14 LINA.—\$40,000,000 for water and wastewater infra-
15 structure in Florence County, South Carolina.

16 “(554) LAKE CITY, SOUTH CAROLINA.—
17 \$15,000,000 for water and wastewater infrastruc-
18 ture, including stormwater management in the city
19 of Lake City, South Carolina.

20 “(555) TIPTON, HAYWOOD, AND FAYETTE
21 COUNTIES, TENNESSEE.—\$50,000,000 for water and
22 wastewater infrastructure, including related environ-
23 mental infrastructure and water supply, in Tipton,
24 Haywood, and Fayette Counties, Tennessee.

1 “(556) AUSTIN, TEXAS.—\$50,000,000 for
2 water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of
3 Austin, Texas.

4 “(557) AMARILLO, TEXAS.—\$38,000,000 for
5 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
6 stormwater management and water storage and
7 treatment systems, in the City of Amarillo, Texas.

8 “(558) BROWNSVILLE, TEXAS.—\$40,000,000
9 for water and wastewater infrastructure, in the City
10 of Brownsville, Texas.

11 “(559) CLARENDON, TEXAS.—\$5,000,000 for
12 water infrastructure, including water storage, in the
13 city of Clarendon, Texas.

14 “(560) QUINLAN, TEXAS.—\$1,250,000 for
15 water and wastewater infrastructure in the city of
16 Quinlan, Texas.

17 “(561) RUNAWAY BAY, TEXAS.—\$7,000,000 for
18 water and wastewater infrastructure, including
19 stormwater management and water storage and
20 treatment systems, in the city of Runaway Bay,
21 Texas.

22 “(562) WEBB COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$20,000,000
23 for wastewater infrastructure and water supply in
24 Webb County, Texas.

1 “(563) ZAPATA COUNTY, TEXAS.—\$20,000,000
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
3 water supply, in Zapata County, Texas.

4 “(564) KING WILLIAM COUNTY, VIRGINIA.—
5 \$1,300,000 for wastewater infrastructure in King
6 William County, Virginia.

7 “(565) POTOMAC RIVER, VIRGINIA.—
8 \$1,000,000 for wastewater infrastructure, environ-
9 mental infrastructure, and water quality improve-
10 ments, in the vicinity of the Potomac River, Vir-
11 ginia.

12 “(566) CHELAN, WASHINGTON.—\$9,000,000
13 for water infrastructure, including water supply,
14 storage, and distribution, in the city of Chelan,
15 Washington.

16 “(567) COLLEGE PLACE, WASHINGTON.—
17 \$5,000,000 for water infrastructure, including water
18 supply and storage, in the city of College Place,
19 Washington.

20 “(568) FERNDALE, WASHINGTON.—\$4,000,000
21 for water, wastewater, and environmental infrastruc-
22 ture, in the city of Ferndale, Washington.

23 “(569) LYNDEN, WASHINGTON.—\$4,000,000
24 for water, wastewater, and environmental infrastruc-
25 ture, in the city of Lynden, Washington.

1 “(570) OTHELLO, WASHINGTON.—\$14,000,000
2 for water and wastewater infrastructure, including
3 water supply and aquifer storage and recovery, in
4 the city of Othello, Washington.”.

5 (b) PROJECT MODIFICATIONS.—

6 (1) CONSISTENCY WITH REPORTS.—Congress
7 finds that the project modifications described in this
8 subsection are in accordance with the reports sub-
9 mitted to Congress by the Secretary under section
10 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Develop-
11 ment Act (33 U.S.C. 2282d), titled “Report to Con-
12 gress on Future Water Resources Development”, or
13 have otherwise been reviewed by Congress.

14 (2) MODIFICATIONS.—

15 (A) ALAMEDA AND CONTRA COSTA COUN-
16 TIES, CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(80) of the
17 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
18 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat.
19 1258) is amended by striking “\$25,000,000”
20 and inserting “\$45,000,000”.

21 (B) CALAVERAS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.—
22 Section 219(f)(86) of the Water Resources De-
23 velopment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
24 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1259; 136 Stat. 3816) is

1 amended by striking “\$13,280,000” and insert-
2 ing “\$16,300,000”.

3 (C) CONTRA COSTA WATER DISTRICT,
4 CALIFORNIA.—Section 219(f)(87) of the Water
5 Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
6 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1259) is
7 amended—

8 (i) by inserting “\$80,000,000, of
9 which not less than” before
10 “\$23,000,000”; and

11 (ii) by inserting “shall be for” after
12 “\$23,000,000”; and

13 (iii) by inserting “service area, and of
14 which not less than \$57,000,000 shall be
15 for water and wastewater infrastructure,
16 including stormwater management and
17 water supply, within the service areas for
18 the Delta Diablo Sanitation District and
19 the Ironhouse Sanitary District, Contra
20 Costa County” after “Water District”.

21 (D) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALI-
22 FORNIA.—Section 219(f)(93) of the Water Re-
23 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
24 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1259; 136 Stat.
25 3816) is amended—

1 (i) by striking “\$103,000,000” and
2 inserting “\$128,000,000”; and

3 (ii) by striking “Santa Clarity Valley”
4 and inserting “Santa Clarita Valley”.

5 (E) LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA
6 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.—Sec-
7 tion 8319(e)(1) of the Water Resources Devel-
8 opment Act of 2022 (136 Stat. 3785) is amend-
9 ed by striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting
10 “\$100,000,000”.

11 (F) LOS OSOS, CALIFORNIA.—

12 (i) PROJECT DESCRIPTION.—Section
13 219(c)(27) of the Water Resources Devel-
14 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 114
15 Stat. 2763A–219; 121 Stat. 1209) is
16 amended by striking “Wastewater” and in-
17 serting “Water and wastewater”.

18 (ii) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-
19 TIONS FOR CONSTRUCTION ASSISTANCE.—
20 Section 219(e)(15) of the Water Resources
21 Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835;
22 110 Stat. 3757; 121 Stat. 1192) is amend-
23 ed by striking “\$35,000,000” and insert-
24 ing “\$43,000,000”.

1 (G) SAN BERNADINO COUNTY, CALI-
2 FORNIA.—Section 219(f)(101) of the Water Re-
3 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
4 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1260) is modi-
5 fied by striking “\$9,000,000” and inserting
6 “\$24,000,000”.

7 (H) SOUTH PERRIS, CALIFORNIA.—Section
8 219(f)(52) of the Water Resources Development
9 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336;
10 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 134 Stat. 2718) is
11 amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and insert-
12 ing “\$100,000,000”.

13 (I) PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA.—Sec-
14 tion 219(f)(129) of the Water Resources Devel-
15 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
16 334; 121 Stat. 1261) is amended by striking
17 “\$7,500,000” and inserting “\$57,500,000”.

18 (J) ATLANTA, GEORGIA.—Section
19 219(e)(5) of the Water Resources Development
20 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 110 Stat. 3757;
21 113 Stat. 334) is amended by striking
22 “\$75,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

23 (K) EAST POINT, GEORGIA.—Section
24 219(f)(136) of the Water Resources Develop-
25 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.

1 334; 121 Stat. 1261; 136 Stat. 3817) is
2 amended by striking “\$15,000,000” and insert-
3 ing “\$20,000,000”.

4 (L) GUAM.—Section 219(f)(323) of the
5 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
6 (136 Stat. 3811) is amended by striking
7 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$35,000,000”.

8 (M) MAUI, HAWAII.—Section 219(f)(328)
9 of the Water Resources Development Act of
10 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat.
11 3811) is modified by striking “\$20,000,000”
12 and inserting “50,000,000”.

13 (N) COOK COUNTY AND LAKE COUNTY, IL-
14 LINOIS.—Section 219(f)(54) of the Water Re-
15 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
16 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 114 Stat. 2763A-221) is
17 amended by striking “\$100,000,000” and in-
18 serting “\$149,000,000”.

19 (O) FOREST PARK, ILLINOIS.—Section
20 219(f)(330) of the Water Resources Develop-
21 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
22 334; 136 Stat. 3811) is amended by striking
23 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$50,000,000”.

24 (P) MADISON AND ST. CLAIR COUNTIES,
25 ILLINOIS.—Section 219(f)(55) of the Water Re-

1 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
2 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 114 Stat. 2763A–221;
3 134 Stat. 2718; 136 Stat. 3817) is amended—

4 (i) by inserting “(including
5 stormwater)” after “wastewater”; and

6 (ii) by striking “\$100,000,000” and
7 inserting “\$150,000,000”.

8 (Q) SOUTH CENTRAL ILLINOIS.—Section
9 219(f)(333) of the Water Resources Develop-
10 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
11 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended—

12 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-
13 ing “MONTGOMERY AND CHRISTIAN COUN-
14 TIES, ILLINOIS” and inserting “SOUTH
15 CENTRAL ILLINOIS”; and

16 (ii) by striking “Montgomery County
17 and Christian County” and inserting
18 “Montgomery County, Christian County,
19 Fayette County, Shelby County, Jasper
20 County, Richland County, Crawford Coun-
21 ty, and Lawrence County”.

22 (R) BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA.—Section
23 219(f)(21) of the Water Resources Development
24 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336;
25 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 121 Stat. 1226; 136

1 Stat. 3817) is amended by striking
2 “\$90,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

3 (S) EAST ATCHAFALAYA BASIN AND AMITE
4 RIVER BASIN REGION, LOUISIANA.—Section
5 5082(i) of the Water Resources and Develop-
6 ment Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1226) is amended
7 by striking “\$40,000,000” and inserting
8 “\$45,000,000”.

9 (T) LAFOURCHE PARISH, LOUISIANA.—
10 Section 219(f)(146) of the Water Resources
11 Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113
12 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1262) is amended by strik-
13 ing “\$2,300,000” and inserting “\$7,300,000”.

14 (U) SOUTH CENTRAL PLANNING AND DE-
15 VELOPMENT COMMISSION, LOUISIANA.—Section
16 219(f)(153) of the Water Resources Develop-
17 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
18 336; 121 Stat. 1262; 136 Stat. 3817) is
19 amended by striking “\$12,500,000” and insert-
20 ing “\$17,500,000”.

21 (V) SOUTHEAST LOUISIANA REGION, LOU-
22 ISIANA.—Section 5085(i) of the Water Re-
23 sources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.
24 1228) is amended by striking “\$17,000,000”
25 and inserting “\$22,000,000”.

1 (W) FITCHBURG, MASSACHUSETTS.—Sec-
2 tion 219(f)(336) of the Water Resources Devel-
3 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
4 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking
5 “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

6 (X) HAVERHILL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Sec-
7 tion 219(f)(337) of the Water Resources Devel-
8 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
9 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking
10 “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

11 (Y) LAWRENCE, MASSACHUSETTS.—Sec-
12 tion 219(f)(338) of the Water Resources Devel-
13 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
14 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking
15 “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

16 (Z) LOWELL, MASSACHUSETTS.—Section
17 219(f)(339) of the Water Resources Develop-
18 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
19 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking
20 “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

21 (AA) METHUEN, MASSACHUSETTS.—Sec-
22 tion 219(f)(340) of the Water Resources Devel-
23 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
24 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking
25 “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$30,000,000”.

1 (BB) MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN.—Sec-
2 tion 219(f)(345) of the Water Resources Devel-
3 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
4 334; 136 Stat. 3812) is amended by striking
5 “\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$90,000,000”.

6 (CC) MICHIGAN.—Section 219(f)(157) of
7 the Water Resources Development Act of 1992
8 (106 Stat. 4825; 113 Stat. 336; 121 Stat.
9 1262; 136 Stat. 3818) is amended—

10 (i) in the paragraph heading, by strik-
11 ing “MICHIGAN COMBINED SEWER OVER-
12 FLOWS” and inserting “MICHIGAN”; and

13 (ii) in subparagraph (A) by striking
14 “\$85,000,000” and inserting
15 “\$160,000,000”.

16 (DD) BILOXI, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
17 219(f)(163) of the Water Resources Develop-
18 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835; 113 Stat.
19 334; 121 Stat. 1263) is amended by striking
20 “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

21 (EE) DESOTO COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
22 tion 219(f)(30) of the Water Resources Devel-
23 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
24 336; 114 Stat. 2763A–220; 119 Stat. 282; 119
25 Stat. 2257; 122 Stat. 1623; 134 Stat. 2718) is

1 amended by striking “\$130,000,000” and in-
2 serting “\$170,000,000”.

3 (FF) MADISON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—
4 Section 219(f)(351) of the Water Resources
5 and Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835;
6 113 Stat. 336; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by
7 striking “\$10,000,000” and inserting
8 “\$22,000,000”.

9 (GG) MERIDIAN, MISSISSIPPI.—Section
10 219(f)(352) of the Water Resources and Devel-
11 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835; 113 Stat.
12 336; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
13 “\$10,000,000” and inserting “\$26,000,000”.

14 (HH) RANKIN COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI.—Sec-
15 tion 219(f)(254) of the Water Resources and
16 Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat, 4835; 113
17 Stat. 336; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by strik-
18 ing “\$10,000,000” and inserting
19 “\$22,000,000”.

20 (II) ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI.—Section
21 219(f)(32) of the Water Resources Development
22 Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 337;
23 121 Stat. 1233; 134 Stat. 2718) is amended by
24 striking “\$70,000,000” and inserting
25 “\$100,000,000”.

1 (JJ) CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY.—Section
2 219(f)(357) of the Water Resources Develop-
3 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
4 336; 136 Stat. 3813) is amended by striking
5 “\$119,000,000” and inserting “\$143,800,000”.

6 (KK) CENTRAL NEW MEXICO.—Section
7 593(h) of the Water Resources Development
8 Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 380; 119 Stat. 2255;
9 136 Stat. 3820) is amended by striking
10 “\$100,000,000” and inserting “\$150,000,000”.

11 (LL) KIRYAS JOEL, NEW YORK.—Section
12 219(f)(184) of the Water Resources Develop-
13 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
14 334; 121 Stat. 1264) is amended by striking
15 “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$25,000,000”.

16 (MM) QUEENS, NEW YORK.—Section
17 219(f)(377) of the Water Resources Develop-
18 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
19 334; 136 Stat. 3814) is amended by striking
20 “\$119,200,000” and inserting “\$190,000,000”.

21 (NN) NEW YORK CITY WATERSHED.—Sec-
22 tion 552(a) of the Water Resources Develop-
23 ment Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3780; 136 Stat.
24 3821) is amended by adding at the end the fol-
25 lowing:

1 “(3) CONSIDERATIONS.—In carrying out this
2 section, the Secretary may consider natural and na-
3 ture-based infrastructure.”.

4 (OO) NORTH CAROLINA.—Section 5113 of
5 the Water Resources Development Act of 2007
6 (121 Stat. 1237) is amended in subsection (f)
7 by striking “\$13,000,000” and inserting
8 “\$50,000,000”.

9 (PP) CLEVELAND, OHIO.—Section
10 219(f)(207) of the Water Resources Develop-
11 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
12 334; 121 Stat. 1265) is amended by striking
13 “\$2,500,000 for Flats East Bank” and insert-
14 ing “\$25,500,000”.

15 (QQ) CINCINNATI, OHIO.—Section
16 219(f)(206) of the Water Resources Develop-
17 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
18 334; 121 Stat. 1265) is amended by striking
19 “\$1,000,000” and inserting “\$31,000,000”.

20 (RR) OHIO.—Section 594 of the Water
21 Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat.
22 381; 119 Stat. 2261; 121 Stat. 1140; 121 Stat.
23 1944; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended in subsection
24 (h) by striking “\$250,000,000” and inserting
25 “\$300,000,000”.

1 (SS) MIDWEST CITY, OKLAHOMA.—Section
2 219(f)(231) of the Water Resources Develop-
3 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
4 334; 121 Stat. 1266; 134 Stat 2719) is amend-
5 ed by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting
6 “\$15,000,000”.

7 (TT) WOODWARD, OKLAHOMA.—Section
8 219(f)(236) of the Water Resources Develop-
9 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
10 334; 121 Stat. 1266) is amended by striking
11 “\$1,500,000” and inserting “\$3,000,000”.

12 (UU) SOUTHWESTERN OREGON.—Section
13 8359 of the Water Resources Development Act
14 of 2022 (136 Stat. 3802) is amended—

15 (i) in subsection (e)(1), by striking
16 “\$50,000,000” and inserting
17 “\$100,000,000” ; and

18 (ii) in subsection (f), by inserting
19 “Lincoln,” after “Lane,”.

20 (VV) HATFIELD BOROUGH, PENNSYLVANIA.—Section 219(f)(239) of the Water Re-
21 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
22 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 121 Stat. 1266) is
23 amended by striking “\$310,000” and inserting
24 “\$3,000,000”.

1 (WW) NORTHEAST PENNSYLVANIA.—Sec-
2 tion 219(f)(11) of the Water Resources Devel-
3 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
4 334) is amended—

5 (i) by striking “\$20,000,000 for water
6 related infrastructure” and inserting
7 “\$70,000,000 for water and wastewater in-
8 frastructure, including water supply”; and

9 (ii) by inserting “Luzerne,” after
10 “Lackawanna,”.

11 (XX) PHOENIXVILLE BOROUGH, CHESTER
12 COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA.—Section 219(f)(68)
13 of the Water Resources Development Act of
14 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 114 Stat.
15 2763A–221) is amended by striking
16 “\$2,400,000 for water and sewer infrastruc-
17 ture” and inserting “\$10,000,000 for water and
18 wastewater infrastructure, including stormwater
19 infrastructure and water supply”.

20 (YY) LAKES MARION AND MOULTRIE,
21 SOUTH CAROLINA.—Section 219(f)(25) of the
22 Water Resources Development Act of 1992
23 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat. 336; 114 Stat.
24 2763A–220; 117 Stat. 1838; 130 Stat. 1677;
25 132 Stat. 3818; 134 Stat. 2719; 136 Stat.

1 3818) is amended by striking “\$165,000,000”
2 and inserting “\$235,000,000”.

3 (ZZ) MOUNT PLEASANT, SOUTH CARO-
4 LINA.—Section 219(f)(393) of the Water Re-
5 sources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat.
6 4835; 113 Stat. 334; 136 Stat. 3815) is
7 amended by striking “\$7,822,000” and insert-
8 ing “\$20,000,000”.

9 (AAA) SMITH COUNTY, TENNESSEE.—Sec-
10 tion 219(f)(395) of the Water Resources Devel-
11 opment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
12 334; 136 Stat. 3815) is amended by striking
13 “\$19,500,000” and inserting “\$69,500,000”.

14 (BBB) DALLAS COUNTY REGION,
15 TEXAS.—Section 5140 of the Water Resources
16 Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat. 1251) is
17 amended in subsection (i) by striking
18 “\$40,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”.

19 (CCC) TEXAS.—Section 5138 of the Water
20 Resources Development Act of 2007 (121 Stat.
21 1250; 136 Stat. 3821) is amended in subsection
22 (i) by striking “\$80,000,000” and inserting
23 “\$200,000,000”.

24 (DDD) WESTERN RURAL WATER.—Section
25 595 of the Water Resources Development Act

1 of 1999 (113 Stat. 383; 117 Stat. 139; 117
2 Stat. 142; 117 Stat. 1836; 118 Stat. 440; 121
3 Stat. 1219; 123 Stat. 2851; 128 Stat. 1316;
4 130 Stat. 1681; 134 Stat. 2719; 136 Stat.
5 3822) is amended—

6 (i) in subsection (c)(1)—

7 (I) by inserting by inserting “,
8 including natural and nature-based in-
9 frastructure” after “water-related en-
10 vironmental infrastructure,”;

11 (II) in subparagraph (C), by
12 striking “and” at the end; and

13 (III) by adding at the end the
14 following:

15 “(E) drought resilience measures; and”;

16 and

17 (ii) in subsection (i)—

18 (I) in paragraph (1), by striking
19 “\$800,000,000” and inserting
20 “\$850,000,000”; and

21 (II) in paragraph (2), by striking
22 “\$200,000,000” and inserting
23 “\$250,000,000”.

24 (EEE) MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN.—Section
25 219(f)(405) of the Water Resources Develop-

1 ment Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 113 Stat.
2 334; 136 Stat. 3816) is amended by striking
3 “\$4,500,000” and inserting “\$11,000,000”.

4 (3) EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION.—Notwith-
5 standing the operation of section 6001(e) of the
6 Water Resources Reform and Development Act of
7 2014 (as in effect on the day before the date of en-
8 actment of the Water Resources Development Act of
9 2016), any project included on a list published by
10 the Secretary pursuant to such section the author-
11 ization for which is amended by this subsection re-
12 mains authorized to be carried out by the Secretary.

13 **SEC. 339. SPECIFIC DEAUTHORIZATIONS.**

14 (a) DEAUTHORIZATION OF DESIGNATED PORTIONS
15 OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DRAINAGE AREA, CALI-
16 FORNIA.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—The portion of the project
18 for flood risk management, Los Angeles County
19 Drainage Area, California, authorized by section 5
20 of the Act of June 22, 1936 (chapter 688, 49 Stat.
21 1589; 50 Stat. 167; 52 Stat. 1215; 55 Stat. 647; 64
22 Stat. 177; 104 Stat. 4611; 136 Stat. 3785), con-
23 sisting of the flood channels described in paragraph
24 (2), are no longer authorized beginning on the date

1 that is 18 months after the date of enactment of
2 this Act.

3 (2) FLOOD CHANNELS DESCRIBED.—The flood
4 channels referred to in paragraph (1) are the fol-
5 lowing flood channels operated and maintained by
6 the Los Angeles County Flood Control District, as
7 generally defined in Corps of Engineers operations
8 and maintenance manuals and as may be further de-
9 scribed in an agreement entered into under para-
10 graph (3):

11 (A) Arcadia Wash Channel (Auburn
12 Branch Channel).

13 (B) Arcadia Wash Channel (Baldwin Ave.
14 Branch Channel).

15 (C) Arcadia Wash Channel (East Branch
16 Channel).

17 (D) Arcadia Wash Channel (Lima St.
18 Branch Channel).

19 (E) Bel Aire Dr./Sunset Canyon Channel.

20 (F) Big Dalton Wash Channel.

21 (G) Big Dalton Wash Channel (East
22 Branch Inlet Channel).

23 (H) Blanchard Canyon Channel.

24 (I) Blue Gum Canyon Channel.

25 (J) Brand Canyon Channel.

- 1 (K) Childs Canyon Channel.
- 2 (L) Dead Horse Canyon Channel.
- 3 (M) Dunsmuir Canyon Channel.
- 4 (N) Eagle Canyon Channel.
- 5 (O) Elmwood Canyon Channel.
- 6 (P) Emerald Wash Channel.
- 7 (Q) Emerald Wash Channel (West
- 8 Branch).
- 9 (R) Hay Canyon Channel.
- 10 (S) Higgins and Coldwater Canyon.
- 11 (T) Hillcrest Canyon Channel.
- 12 (U) La Tuna Canyon Channel.
- 13 (V) Little Dalton Diversion Channel.
- 14 (W) Little Dalton Wash Channel.
- 15 (X) Live Oak Wash Channel.
- 16 (Y) Mansfield St. Channel.
- 17 (Z) Marshall Creek Channel.
- 18 (AA) Marshall Creek Channel (West
- 19 Branch).
- 20 (BB) Rexford-Monte Mar Branch.
- 21 (CC) Royal Boulevard Channel.
- 22 (DD) Rubio Canyon Diversion Channel.
- 23 (EE) San Dimas Wash Channel.
- 24 (FF) Sawtelle Channel.
- 25 (GG) Shields Canyon Channel.

- 1 (HH) Sierra Madre Villa Channel.
- 2 (II) Sierra Madre Wash.
- 3 (JJ) Sierra Madre Wash Inlet.
- 4 (KK) Snover Canyon Channel.
- 5 (LL) Stough Canyon Channel.
- 6 (MM) Thompson Creek Channel.
- 7 (NN) Walnut Creek Channel.
- 8 (OO) Webber Canyon Channel.
- 9 (PP) Westwood Branch Channel.
- 10 (QQ) Wilson Canyon Channel.
- 11 (RR) Winery Canyon Channel.

12 (3) AGREEMENT.—Not later than 90 days after
13 the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary
14 shall seek to enter into an agreement with the Los
15 Angeles County Flood Control District to ensure
16 that the Los Angeles County Flood Control Dis-
17 trict—

18 (A) will continue to operate, maintain, re-
19 pair, rehabilitate, and replace as necessary, the
20 flood channels described in paragraph (2)—

21 (i) in perpetuity at no cost to the
22 United States; and

23 (ii) in a manner that does not reduce
24 the level of flood protection of the project
25 described in paragraph (1);

1 (B) will retain public ownership of all real
2 property required for the continued functioning
3 of the flood channels described in paragraph
4 (2), consistent with authorized purposes of the
5 project described in paragraph (1);

6 (C) will allow the Corps of Engineers to
7 continue to operate, maintain, repair, rehabili-
8 tate, and replace any appurtenant structures,
9 such as rain and stream gages, existing as of
10 the date of enactment of this Act and located
11 within the flood channels subject to deauthor-
12 ization under paragraph (1) as necessary to en-
13 sure the continued functioning of the project
14 described in paragraph (1); and

15 (D) will hold and save the United States
16 harmless from damages due to floods, breach,
17 failure, operation, or maintenance of the flood
18 channels described in paragraph (2).

19 (4) ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—The Secretary
20 may accept and expend funds voluntarily contributed
21 by the Los Angeles County Flood Control District to
22 cover the administrative costs incurred by the Sec-
23 retary to—

24 (A) enter into an agreement under para-
25 graph (3); and

1 (B) monitor compliance with such agree-
2 ment.

3 (b) THAMES RIVER, CONNECTICUT.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
5 actment of this Act, the 25-foot-deep channel por-
6 tion of the project for navigation, Thames River,
7 Connecticut, authorized by the first section of the
8 Act of July 3, 1930 (chapter 847, 46 Stat. 918),
9 consisting of the area described in paragraph (2), is
10 no longer authorized.

11 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in
12 paragraph (1) is the area—

13 (A) beginning at a point N706550.83,
14 E1179497.53;

15 (B) running southeasterly about 808.28
16 feet to a point N705766.32, E1179692.10;

17 (C) running southeasterly about 2219.17
18 feet to a point N703725.88, E1180564.64;

19 (D) running southeasterly about 1594.84
20 feet to a point N702349.59, E1181370.46;

21 (E) running southwesterly about 483.01
22 feet to a point N701866.63, E1181363.54;

23 (F) running northwesterly about 2023.85
24 feet to a point N703613.13, E1180340.96;

1 (G) running northwesterly about 2001.46
2 feet to a point N705453.40, E1179554.02; and

3 (H) running northwesterly about 1098.89
4 feet to the point described in paragraph (1).

5 (c) SAINT PETERSBURG HARBOR, FLORIDA.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
7 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for
8 navigation, Saint Petersburg Harbor, Florida, au-
9 thorized by section 101 the River and Harbor Act of
10 1950 (64 Stat. 165), consisting of the area described
11 in paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.

12 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in
13 paragraph (1) is the portion of the Federal channel
14 located within Bayboro Harbor, at approximately
15 -82.635353 W and 27.760977 N, south of the
16 Range 300 line and west of the Station 71+00 line.

17 (d) NORTH BRANCH, CHICAGO RIVER, ILLINOIS.—

18 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
19 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for
20 navigation North Branch channel, Chicago River, Il-
21 linois, authorized by section 22 of the Act of March
22 3, 1899 (chapter 425, 30 Stat. 1156), consisting of
23 the area described in paragraph (2) is no longer au-
24 thorized.

1 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in
2 paragraph (1) is the approximately one-mile long
3 segment of the North Branch Channel on the east
4 side of Goose Island, Chicago River, Illinois.

5 (e) PAPILLION CREEK WATERSHED, NEBRASKA.—
6 Beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the
7 project for flood protection and other purposes in the Pa-
8 pillion Creek Basin, Nebraska, authorized by section 203
9 of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (82 Stat. 743) is modi-
10 fied to deauthorize the portions of the project known as
11 Dam Site 7 and Dam Site 12.

12 (f) TRUCKEE RIVER, NEVADA.—Beginning on the
13 date of enactment of this Act, the project for flood risk
14 management, Truckee Meadows, Nevada, authorized by
15 section 7002(2) of the Water Resources Reform and De-
16 velopment Act of 2014 (128 Stat. 1366), is no longer au-
17 thorized.

18 (g) NEWTOWN CREEK, NEW YORK.—

19 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
20 actment of this Act, the portions of the project for
21 navigation, Newtown Creek, New York, Federal
22 Navigation Channel, authorized by the first section
23 of the Act of March 2, 1919 (chapter 95, 40 Stat.
24 1276; 50 Stat. 845), consisting of the areas de-
25 scribed in paragraph (2) are no longer authorized.

1 (2) AREAS DESCRIBED.—The areas referred to
2 in paragraph (1) are—

3 (A) the portions of Reaches E1 and G ad-
4 jacent to Reach F east of a line formed by
5 points 40.724204, -73.924649; 40.723419,
6 -73.925904; and 40.722344, -73.925369;

7 (B) Reach F;

8 (C) Reach I; and

9 (D) the portions of Reach K from approxi-
10 mately 500 feet upstream of Metropolitan Ave-
11 nue Bridge to a point located approximately
12 1750 feet upstream.

13 (h) MONROE BAY AND CREEK FEDERAL CHANNEL,
14 VIRGINIA.—

15 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
16 actment of this Act, the portion of the project for
17 navigation, Monroe Bay and Creek, Virginia, author-
18 ized by the first section of the Act of July 3, 1930
19 (chapter 847, 46 Stat. 922), consisting of the area
20 described in paragraph (2) is no longer authorized.

21 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in
22 paragraph (1) is the roughly 300 feet of the length
23 of the Federal turning and anchorage basin in the
24 vicinity of the property located at 829 Robin Grove
25 Ln., Colonial Beach, Virginia, 22443.

1 (i) SEATTLE HARBOR, WASHINGTON.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—Beginning on the date of en-
3 actment of this Act, the project for navigation, Se-
4 attle Harbor, Washington, authorized by the first
5 section of the Act of August 30, 1935 (chapter 831,
6 49 Stat. 1039), is modified to deauthorize the por-
7 tion of the project within the East Waterway con-
8 sisting of the area described in paragraph (2).

9 (2) AREA DESCRIBED.—The area referred to in
10 paragraph (1) is the area—

11 (A) beginning at the southwest corner of
12 Block 386, Plat of Seattle Tidelands (said cor-
13 ner also being a point on the United States
14 pierhead line);

15 (B) thence north 90°00'00" west along the
16 projection of the south line of Block 386,
17 206.58 feet to the centerline of the East Water-
18 way;

19 (C) thence north 14°30'00" east along the
20 centerline and parallel with the northwesterly
21 line of Block 386, 64.83 feet;

22 (D) thence north 33°32'59" east, 235.85
23 feet;

24 (E) thence north 39°55'22" east, 128.70
25 feet;

1 (F) thence north 14°30'00" east parallel
2 with the northwesterly line of Block 386,
3 280.45 feet;

4 (G) thence north 90°00'00" east, 70.00
5 feet to the pierhead line and the northwesterly
6 line of Block 386; and

7 (H) thence south 14°30'00" west, 650.25
8 feet along said pierhead line and northwesterly
9 line of Block 386 to the point of beginning.

10 **TITLE IV—WATER RESOURCES** 11 **INFRASTRUCTURE**

12 **SEC. 401. PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS.**

13 The following projects for water resources develop-
14 ment and conservation and other purposes, as identified
15 in the reports titled “Report to Congress on Future Water
16 Resources Development” submitted to Congress pursuant
17 to section 7001 of the Water Resources Reform and Devel-
18 opment Act of 2014 (33 U.S.C. 2282d) or otherwise re-
19 viewed by Congress, are authorized to be carried out by
20 the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans,
21 and subject to the conditions, described in the respective
22 reports or decision documents designated in this section:

23 (1) NAVIGATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. CA	Oakland Harbor Turning Basins Widening, Oak- land	May 30, 2024	Federal: \$408,164,600 Non-Federal: \$200,780,400 Total: \$608,945,000
2. MD	Baltimore Harbor Anchorage and Channels Modi- fication of Seagirt Loop Channel, City of Baltimore, Deep Draft Navigation	June 22, 2023	Federal: \$47,956,500 Non-Federal: \$15,985,500 Total: \$63,942,000

1 (2) HURRICANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK RE-
2 DUCTION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. DC, VA	Metropolitan Washington, District of Co- lumbia, Coastal Storm Risk Management	June 17, 2024	Federal: \$9,899,000 Non-Federal: \$5,330,500 Total: \$15,230,000
2. FL	St. Johns County, Ponte Vedra Beach Coastal Storm Risk Management	April 18, 2024	Initial Federal: \$24,591,000 Initial Non-Federal: \$35,533,000 Total: \$60,124,000 Renourishment Federal: \$24,632,000 Renourishment Non-Federal: \$53,564,000 Renourishment Total: \$78,196,000

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
3. NY	South Shore Staten Island, Fort Wadsworth to Oakwood Beach, Richmond County, Coastal Storm Risk Management	February 6, 2024	Federal: \$1,730,973,900 Non-Federal: \$363,228,100 Total: \$2,094,202,000
4. RI	Rhode Island Coastline, Coastal Storm Risk Management	September 28, 2023	Federal: \$188,353,750 Non-Federal: \$101,421,250 Total: \$289,775,000

1 (3) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND HURRI-
2 CANE AND STORM DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. LA	St. Tammany Parish, Louisiana Coastal Storm and Flood Risk Management	May 28, 2024	Federal: \$3,653,346,450 Non-Federal: \$2,240,881,550 Total: \$5,894,229,000

3 (4) NAVIGATION AND HURRICANE AND STORM
4 DAMAGE RISK REDUCTION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. TX	Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Coastal Resilience Study, Brazoria and Matagorda Counties	June 2, 2023	Total: \$314,221,000

1 (5) FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT AND ECO-
2 SYSTEM RESTORATION.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Report of Chief of Engineers	D. Estimated Costs
1. MS	Memphis Metropolitan Stormwater - North DeSoto County Feasibility Study, DeSoto County, Flood Risk Management and Ecosystem Restoration	December 18, 2023	Federal: \$44,295,000 Non-Federal: \$23,851,000 Total: \$68,146,000

3 (6) MODIFICATIONS AND OTHER PROJECTS.—

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
1. AZ	Tres Rios, Arizona Ecosystem Restoration Project	May 28, 2024	Federal: \$215,840,300 Non-Federal: \$116,221,700 Total: \$332,062,000
2. KS	Manhattan, Kansas Federal Levee System	May 6, 2024	Federal: \$29,454,750 Non-Federal: \$15,860,250 Total: \$45,315,000

A. State	B. Name	C. Date of Decision Document	D. Estimated Costs
3. MO	University City Branch, River Des Peres, Uni- versity City, St. Louis County, Flood Risk Management	February 9, 2024	Federal: \$9,094,000 Non-Federal: \$4,897,000 Total: \$13,990,000

1 **SEC. 402. FACILITY INVESTMENT.**

2 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), using
3 amounts available in the revolving fund established by the
4 first section of the Civil Functions Appropriations Act,
5 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576) that are not otherwise obligated,
6 the Secretary may—

7 (1) design and construct the new building for
8 operations and maintenance in Galveston, Texas, de-
9 scribed in the prospectus submitted to the Com-
10 mittee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the
11 House of Representatives and the Committee on En-
12 vironment and Public Works of the Senate on May
13 22, 2024, pursuant to subsection (c) of such Act (33
14 U.S.C. 576(c)), substantially in accordance with
15 such prospectus;

16 (2) design and construct the new warehouse fa-
17 cility at the Longview Lake Project near Lee’s Sum-
18 mit, Missouri, described in the prospectus submitted
19 to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-

1 ture of the House of Representatives and the Com-
2 mittee on Environment and Public Works of the
3 Senate on May 22, 2024, pursuant to subsection (c)
4 of such Act (33 U.S.C. 576(c)), substantially in ac-
5 cordance with such prospectus;

6 (3) design and construct the joint facility for
7 the resident office for the Corpus Christi Resident
8 Office (Construction) and the Corpus Christi Regu-
9 latory Field Office on existing federally owned prop-
10 erty at the Naval Air Station, in Corpus Christi,
11 Texas, described in the prospectus submitted to the
12 Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of
13 the House of Representatives and the Committee on
14 Environment and Public Works of the Senate on
15 June 6, 2023, pursuant to subsection (c) of such Act
16 (33 U.S.C. 576(c)), substantially in accordance with
17 such prospectus; and

18 (4) carry out such construction and infrastruc-
19 ture improvements as are required to support such
20 building and facilities, including any necessary dem-
21 olition of the existing infrastructure.

22 (b) REQUIREMENT.—In carrying out subsection (a),
23 the Secretary shall ensure that the revolving fund estab-
24 lished by the first section of the Civil Functions Appro-
25 priations Act, 1954 (33 U.S.C. 576) is appropriately reim-

- 1 bursed from funds appropriated for Corps of Engineers
- 2 programs that benefit from the building and facilities con-
- 3 structed under this section.